

# Web map with combined risks exposure for HR pilot site

# Web map with combined risks exposure for HR pilot site with seismic-flood-extreme sea waves

# Final Version of 30/06/2022

Deliverable Number 4.1.2, 4.2.2





Project Acronym	PMO-GATE
Project ID Number	10046122
Project Title	Preventing, Managing and Overcoming natural-hazards risk to mitiGATE economic and social impact
Priority Axis	2: Safety and Resilience
Specific objective	2.2: Increase the safety of the Programme area from natural and man-made disaster
Work Package Number	4
Work Package Title	Assessment of multi-hazard exposure in coastal and urban areas
Activity Number	1, 2
Activity Title	Assessment of combined flood-seismic hazards Assessment of combined seismic-flood-extreme sea waves hazards
Partner in Charge	UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT, FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, ARCHITECTURE AND GEODESY
Partners involved	UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT, FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, ARCHITECTURE AND GEODESY
Status	Final
Distribution	Public



# Summary

List of abbreviations and terms	3
Abstract/Executive Summary	4
ntroduction	5
Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE"	6
Geographic Information System (GIS) module	8
Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) module	8
Manual for "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application	.10
GIS: Maps and Layers	. 11
MCA: Alternatives, Criteria and Results	.15
Compatibility with other software	.22
Vulnerability and hazard maps as input data	.23
Criteria and alternatives evaluations as input for MHR-MCA	.25
The results of the MHR-MCA	.26
MHR-MCA based on homogenous zones and additional criteria	.26
Conclusions	.28
References	.28



## List of abbreviations and terms

- GIS Geographic Information System
- MCA Multi-Criteria Analysis
- MHA Multi-Hazard Risk



## Abstract/Executive Summary

This Deliverable presents application which enables visualization of the flood, extreme waves and seismic single risks; and procedure for calculation and visualization of combined risks at the HR test site through the Web maps for single and combined risks.



#### Introduction

EU Interreg IT-HR project "Preventing, Managing and Overcoming Natural-Hazards Risks to mitiGATE economic and social impact (PMO-GATE)" needed support for data visualization through Geographic Information System (GIS) and multi-criteria analysis (MCA), to support the risk assessment and risk management, in the same software.

These issues were addressed through development of Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE (Multi-Hazard Risk Assessment based on Multi-Criteria Analysis for PMO-GATE project)". It is a specialized application which supports mapping through GIS interface and multi-criteria analysis based on the PROMETHEE method. It results with KML/KMZ layers which are suitable for the Web maps.

This application enables visualization of the flood, extreme waves and seismic single risks as well as calculation and visualization of combined risks at the HR test site through the Web maps for single and combined risks.

Main characteristics:

Name: MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE Operating System: Windows 10 Devices: PC, Tablet Application Type: Microsoft Store App GIS platform: ESRI ArcGIS Runtime 100.4 Current version: v1.0 Release date: September 2020

Download link:

https://pmo-gate.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=a29ed75c42044217bf089f84be03c2c0



### Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE"

The capabilities of the Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE (Multi-Hazard Risk Assessment based on Multi-Criteria Analysis for PMO-GATE project)" can be grouped into two main modules (Figure 1):

- **Mapping capabilities** provided through Geographic Information System (GIS) platform *ESRI ArcGIS Runtime*. The GIS module supports mapping through adding local layers and simple spatial analysis.
- **Decision-making capabilities** provided through Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) module based on the PROMETHEE method. The MCA module supports evaluation of alternatives, determination of decision-maker's preferences, MCA calculation and results visualization.





The GIS and MCA module represent two main parts of the main window of the application Figure 2). The main menu bar allows user to create a *New* project, *Open* existing one, or *Save* the current one. The default project is always open on the application start.

The projects are saved in *Open Geospatial Consortium* KML/KMZ format file, which is one of the GIS standards. A structure of the file was extended to support the MCA data (Figure 3). KMZ file format allows



the projects to be viewed in any KML/KMZ viewer, for instance in: *Google Earth, ArcGIS Earth,* or similar. However, in these applications there is no possibility to edit the MCA input data or results. Multi-criteria analysis must be made in the "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application.

