

## DELIVERABLE D2.4.4

### *Awareness-rising seminars for SSF operators*

Project ID	10045781
Project acronym	Adri.SmArtFish
Project full title	Valorisation of SMall-scale ARTisanal FISHerY of the Adriatic coasts, in a context of sustainability
WP2	Communication
Activity 2.4	Education and awareness-rising
Partner in charge	PP3
Partners involved	LP (3 events)
Status	Final
Distribution	Public
Date	10/11/2021



# WEBINAR

Il Programma  
di Certificazione MSC  
per una pesca  
sostenibile



## Il Partenariato del Progetto Adri.SmArtFish in collaborazione con MSC Italia

ha il piacere di invitarvi al

**WEBINAR: Il Programma di certificazione MSC - Strumenti e opportunità**



### QUANDO

Martedì 28 Luglio 2020 - 10:30 - 11:30 (seguirà discussione)



### DOVE

Online - all'ora dell'evento, collegati al link:

<https://meet.google.com/dtg-vitf-amy> (consigliato Google Chrome)

*L'obiettivo dell'evento è quello di far conoscere ai partecipanti il programma MSC, fornendo una panoramica di come funziona il programma. In particolare, alla fine del webinar, i partecipanti avranno:*

- un quadro generale del Programma MSC;
- la conoscenza di base dei principi della certificazione MSC



## **Webinar: "Il programma di certificazione MSC per la pesca sostenibile: strumenti e opportunità"**

Il Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) è un'organizzazione internazionale, indipendente e senza scopo di lucro, la cui missione è quella di utilizzare il suo marchio di qualità e il suo programma di certificazione della pesca per contribuire alla salute degli oceani, riconoscendo e premiando le pratiche di pesca sostenibile, influenzando le scelte che le persone fanno quando acquistano i prodotti della pesca, e lavorando con una serie di partner per indirizzare l'industria globale della pesca su una traiettoria più sostenibile. A questo scopo, MSC stabilisce due standard: i) uno per la certificazione della pesca e ii) uno per la certificazione della catena di custodia.

Lo standard ambientale MSC per la pesca sostenibile si basa sul Codice di Condotta per la Pesca Responsabile della FAO e su altri strumenti internazionali di conservazione e consiste di 28 indicatori di performance raggruppati in tre principi:

1. stock ittici sostenibili;
2. minimizzare l'impatto ambientale;
3. gestione efficace.

Qualsiasi entità giuridica può richiedere formalmente una valutazione di un'attività di pesca. Il processo di valutazione viene effettuato in modo indipendente da certificatori accreditati da un ente terzo. In questo modo, MSC garantisce un sistema obiettivo e indipendente. I prodotti di attività di pesca certificate che vogliono vendere i loro prodotti con il logo MSC possono farlo solo se tutti gli anelli della catena di distribuzione (dalla barca al piatto) sono stati certificati rispetto allo standard della catena di custodia MSC. Questa catena di custodia è il metodo che MSC utilizza per seguire la tracciabilità dei prodotti e garantire al consumatore che gli articoli che recano il marchio di qualità MSC siano effettivamente prodotti provenienti da una pesca certificata MSC.

### **Obiettivo del workshop:**

L'obiettivo del workshop è quello di introdurre i partecipanti al programma MSC, fornendo una panoramica di come funziona il programma MSC. In particolare, alla fine della formazione del workshop, i partecipanti dovrebbero avere:

- una comprensione generale del programma MSC;
- una comprensione di base dello standard di pesca MSC

### **Contatti:**

Ilaria Vielmini - biologa della pesca e manager della pesca MSC Italia MSC:  
[ilaria.vielmini@msc.org](mailto:ilaria.vielmini@msc.org)



# MSC certification and ecolabelling: an introduction

Marine Stewardship Council

AdriSmartFish 22<sup>nd</sup> of June 2020

# Unsustainable fishing: the global challenge



## FAO The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture (SOFIA) 2020:

The state of marine fishery resources, based on FAO's monitoring of assessed marine fish stocks, has continued to decline.

The fraction of fish stocks that are within biologically sustainable levels decreased from 90 percent in 1974 to 65.8 percent in 2017

In 2017, among FAO's Major Fishing Areas, the Mediterranean and Black Sea had the highest percentage (62.5 percent) of stocks fished at unsustainable levels



# Unsustainable fishing: the global challenge

## FAO The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture (SOFIA) 2020:

- In 2017, the maximally sustainably fished stocks accounted for 59.6 percent of the total number of assessed stocks, an increase since 1989, partly reflecting improved implementation of management measures.
- Overfishing in the North-East Atlantic has dropped to 40%; similar improvements have been seen in New Zealand, Australia and much of northern Europe
- In 2015, the nations of the world signed up to the 17 Sustainable Development Goals however, it is clear that action to meet the SDGs is not yet advancing at the speed or scale required.



# MSC: part of the solution

## **Building consensus around what sustainable fishing looks like**

We're providing a blueprint for fishing that is environmentally and economically sustainable, based on United Nations FAO guidelines

## **Driving change on the water**

We're encouraging and rewarding fisheries to make improvements to meet and maintain MSC certification

## **Building demand in the marketplace and society**

Our blue ecolabel lets customers choose seafood that can be traced back to a sustainable fishery – creating market incentives for more fisheries to meet the MSC Standard

“


From fishers, companies and consumers choosing sustainable seafood – to the scientists and NGOs sharing their expertise. Together we can secure the future of our ocean and the seafood it supplies.

”

**A leading global  
program...**




# Leading global standard



*Some dots may represent more than one fishery and locations are approximate.*

# MSC engagement



**405 certified fisheries (incl. 22 suspended)**

**92 fisheries in assessment**

**36 countries**


**108 species**

- **15.8% of wild caught seafood globally is now certified or in full assessment**
- **11.8 million tons certified catch**

# Benefits of certification...



# Change on the water



“ The MSC Standard creates the right incentives for all kinds of fishery, small or large scale, to transform towards best practice. Such examples can now be found almost everywhere in the world.

Christopher Zimmermann, Thünen Institute of Baltic Sea Fisheries


“ MSC certification of Arctic cod in the Norwegian Sea has led this fishery to improve the recording system of its retained catches and to improve its fishing practice by minimising the impacts on sea bottom habitats and any interaction with endangered species.

Andres Uriarte, AZTI



## Socio-economic impacts

- Access to new markets
- Protect existing markets
- Product differentiation
- Improved traceability/marginalise IUU
- Potential for price premium
- Improved reputation
- Long-term availability of seafood
- Longer lasting contracts



# Benefits of MSC certification



## Management improvement

- Stakeholders' dialogue improved
- Stronger political influence to promote needed changes towards sustainability
- Evaluation against an independent international standard
- Deficiencies identification
- Failures overcoming
- Participation and transparency improved
- Improved country image and reputation
- Increased compliance with regulations



# MSC Fisheries Standard



## The MSC is a standard that .....

- Assesses more than just 'status' - recognises importance of informed and adaptive management process.
- Recognises fisheries depend on a volatile and ever-changing natural resource, so understanding how management responds and reacts is more important than single status snap-shot.
- Seeks to recognise good practice and encourage on-going improvement.
- Is not overly prescriptive, so can be applied to fisheries that are large or small scale, simple or complex and whether or not well resourced.
- Rewards fishers seeking to minimise impacts and move in the right direction.


# A global standard

Challenge is to make it applicable to all fisheries regardless of location or scale; resources or capacity.



Applicable at this scale.....

# What is assessed



Principle 1 - Stock

Principle 2 - Impact

Principle 3 - Management

# The MSC Fisheries Standard



**1**

The sustainability of stock

**2**

Ecosystem impact

**3**

Effective management





## In Scope

- Wild-capture fisheries including fish, shellfish,

## Introduced or enhanced

- If a species has been introduced to an area, or there is an element of aquaculture in the life cycle, there are rules about whether or not that fishery can be MSC certified.