MCA for PMO-GATE							×
Image: Copen         Image: Copen<	Layers and Maps Multi-	MCA Criteria Analys	A for PMO	-GATE			
Kalad North	Multi-Criteria Ana	lysis (MCA	A)				
Clottea Ux proget	Innut Materia Column Dates	Des he					
"Manley	input matrix Criteria Denni	tion   Results					
Dr. Franje Tudinjan	This is the Input Matrix for Criteria evaluations, which a click on the map, or delete	the Multi-Criteri are the input for existing one fro	a Analysis. Here are list the MCA. You can eas m the table.	ed all Alternatives and thei ly add new Alternative by	ir		
A Company and the second se	Add Click on the to place the	'Add Alternative new Alternative	e' button and then click	on the map			
	Name of Alternative	Short Name	Seismic vulnerability	Comm. infr electricity	Comm. infr water	Inhabitation	
	X Zone 1	A1	13.3	11	8	252	
and a star a sta	X Zone 2	A2	17.4	13	7	130	
	X Zone 3	A3	11.6	11	8	243	
	X Zone 4	A4	12	9	11	112	
	X Zone 5	A5	13.2	8	9	171	
	X Zone 6	A6	19.4	2	2	18	
A9 total provide provide the second s	X Zone 7	A7	43.5	5	4	90	
	X Zone 8	A8	16.2	7	9	86	
	X Zone 9	A9	13.6	9	7	171	
A12 A13 A14	X Zone 10	A10	17.1	7	12	63	
	X Zone 11	A11	15.6	10	15	158	
	X Zone 12	A12	44.8	9	15	/6	
	X Zone 13	A13	49.3	15	10	319	
	Zone 14	Alte	10.7	9	12	210	
400m 1000e Source Early HER, Genna (USC HIMINT P. NICH, Earl Agen, METL, Earl Chare Nong Gong), Earl Kores, Earl (Theland), NICC, (c) OpenStreetM Powered by Ear 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					Programs **	~ □ q <sub>a</sub> ga tus 1540 1	<b>P</b>

Figure 2. Main window of "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application



Figure 3. A structure of the project KML/KMZ file



#### Geographic Information System (GIS) module

The Geographic Information System (GIS) module (Figure 4) enables the mapping capabilities by using the local KML layers (KML/KMZ file) and by providing the online *Basemaps* from *ESRI*: *Streets map*, *Imagery map*, *Ocean map*, etc. Additionally, *Offline Basemap* can be loaded for the work without internet connection.

The alternatives (actions) for multi-criteria analysis are also input on the map by simple click/touch on the map. Automatically the placemark for the alternative is created on the map and alternative is added to the MCA table.



Figure 4. The GIS module of "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application

Additionally, GIS module allows some simple spatial analysis by using the Measuring tool for measuring the distance or area. The measures can be used as an input to MCA table. For instance, a criterion can be a distance of the alternative from some important object, and the *Measuring tool* can be used to evaluate that criterion.

The GIS module is based on the platform *ESRI ArcGIS Runtime*, thus providing the fast and smooth navigation of the map, and excellent performance even in case of huge number of layers and features on the map.

#### Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) module

The Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) module provides support for decision-making based on the PROMETHEE (Preference Ranking Organization METHod for Enrichment of Evaluations) method. The PROMETHEE



method represents *a priori* approach to multi-criteria decision-making which means that decision-maker's preferences are known.

In "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application, the decision-maker's preferences are defined through criteria definition and criteria weights parameters. The criteria number is not limited, although it is well known that criteria number shouldn't exceed few dozens. The number of alternatives (actions) is not limited, as well. Although analysis and ranking of more than several hundred alternatives doesn't make sense, because the differences among them will be too small. So, alternatives, criteria and criteria weights represent input to Multi-Criteria Analysis which results with the rank of alternatives and theirs PROMETHEE net flow and net score (Figure 5).



Figure 5. The MCA module of "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application

Additionally, the application itself have visualization capabilities to present the MCA results in form of bar chart, column chart, or in table. In combination with the GIS module, it also presents MCA results on the map.