## Out of Scope

- Fishery targeting amphibians, reptiles, birds, or mammals (v2.0)
- Fisheries using poisons or explosives
- Forced labour violations
- Aquaculture (ASC), however...



# The Process

# Credibility - third party assessment & certification



Accreditation Body



Certification Body




Assessment Team



Fishery

# The fisheries assessment steps





# The Standard



# MSC Fisheries Standard


**P1 – Stock status**

**P2 – Minimising environmental impact**

**P3 – Effective management**



# MSC Fisheries Standard



# A GUIDE TO THE MSC FISHERIES STANDARD



## Principle 1 – Sustainable fish stocks



### 1.1 Stock evaluation (target catch)

- 1.1.1: Sustainable stock levels
- 1.1.2: Or, stock is rebuilding



### 1.2 Harvest Management Strategy

- 1.2.1: Precautionary harvest strategy + no shark finning
- 1.2.2: Harvest control rules and tools
- 1.2.3: Reliable information and monitoring
- 1.2.4: Robust assessment of stock status

## Principle 2 – Minimising Environmental Impact



### 2.1 Impact on primary species (non-target catch)

- 2.1.1: Sustainable stock levels
- 2.1.2: Management strategy + reduction of unwanted mortality
- 2.1.3: Reliable information



### 2.2 Impact on secondary species (non-target species)

- 2.2.1: No threat to stock levels
- 2.2.2: Management strategy + reduction of unwanted mortality
- 2.2.3: Reliable information on risk



### 2.3 Impact on endangered, threatened or protected (ETP) species

- 2.3.1: No threat to ETP species stock levels
- 2.3.2: Management strategy to protect ETP species
- 2.3.3: Reliable information on risk



### 2.4 Impact on habitats

- 2.4.1: No serious or irreversible harm
- 2.4.2: Strategy to protect habitats
- 2.4.3: Information on vulnerable habitats



### 2.5 Impact on the ecosystem

- 2.5.1: No serious or irreversible harm
- 2.5.2: Management strategy to protect the ecosystem
- 2.5.3: Reliable information on ecosystem function and impact

## Principle 3 – Fishery Management



### 3.1 Governance and Policy


- 3.1.1: Effective legal or customary framework + recognises rights of people dependant on fishing for food or livelihood
- 3.1.2: Effective consultation process
- 3.1.3: Long term objectives




### 3.2 Fishery Specific Management System

- 3.2.1: Clear fishery specific objectives for achieving P1 & P2
- 3.2.2: Effective decision-making process
- 3.2.3: Compliance and enforcement systems
- 3.2.4: Management performance evaluation

# Assessment tree – 28 Performance Indicators





# Standard – Scoring Table




**60**  
Minimum acceptable

**80**  
Best practice

**100**  
State of the art

Component	PI	Scoring issues	SG60	SG80	SG100
Ecosystem	<p>Management strategy</p> <p>2.5.2</p> <p>There are measures in place to ensure the UoA does not pose a risk of serious or irreversible harm to ecosystem structure and function.</p>	a	There are measures in place, if necessary which take into account the potential impacts of the UoA on key elements of the ecosystem.	There is a partial strategy in place, if necessary, which takes into account available information and is expected to restrain impacts of the UoA on the ecosystem to achieve the Ecosystem the 80 level of performance.	There is a strategy that consists of a plan, in place which contains measures to address all main impacts of the UoA on the ecosystem, and at least some of these measures in place.
		b	The measures are considered likely to work, based on plausible argument (e.g., general experience, theory or comparison with similar UoAs/ systems).	There is some evidence on the basis for confidence that the measures/partial strategy will work, based on information directly about the UoA and/or the system involved.	Testing supports confidence that the partial strategy/ strategy will work, based on information directly about the UoA and/or ecosystem in question.
		c	The measures are considered likely to work, based on plausible argument (e.g., general experience, theory or comparison with similar UoAs/ species).	There is some evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully.	There is clear evidence that the partial strategy/ strategy is being implemented successfully and is achieving its objective as set out in scoring issue (a).