## Risk analysis by using "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" application

Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE" starts with the window with "Terms of Use". Since, the application can be solely used for the needs and purpose of the PMO-GATE project and any other kind of use or distribution of the application is strictly forbidden, User must accept these "Terms of Use" in order to work in application:



The application opens the Default Project as an example, but User can choose to *Open* existing project or start the *New* one, and later *Save* the project as the KMZ file:





#### **GIS: Maps and Layers**

The GIS (Geographic Information System) module of the application consists of the *Maps*, i.e. the *Basemaps*, and *Layers*. There are six Basemaps available with world-wide coverage: *Streets*, *Imagery*, *Imagery with Labels*, *Ocean*, *Light Gray Canvas*, and *Offline Map* (for work without internet connection). User can easily select the *Basemap* and change it at any time:





All online *Basemaps* are the *ESRI Web services* available 24-7 for free use. However, for work in the environment without internet connection, the *Offline Map* is available, which is a simple vector map with world-wide coverage. Except *Basemaps*, important GIS aspects are the *Layers*. The supported *Layers* are those in the *Open Geospatial Consortium* KML/KMZ format. Any KML or KMZ file from the PC or Tablet can be added to the map by using the 'Add local KML layer' button:



When the *Layer* is loaded, it is added to the *Layers list*, but information about its features can be retrieved by clicking or tapping the *Feature* on the map:





Additionally, *Layers list* can be reordered, i.e. a *Layer* can be promoted on the list by clicking on the button with up-arrow mark:



Because, the first *Layer* will be on top of all other layers on the map:



It is the reason why the *Layers'* order is important. So, the *Basemap* is always on the bottom of the list, because it is always beneath all *Layers*. *Layers* added to the map will be saved into the KMZ file of the project, which means they will also be available when the project is opened in some other KML/KMZ



viewer. The GIS module has a *Measuring tool* available, which can be used for simple spatial analysis. Both the distance and they are can be measured by using this tool. By clicking on the 'Measure' button, a *Measuring toolbar* is activated. The line measure or distance can be easily calculated:



The Area measuring can be made as well:



As mentioned, the measuring can be used as an input to MCA table. For instance, a criterion can be a distance of the alternative from some important object, and the *Measuring tool* can be used to evaluate that criterion.



#### MCA: Alternatives, Criteria and Results

The MCA (Multi-Criteria Analysis) module represents decision-making support based on the PROMETHEE method. First of all, the *Alternatives* must be defined. *Alternatives* can be any set of features (buildings, zones, municipalities, counties, etc.) which will be mutually compared and ranked. They represent the input matrix for the PROMETHEE method.

In this example, 16 homogenous zones will be used as *Alternatives* for MCA:



An *Alternative* is added on the map and the table by using the "Add alternative button":





After all the *Alternatives* have been added, *Criteria* need to be defined. By using the *Criteria Definition* tab and 'Add Criterion' button, User can add as much *Criteria* as he wants:

<complex-block></complex-block>	MCA ter PMC/sAte	
<complex-block></complex-block>	Res         Ben         La         Res         A           Nor         Open         Sam         Manual         Manual	WHR-MCA for PMO-GATE
<complex-block></complex-block>	En la companya da companya	
<complex-block></complex-block>	Durane	Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA)
<complex-block></complex-block>	June 1	Input Matrix Criteria Definition Results
	Martine Contraction of the Contr	Here are the Criteria definitions. It is important to define the Objective of each criterion, i.e.
	Dr. Filmen To	what is better for Alternative: to have maximal value or minimal value? Next step is to define
	and the second se	Criteria weights which represent decision-maker's preferences. Additionally, you can define the createrance and indifference thresholds of the RPOMETHEE method, but if unsure learn
		the automatic calculation.
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	course of the second se	
		Add
	A And A And A And	Citerion Click on the 'Add Criterion' button add new Criterion.
Citerion name: <u>Benin vulnerabiliy</u> <u>underson breakid</u> <u>underson breakid</u> <u>underso</u>		
		Criterion name: Seismic vulnerability
	A3 - DO	X Short name: Seismic vulnerability
		Objective:  Maximize  Minimize
	Day and Day and Add . In the Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Ad	
	A9 BOAR AR A	Weight: 50
Soli: Automative Performance (Cliffordin name: This is Cliffordin name:		Indiference threshold: 1
Constraints     Constrai	A10 A12	Scale: Automatic Preference threshold: 100
Some Some	A13 A14	
Contraction name: The is Charterion 2     Stort name: Charterion 2		
Some Some		Criterion name: This is Criterion 2
Scale: @ Automate: Million Drug forg for fores for flatent, NOC, (c) Openments, Revent by for Scale: @ Automate: Million Drug forg for fores for flatent, NOC, (c) Openments, Revent by for @ P III @ P @ P @ Pensetation Press. @ Match RDs GMI		X Short name: Criterion 2
Constrained		Objective:      Havinina      Historia
		Objective: • maximum • minimum
Scale: @ Automate: heldering threshold: Scale: @ Automate: heldering threshold: Scale: @ Automate: heldering threshold: Scale: @ Automate: heldering threshold: Particular threshold: Parti		Weight: 50 C C C
Scale:  Automatic Provided:		
Store Store Basers for HER Carrier (SOL Nerma, NCIMIN') MiCes (in Japan VIII, Br Dura Norg forg, En Kerse for (Falsen), No. (a) Opendered A., Revent by En Ø → C → C → C → C → C → C → C → C → C →		Scale: Automatic Preference threshold: one
accurate las Hells Cannon UKK Helmen, AKUNATA Malan Da Japan MIT, las Cala plans MIT,		
Some Source Exercise In LHZ, Common (SOIX, Stramp, SICISMINT P. MIChan, En Journe Store (Soir Clampedia), Revend by Ent Exercise In LHZ, Common (SOIX, Stramp, SICISMINT P. MIChan, End Journe Store (Soir Clampedia), Revend by Ent	With a second	
30000		
🗱 🔎 🖽 🔋 🗷 🥐 🐧 🧕 🐉 Presentation - Prover. 🗰 MCA for PMO-GATE	1000H Sources: Earl, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Earl Japan, METI, Earl China (Hong Kong), Earl Korea, Earl (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetM Powered by Earl	
	📰 🔎 🗮 📕 🗷 🧟 🕐 💽 😰 Presentation 1 - Powe 🗰 MCA for PMO-GATE	Programs " 🔨 💭 👧 🖬 1539 📮

Except Criterion name and short name, the Objective, Weight and Scale must be defined for each Criterion:

Image: Constraint of the	WILL AND A THE MARKET AND A THE AND
And	Scale: Automatic Indiference threshold: 1
De Franje Tudming	Criterion name: Communal infrastructure - electricity
	X Short name: Comm. infr electricity
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Objective:  Maximize Minimize
	Weight: 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Scale Indiference threshold: 1
	Preference threshold: 20
The A2 Revealed and the A2 Reve	
	Criterion name: Communal infrastructure - water
A4 45 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Short name: Comm. infr water
A9 LAT A8	Objective:   Maximize  Minimize
A10 A12 A13 A14 A14	Weight 8 WWWW
	Scale: Automatic Automatic
	Nalidiano (150
	Criterion name: Inhabitation density
	X Short name: Inhabitation
	Objective:  Maximize  Minimize
	Weight: 10
	Indiference theshold 1
	Scale: Automatic Preference threshold: 500
400m	
1000H Source: Esn. HERE. Garmin, USGS. Intermap, INCREMENT P. NRCan, Esn Japan, METL Esn China (Hono Kong), Esn Korea, Esn (Thailand), NGCC. (c) OpenStreetM	C
📰 🔎 🖽 🗧 🗷 🕐 💽 👔 Presentation 1 - Powe 🚥 MCA for PMO-GATE	- Programs ** 🔨 💭 🔩 1946 - 1548 - 📮

The *Weights* represent decision-maker's preferences and they can be result of the single opinion of the decision-maker or they can be compromise of a group of decision-makers. The *Objective* defines if the *Criterion* should be minimized or maximized. For instance, *Criterion 'Price'* is usually minimized, which



means the cheaper is better, but *Criterion 'Quality'* is usually maximized, which means the higher quality is better. And, at the end, *Scale* must be defined and it represents the perception of scale of the decisionmaker. Namely, the PROMETHEE method supports different scale units and ranges for different *Criteria*, therefore the scale is defined through indifference and preference thresholds of the linear type preference function. But, if the User doesn't know how to define these threshold, automatic values will be assigned based on the statistical analysis.

After *Criteria Definition*, the *Input Matrix* is empty:



Therefore, *Criteria* evaluations for each *Alternative* must be filled into the matrix:





After filling all the data into the *Input Matrix*, the PROMETHEE method is automatically run, and *Results* are ready for presentation. The *Results* can be presented as the table, charts and on the map.

The *Results* can be presented as a *Column Chart*:

MER MICA for PMU-GATE	- o x.
Image: Constraint of the second sec	Interres MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE
Not Division	Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA)
the second se	Input Matrix Criteria Definitio Results
a marana	Here are the Results of the Multi-Onteria Analysis presented at Ofant and Shell Bit San Associated and San and San Anal San Anal San and San a
a formand	Column Chart     O Bar Chart
	Results (Net Score)
	50
A3	70
	60
Ad A	
A9 Mar Internet and a grant an	
A10 A12 A13 A13	
	0" A1 " A2 " A3 " A4 " A5 " A6 " A7 " A8 " A9 " A10 " A11 " A12 " A13 " A14 "
	ID Rank Name of Alternative Short Name. Net Score: Net Flow
	17 1 Zone 13 A13 65.77 0.32
	16 2 Zone 12 A12 57.48 0.15
	11 3 Zone 7 A7 52.84 0.06
	18 4 Zone 14 A14 50.58 0.01
	15 5 Zone 11 A11 49.39 -0.01
	5 0 Zone 1 A1 49.21 -0.02
	0 / 2018 2 A2 48.64 -0.03
	9 9 70065 45 47.28 -0.05
400m	13 10 Zone 9 A9 47 17 -005
1000m	14 11 Zone 10 410 47 15 -005
Sources: Esri, HERE, Gamin, USOS, Internap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NOCC, (c) OpenStreetML. Powered by Esri	4
🚝 🔎 🛱 📴 🖉 🧖 💽 👰 Desentation 1 - Powers 📟 MCA for PMO-GATE	Programs * A 💬 🔩 👧 ENG 1541 🚍

Or as the Bar Chart:



It is important to note that main value used for the *Results* presentation is the *Net Score*. It is a special case of the PROMETHEE II, in which the *Result* for one action is between 0 and 100. In the following figure,



the *Results* are presented in the table with all important PROMETHEE data: the *Ranks*, the *Net Score*, and the *Net flow*.



Furthermore, the *Results* can be presented on the map, as well. One option is to present *Results* in the form of the *Net Score* as *Alternatives layer* label:





In the *Layers and Maps* tab there is *Alternatives layer* which is used to define what to show on the map as *Result*. Except the *Net Score*, the *Ranks* can be presented as well:



And *Results* can be retrieved for each particular *Alternative* by clicking on it on the map:





One of the main features of the PROMETHEE method is, so called, *Walking Weights* option. In this application, this feature can be also achieved by redefining the *Criteria Weights* by using simple scale in form of *Stars* or precise numerical scale:

🛲 MCA for PMO-GATE	
Image: Copen         Copen         Copen         Copen         Manual	Interreg MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE
tan Nor	Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA)
Landelle propi	Input Matrix Criteria Definition Results
a mag many	Here are the Criteria definitions. It is important to define the Dijective of each criterion, i.e. what is before for Alternative to have mainted value or minimal value! Next step is to define formal weights what prepends the closen start and preferences. Additional, you can define the adversaries calculation.
	Adia Collection Click on the 'Add Criterion' button add new Criterion.
52.9 2	Criterion name: Seismic vulnerability
50.4 wonth a brind of the state of the state	X Short name: Seismic vulnerability
47.5	Objective:  Maximize Minimize
48.2 49.2 46.4 5	Weight: 60 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	Scale: Automatic Products 1 Padvence threshold 1 Padvence threshold 1980
and the	
	Communal intrastructure - electricity
	Objective:  Maximize  Minimize
	Weight: 70
	Scale: Automatic Prediction Streichold: 1
400m	Criterion name: Communal infrastructure - water
1000ft GDi, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P. Intermae, NGA, USGS Powered by Esri	Short name: Comm. infr water
🟥 🔎 🔄 🛛 🖉 🧶 💽 👰 🚺 Presentation 1 - Powe 🛤 MCA for PMO-GATE	Programs " 🥆 🖽 🔩 ENG 1543 📮