# Scoring




Each Principle must score  $\geq 80$  as the weighted average across the PIs within that Principle, or the fishery fails

Average score required


Conditions that require improvements

Assumed pull to motivate improvements


# The MSC Theory of Change




# Assessment tree scoring example



# Assessment tree scoring example



# Assessment tree scoring example



# The MSC Fisheries Standard



**1**

The sustainability of stock

**2**

Ecosystem impact

**3**

Effective management




# Principle 1: *Sustainable Fish Stocks*

A fishery must be conducted in a manner that does not lead to over-fishing or depletion of the exploited populations and.....

..... for those populations that are depleted, the fishery must be conducted in a manner that demonstrably leads to their recovery

# Principle 1 – Performance Indicators





## Characteristics of good management – P1

- Clear management units – clearly defined stock definition (and underlying rationale / assumptions)
- Supported by appropriate information (computerised, time series, tailored to HCR)
- Understanding of stock status – appropriate to life history / exploitation rate
- Adaptive management response
- Transparent (stakeholder buy-in) decision rules.
- Timely Review & Evaluation




## Stock Status

- Examines the impact of all fisheries on the target stock
- **2 scoring issues:**
  - a) Likelihood of fishery being above Point of Recruitment Impairment (PRI)
  - b) Likelihood of stock being at Maximum Sustainable yield (MSY)
- **Enshrines objectives of UN Fish Stocks Agreement**
- **Implies some empirical understanding of stock status, but:**
  - Phrased in terms of 'likelihood' therefore allows some scope for qualitative approaches which are probabilistic.
- **Can be scored using MSC's Risk Based Framework (RBF):**
- **Also allows proxies to be used in place of direct biomass indicators**




## 1.1.1 Basic scoring theory



# Harvest decision theory



## Pre-agreed “if & then” rules



## Harvest Control Rules (HCR) & Tools

- **Assesses if a fishery has defined & effective HCRs in place which:**
- Describe the **management response** to different stock circumstances
- Aim to achieve medium to long term target reference point and avoid limit reference point
- Are based on plausible hypothesise of stock dynamics & compatible with target species biology
- Are **reasonable and practical** in the context of the fishery
- Are economically sound and compliant with national & international regulations & agreements
- Recognise wider ecosystem functionality (inc Low Trophic Level species).
- **Enable transparent, predictable and precautionary decision making.**

**Avoids decisions based on short term socio-economics or politics**


# Principle 2: *Minimising Environmental Impacts*

A fishery should be managed to maintain the **structure, productivity, function and diversity of the ecosystem** on which the fishery depends, including other species and habitats.

# Default Assessment Tree: Principle 2



**Principle 2** considers the impact of the fishery on a range of ecosystem components.




# Overview - P2 Species components in FCR v2.0



	Overview	Outcome Status	Example
Primary	Only in scope species that are managed according to either target or limit reference points.	Primary species are <i>likely/highly likely/highly certain</i> above a Point of Recruitment Impairment (PRI).	Fish species with full stock assessment. 
Secondary	Not managed according to reference points and contains a large variety of species incl. out of scope species (amphibians, birds, reptiles and mammals).	Secondary species are <i>likely/highly likely/highly certain</i> above Biologically based limits.	Fish species managed according to precautionary TAC, no stock assess  Out-of-scope non-ETP species. 
ETP Species	Specific set of species recognized by national legislation and specific international agreements, or in case of out of scope, IUCN red-list.	Impacts on ETP species are either within national limits or direct effects on ETP species are not likely to hinder recovery.	Species listed on CITES appendix 1.