Additionally, the *Criterion* can be switched-off, i.e. it can be temporarily removed from the MCA calculation, without deleting it completely:





#### Compatibility with other software

Since the projects are saved in KMZ file format, and all the *Layers* are copied into the project file, the project can be viewed in some other KML/KMZ viewer. The most famous Earth viewer, for which the KML format was developed, the *Google Earth* can easily open the project file:



In the *Google Earth*, the 3D model of terrain and 3D buildings are available and can be used for additional analysis:





# Risk assessment of combined flood-seismic hazards in "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE"

#### Vulnerability and hazard maps as input data

The Risk assessment of combined flood-seismic hazards is presented here on the small example with 8 alternatives, i.e. with 8 buildings in this case on buildings level. The input data consists of the seismic vulnerability map:



The seismic hazard map:





#### The flood vulnerability map:



The flood hazard map:



These four maps represent four different indexes for each building and, they will be used as an input data for MHR-MCA.



#### Criteria and alternatives evaluations as input for MHR-MCA

First of all, four criteria have been defined representing the seismic vulnerability index, seismic hazard index, flood vulnerability index and flood hazard index of the building. Equal weights are given to criteria:

MM-RF-MCA for PMO-GATE	- 0 X.
	WHR-MCA for PMO-GATE
	Short name: Seismic vulnerability  Objective: * Maaimize  Weight: 25 With 50 With 50  Scale: Ø Automatic  Preference threshold: 0  preference threshold: 0.34
	Citerion name:     Seismic hazard       Image: Seismic hazard     Image: Seismic hazard       Objective:     Maximic hazard       Objective:     Maximic hazard       Weight:     25       Scale:     Image: Seismic threebold:       Preference threebold:     Seismic
	☑ Otterion name:     Flood vulnerability       Iteration     Bood vulnerability       Objective:     * Maximiza       Weight:     25       Scale:     Ø Automatic       Inference therebold:     0
	Criterion name: Flood hazard     Short name Flood hazard     Objective * Maximize     Weight: 25 ☆ √ √ √ √ √ √

For each criterion, an evaluation represents a particular index for particular building:



These input data are submitted to Multi-Criteria Analysis based on the PROMETHEE method.



#### The results of the MHR-MCA

The results of this Multi-Criteria Analysis show that "Kula Kambi" has the highest combined flood-seismic hazard risk, followed by "Polantana 5" on the second place:

Imm Miller-Millor for PMID-GATE	- <i>s</i> x
Image: Constraint of the sector of	
	Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA)
	Inext Matery Cetana Defection Results
	Here we the Devide of the Model College Analysis commuted as Chart and Table II as
	There are the resolution of the Malancentre and Analysis presented and characterized and and a second and a Alternative has a higher Net Flow (or Net Score) water, the Rank's also higher (1st rank is the highest one). The Net Flow and Score represent the results of the PROMETHEE III method.
	* Column Chart O Bar Chart
	Results (Net Score)
43.2 38.2 61.4	100
	.90
	80- 70-
55.0 52.7	00
516	
	19-
	0+ <u>A1</u> <u>A2</u> <u>A3</u> <u>A4</u> <u>A5</u> <u>A5</u> <u>A7</u> <u>A8</u>
44.6	
	ID Rank Name of Alternative Short Name Net Score Net Flow
	5 1 Kula Kambi A5 61.35 0.23
	2 2 Polantana 5 A2 55.61 0.11 6 3 Polantana 12 A6 52.75 0.05
A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	4 4 Polanatana 8, 11 A4 52.68 0.05
	7 5 Obala Didica 10-14 A7 51.62 0.03
	3 6 KOWINg Club A8 44.63 -0.11 1 7 Brre 18 A1 43.18 -0.14
	3 8 Kambijev trg 18 A3 38.17 -0.24
PI PI	
Masse, Microsoft Possered by Sar	

Building "Kambijev trg 18" has the smallest combined flood-seismic hazard risk, in this case. This is just an example of analysis for Risk assessment of the combined flood-seismic hazards.