# P2 Species Decision tree



# P2 Management Requirements




There is a repeating pattern in the P2 management PIs

	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
Level of Management	“Measures”	“Partial Strategy” *“Strategy”	“Strategy” **“Comprehensive”
Evaluation	Likely to work	Objective basis for confidence	Testing
Implementation		Implemented	Implemented and achieving objectives
Alternative Measures***	Review	Regular Review	Biannual

*	ETP Only
**	Primary & Secondary Only
***	Primary, Secondary & ETP



## What do different MSC management terminologies mean?



Individual actions or tools  
Not necessarily designed for component

Cohesive arrangement of “measures”  
& understanding of how these work together

Strategic set of “measures” designed to specifically manage component

Fully adaptive complete and tested “strategy” linked to monitoring & analysis

## ETP Species Outcome

### ETPs are:

- Recognised by **national** threatened species legislation
- Listed in **binding International Agreements** (CITES) (CMS).
- Out of Scope, if listed by IUCN as **VU, EN, CE**

**Not all birds, marine mammals, sharks etc. are ETP**

### Potential impact includes:


- Direct capture, entanglement etc,
- Indirect impacts on food resources, migratory routes, loss of habitat etc.

**Compares impacts to “national & international” limits.**

**Possible to use MSC RBF**



## What is the range of ETP information required?



## Habitats Outcome

- **Seeks to ensure that MSC fisheries do not cause serious or irreversible impact to habitat structure & functionality**
- **A habitat is:**
  - “the chemical & bio-physical environment, including biogenic structures, where fishing takes place”.
- **In theory includes pelagic environment, but focus is on seabed:**
  - Substratum (sediment type)
  - Geomorphology (seafloor topography)
  - Biota (habitat forming flora & fauna)
- **More heavily impacting gears are likely to require more management / mitigation / evidence to give confidence over outcome**
- ***RBF CSA is available***

## What should habitat management include?

### Evidence-based Decisions

Understanding of:

- scale of activity
- Habitat types
- Scale of impact

### Strategic set of measures

- Technical measures
- Spatial measures
- Research & monitoring

### Regulatory Basis

- Measure to ensure / incentivise compliance
- Periodic review & evaluation

### **Ecosystem Component**


- **Assesses status, management & information for the ecosystem as whole**
- **Looks at ecosystem structure, function and resilience**
- **Not intended to repeat earlier assessments of P2 components**
- **In practice if ecosystem issues addressed for target species removal (P1), other P2 species (primary, secondary, ETP) and habitats score well, then ecosystem typically scores well.**
- **Can be used to assess wider ecosystem impacts:**
  - Removal of keystone predators or important prey species
  - Trophic cascades
  - Major changes in species diversity
  - Changes in genetic diversity
  - Other impacts – invasive species or pollution.



# Principle 3

The fishery is subject to an effective management system that respects local, national and international laws and standards and incorporates institutional and operational frameworks that require use of the resource to be responsible and sustainable.

# Default Assessment Tree: Principle 3



## Consultation, Roles & Responsibilities

- Fisheries management has repeatedly been shown to be more successful when:



- Stakeholders are recognised, engaged and communicated with.
- If the fishery management and the reasons for management is understood, then the likelihood of success greatly increases.
- Effective two-way engagement is a key criteria of the MSC

# Consultation is crucial



# Compliance & Enforcement



## Compliance & enforcement

- **Compliance and enforcement is crucial to the success of fishery management.**
- **Just as important as the overall MCS structure is that:**
  - It is FAIR
  - It is appropriate for the scale and intensity of the fishery
  - It is well understood
  - It is respected
- **Should be tailored to the risks of the fishery**

# Labour requirements





In recognition of widespread concern about labour abuses in the global seafood supply chain, the MSC is working to extend our existing provisions on forced labour in fisheries and supply chain companies that hold MSC certificates.

## **Phase I: A first step Implemented in 2019**

As part of the MSC assessment process fisheries and at-sea supply chains are required to provide a self-description for their fisheries that reports on *measures, policies and practices in place* to ensure absence of forced and child labour.

The objective is to require companies to communicate how they address any potential risk of forced or child labour. It is not a declaration of the absence of forced labour violations.