#### MHR-MCA based on homogenous zones and additional criteria

As mentioned, MCA allows adding of dozens of additional criteria, which can enhance the risk assessment. The best way is to have criteria presented as map layers. In this case, additional criteria are *Electric* and *Water supply*:

MCA for PMO-GATE	- 0 X.
Image: Product of the state           Text         <	Light and Market Analysis
Image: constrained of the second of the s	Wates         Select Hasmang you want to use for your mag:         * were:         * magning         imaging you hashing         > imaging you hashing         > office for draws:         > office for draws:
🚍 🔎 🗁 📴 🖉 🕐 🔕 🛐 Presentation 1 - Powe., 🗰 MICA for PMO-GATE	Programs " A 💭 🎝 🖬 ENG 1529 📑



For this kind of analysis, it is more suitable to use homogenous zones as alternatives. For each zone an average vulnerability and hazard must be calculated, together with evaluations for additional criteria. In this case, the evaluations are made for criteria *Electric supply, Water supply* and *Inhabitation density*:

MCA for PMO-GATE	- 0 ×
R → E H H → A → A → A → A → A → A → A → A → A	MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE
	Layers and Maps Multi-Unteria Analysis
- And	Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA)
New /	Input Matrix Criteria Definition Results
n rain hanne	This is the logal Matrix for the Molli-Criteria Analysis. Here are listed al Alternatives and Their Criteria evaluations which are the logar for the MCA. You can easily add new Alternative by click on the map; or delete existing one from the table.
and 1 ) have 1. The of the	Ads Morenatev to place the rev Add Atternative Furthor and then click on the map to place the new Atternative.
Martine 1 (the Art 1 Art	Name of Alternation Short Name Salemic universities Communicate - electricity Community - uniter labelihitation
AT AT AT AT A AND A AND A A AND A A A A A A A A A A	Zone 1 Alt 113 11 18 252
	X Zone 2 A2 17.4 13 7 130
	x Zone 3 A3 11.6 11 8 243
A3 brond 0 000 man 1000	X Zone 4 A4 12 9 11 112
	X Zone 5 A5 13.2 8 9 171
Add a start and a start	X Zone 6 A6 19.4 2 2 18
A9 Mar Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land	X Zone 7 A7 43.5 5 4 90
	X Zone 8 A8 16.2 7 9 86
	x Zone 9 A9 13.6 9 7 171
	k (zone 10 A10 17,1 7 12 65
	X 20ne 11 A11 13.0 10 13 136 7 20ne 12 A12 44.9 0 15 75
	X Zone 12 A12 94.0 7 12 70 X Zone 13 A13 40.3 15 18 319
	X Zone 14 A14 187 9 12 216
	La letter letter
20in	
1000th Sources Exit HERE, Garmin, USGS, Internap, INCREMENT P. NRCan, Exit Japan, METE Exit China (Hong Kong), Exit Korea, Exit (Thailand), NGCC, Ici OpenStreetM., Powered by Exit	
🚍 🔎 🔄 📴 🖉 🕐 🧶 👔 Presentation I - Power, 🔤 MCA for PMO-GATE	Programs " ^ [2] 🚛 🚧 1946 1948 🕞

The MHR-MCA resulted with following results:



Homogenous zones A13, A12 and A7, which represent the old part of town, have highest risk priority. On the other hand, zones with modern buildings and with no important electrical and water supply infrastructure resulted as ones with the lowest risk priority (A6, A4 and A8).



#### Conclusions

Windows Application "MHR-MCA for PMO-GATE (Multi-Hazard Risk Assessment based on Multi-Criteria Analysis for PMO-GATE project)" allows input of map layers, presentation of hazard and vulnerability for particular hazard (seismic, flood, extreme sea waves) on buildings level and homogenous zone level.

Input data used in examples in this documents can be a subject of the change, especially the flood vulnerability data whose evaluations are not yet finished. Further steps also include the defining of criteria weights, especially for combined risk assessment.

#### References

- [1] Deliverable 4.1.1. Methodology for provision assessment indexes based on Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision Making, PMO-GATE project, 2022.
- [2] Deliverable 4.2.1. Methodology for provision assessment indexes based on Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision Making for seismic-flood-meteo-tsunamis, PMO-GATE project, 2022.