## **Phase II: Strengthening the requirements Proposed for implementation in 2021**

This second phase will see high risk fisheries and off-shore supply chain entities being required to undertake an audit against a third-party labour standard.

Defining 'high-risk' fisheries and off-shore supply chain entities is part of the Phase II workplan. For example, fisheries operating in 'Trafficking in Persons Tier 3 countries' and off-shore factory ships may be considered high risk.

# Important things to remember – “take homes”



- MSC has a robust assessment process and Fisheries Standard for assessing and certifying fisheries.
- As the Fisheries Standard is globally applied, it can take some time to understand.
- MSC has some great resources available to help support you.
- Remember that you can get in touch with us if you have any questions!



# Any questions?





# Grazie

**Ilaria Vielmini**


---

Fisheries Manager  
MSC Italy

[ilaria.vielmini@msc.org](mailto:ilaria.vielmini@msc.org)

---










[www.msc.org](http://www.msc.org)





## Evento di disseminazione

Caorle, 06 ottobre 2021

Nome e Cognome	Società / Istituzione	N. telefonico	E-mail	Firma
FABIO PRADOLI	UNIVG		pradoli@univg.it	
Michele Bellizzi	FIRE LAVOR		m.bellizzi@fire.it	
ROSSI FREDERIC	COOP. PESCATORI SIBIOLMO	3338271473	TAREVENTOSS@EMAIL.COOP	
MANIMO SATOR	individuale	328/7565775	MAXZETA1963@LIBERO.IT	
MALLO ANELLI MONTI	UNIVE		marco.andellimont@univg.it	
Alessia Mellissano	CAMPANA DI BOLTO	0421 810290	elossicmellissano@pov.mt	
DOHENLIO ANGILO	CAPITANOVA BOLTO	0421 210290	DOHENLIO.ANGILO@UNIVG.IT	
BOSCOLO TAVUZZI "PIRE"	CHIOGGA	3186621641	manuelcrazy@gmail.com	
BOSCOLO MEO ALESSANDRO	CHIOGGA	3393706373	BS.IT.MEO@UNIVG.IT	
COSTANTINI MATTEO	CAVALLINO-TREPORTI	3474389808	ELGOLMATEO@GMAIL.COM	
CROSCA DIONISIO	VENTO MOUNO		-	

# Il Progetto Adri.SmArtFish

## *Obiettivi e attività realizzate*

Seminario di disseminazione per gli operatori della piccola pesca artigianale  
Cavallino treporti | 10 novembre 2021

# OBIETTIVI SPECIFICI


SO1. creare un corpo di conoscenze organico sullo stato dell'arte del settore della piccola pesca nell'area di progetto

SO2. Promuovere l'innovazione sostenibile nel settore della piccola pesca nel mercato

SO3. Promuovere l'innovazione sostenibile nel settore della piccola pesca nella gestione e nei processi decisionali

# OBIETTIVI SPECIFICI

SO1. creare un corpo di conoscenze organico sullo stato dell'arte del settore della piccolo pesca nell'area di progetto



## PIANO DI LAVORO

- WP 4 - Valorizzazione della pesca artigianale e diversificazione delle opportunità



- ACT.4.2 Protocollo di certificazione di sostenibilità registrato

Leader del WP:  
Università Cà  
Foscari

*D4.2.1: Protocollo  
Condiviso di Pesca  
Sostenibile*

*D4.2.2: Marchio registrato di  
Pesca Sostenibile*

**AZIONI PILOTA A LIVELLO REGIONALE**



ACT. 4.3  
Sviluppo congiunto e  
sperimentazione di  
approcci eco-innovativi

ACT.4.4  
Innovazione del mercato  
**VILLAGGIO DEL  
PESCATORE**

WP 5  
Associazione  
Transfrontaliera


SO2. Promuovere l'innovazione sostenibile nel settore della piccola pesca nel mercato

## Act. 4.1 Co-creation




# Act. 4.2

## *Marchio registrato della piccola pesca sostenibile*



# Act. 4.3 – Sviluppo e sperimentazione di approcci innovativi




## Act. 4.3 – Sviluppo e sperimentazione di approcci innovativi

Esempio in regione Veneto

Pescherecci che pescano lungo la fascia costiera del Veneto, monitorati da un dispositivo "Marine Gps Tracking"

Il dispositivo di tracking è utile anche direttamente al pescatore, perché permette di ricevere allarmi via app quando non è in barca (es. scarica batteria, pompa di sentina, intrusione) (costo ~300 €)



## Act. 4.4 – Innovazione di mercato



SO3. Promuovere  
l'innovazione sostenibile nel  
settore della piccola pesca  
nella gestione e nei processi  
decisionali

*Creazione di  
un'organizzazione  
transfrontaliera degli  
operatori della piccola  
pesca  
(2 rami nazionali,  
regolati da accordo di  
collaborazione)*

Pursuant to Articles 11 and 13 of the Associations Act (Official Gazette 74/14, 70/17, 98/19), at the meeting held on XX XX 2021, the Constitutional Assembly of the Association has adopted the following:

#### THE STATUTE

OF THE CROSS-BORDER ASSOCIATION OF SMALL-SCALE FISHERMAN OF THE ADRIATIC

#### I GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### Article 1

- (1) The Cross-border Association of Small-scale Coastal Fishermen of the Adriatic (hereinafter: the Association) is an association, comprised of artisanal fishermen (natural and/or legal persons) from the Republic of Croatia and the Republic of Italy, belonging to the small-scale coastal fisheries sector, whose methods and conditions of commercial fishing and business are defined by relevant national laws and regulation as well as EU regulations and recommendations.
- (2) Small-scale coastal fishing is a segment of commercial fishery at marine and inland waters, which is carried out by fishing vessels of an overall length of less than twelve (12) meters, which do not use towed fishing gear, as defined in Article 2 paragraph 1 of the Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006, or without vessels, including shellfish collection.
- (3) The reason for establishing the Association is the protection and promotion of common professional, environmental, cultural, administrative, informational, economic, scientific, social and other interests and goals and the improvement and development of the small-scale coastal fishing sector in the Adriatic, through implementation of programs and plans for the long-term management and exploitation of fish stocks, and the protection and conservation of the marine ecosystem and biological resources of the sea.
- (4) Full membership in the Association, have and may had natural and/or legal persons from the Republic of Croatia and the Republic of Italy, who own only vessels smaller than 12 meters and fishing privileges (licences) in which towed fishing gear is not registered and who actively perform fishing activities.
- (5) In the legal transaction of the Association, version of the Statute in Italian and English languages can be used equally. The translation must be made by a certified court interpreter.

##### Article 2

This Statute shall regulate the following:

- Provisions about the name, registered office and territorial area of the Association
- Appearance of the stamp and logo of the Association,

#### STATUTO DELL'ASSOCIAZIONE DELLA PICCOLA PESCA ADRIATICA

Ai sensi delle vigenti disposizioni dell'Unione Europea e della Repubblica Italiana relative alle imprese e alle cooperative di pesca, ammantici e non ammantici, da consorzi e da associazioni o da altre forme associative tra le medesime, comunque denominate, rappresentative delle imprese e cooperative riconosciute dalle vigenti normative della Repubblica Italiana, appartenenti ai settori della piccola pesca e della piccola pesca artigianale, così come definiti dall'articolo 1 del DM Mipaaf 7 dicembre 2016 e dall'art. 26.1 del Regolamento CE N.1198/2006 del 27 Luglio 2006, il Comitato Consultivo del Distretto Nord Adriatico, di cui al DM Mipaaf 27 febbraio 2012, ha adottato il seguente Statuto dell'Associazione della Piccola Pesca Adriatica.

##### Articolo 1

L'Associazione della Piccola Pesca Adriatica (di seguito: l'Associazione) è un'associazione composta da imprese e cooperative di pesca, ammantici e non ammantici, da consorzi e da associazioni o da altre forme associative tra le medesime, comunque denominate, rappresentative delle imprese e cooperative riconosciute dalle vigenti normative della Repubblica Italiana, appartenenti ai settori della piccola pesca e della piccola pesca artigianale, così come definiti dall'articolo 1 del DM Mipaaf 7 dicembre 2016 e dall'art. 26.1 del Regolamento CE N.1198/2006 del 27 Luglio 2006, le quali esercitano attività di pesca professionale senza l'utilizzo di attrezzi da pesca trainati.

##### Articolo 2

L'Associazione è un ente non commerciale, non persegue finalità di lucro e non può distribuire utili e avanzi di gestione, nonché fondi, riserve o capitale, né in modo diretto né in modo indiretto. Se nell'esercizio delle sue attività l'Associazione realizza un'eccedenza di entrate rispetto alle spese, essa deve, in conformità con lo Statuto, essere utilizzata esclusivamente per lo svolgimento e il miglioramento delle attività dell'Associazione, che raggiungono gli obiettivi stabiliti dallo Statuto. L'Associazione non persegue finalità sindacali, politiche o di partito, ed è regolata a norma del Titolo I Cap. III, art. 36 e segg. del codice civile, nonché dal presente Statuto. L'Associazione è caratterizzata dalla democraticità della struttura, dalla elettività e gratuità delle cariche associative e dalle prestazioni fornite dai Soci e dall'obbligatorietà del bilancio. Essa è autonoma nell'organizzazione delle proprie attività.

##### Articolo 3

Finalità dell'Associazione sono: la protezione e la promozione di comuni interessi e obiettivi professionali, ambientali, culturali, amministrativi, informativi, economici, scientifici, sociali, nonché il miglioramento e lo sviluppo del settore della piccola pesca esercitata all'interno del mare nell'Adriatico, attraverso una gestione coordinata e condivisa delle risorse ittiche, e la protezione e la conservazione dell'ecosistema marino e delle risorse biologiche del mare.

##### Articolo 4

Possono far parte dell'Associazione le imprese e le cooperative di pesca, ammantici e non ammantici, riconosciute dalle vigenti normative della Repubblica Italiana, le quali possiedono le caratteristiche per appartenere ai settori della piccola pesca e della piccola pesca artigianale, così come definiti dall'articolo 1 del DM Mipaaf 7 dicembre 2016 e dall'art. 26.1 del Regolamento CE N.1198/2006 del 27 Luglio 2006. Altrimenti, possono essere membri dell'Associazione i consorzi, le associazioni e le unioni tra imprese o cooperative, ovvero altre forme associative comunque denominate, purché siano rappresentative di imprese o di cooperative.

Oggetto: registrazione partecipanti evento 10 novembre e controllo green pass - Incontro con i pescatori presso Casa del Pescatore, Punta sabbioni Jesolo

Partecipanti:

Boscolo Giorgio

Berton Ivano

Molin Nevio

Spinello Moreno

Vidal Luigi

Nemo Massimo

Berton Mirco

Gottardo Antonio

Igor Coccato

ùRelatori:

Cherubini Giuseppe

Caccin Alberto

Pranovi Fabio

Crosera Dionisio

Lucio Civiero


Alessandra Lazzarin

Responsabile evento per Arte Laguna srl





info@covepa.it • www.covepa.it



**COVE.P.A.**  
Casambi Vireni Pesca Regulabile




EH692CJ



SEMINARIO  
L'EUROPEE E LA POLITICA DI COESIONE REGIONALE

CIV  
L'EUROPEE E LA POLITICA DI COESIONE REGIONALE



PROF. FABIO PRANNOI  
Università del Piemonte Orientale



DOTT. ALBERTO CICCIN  
Università del Piemonte Orientale



DOTT. GIUSEPPE CHERUBINI  
Università del Piemonte Orientale



