

# INTERREG V A Italy – Croatia CBC Programme

**Strategic Calls for proposals**  
**Factsheet n. 5 Project Selection**

**ANNEXES**

**Selection criteria**

Version n. 2 of 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019



## Annex I – Admissibility selection criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are applicable to all Strategic themes.

CRITERIA		EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
A1	<b>Submission and completeness of AF and LP annexes</b>	Has the Application Form (AF) been submitted via the SIU system?	Par. "D" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal. No other method of submission of an application will be accepted. Applications not submitted via the SIU will be rejected.	Check performed by JS on AF submitted via the SIU system.
		Have the AF been submitted within the set deadline?	Par. "D" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal submitted after the deadline.	Check performed by JS on the SIU system on submitted AF (submission date and time is registered by the SIU) .
		Is the AF the only one submitted for the given project?		REJECTION of project proposal with the same acronym (the SIU system does not allow this).	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
		Has the AF been filled-in in English?	Par. "D" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal if filled-in in languages other than English.	Check performed by JS.
		Is the AF duly signed by LP's legal representative or by a person in charge of signature according to		REJECTION of project proposal: a) if the LP's signature is missing; b) in case of handwritten signature if ID of the subscriber is missing.	Check performed by JS on all AF signed and submitted via the SIU.

		what declared in the AF?			
--	--	--------------------------	--	--	--

## Annex II – Eligibility assessment criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are common to all Strategic Themes.

CRITERIA		EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
B1	Submission and completeness of PP annexes	Are all the compulsory LP annexes produced, completely filled-in in English language and in the compulsory Programme template?		REJECTION of project proposal if clarification is not provided within the set deadline	Check performed by SIU on the presence and number of submitted annexes. Check on contents and completeness of annexes performed by JS.
		Are LP annexes signed by the legal representative of the LP, or by a person in charge of signature according to what declared in the AF?		REJECTION of project proposal if clarification is not provided within the set deadline	Check performed by JS

		<p>Are all the compulsory PP annexes produced, completely filled in in English language and in the compulsory Programme template?</p>		<p>The PP is excluded if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; the exclusion leading to infringement of criterion as reported in par. F of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.</p>	<p>Check performed by the SIU system on the presence and number of submitted annexes. Check on contents and completeness of annexes performed by JS.</p>
		<p>Are PP annexes duly signed by the legal representative of the PP or by a person in charge of signature according to what declared in the AF?</p>		<p>The PP is excluded if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; the exclusion leading to infringement of criterion reported in par. F of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.</p>	<p>Check performed by JS.</p>

		In case small scale infrastructures, construction works are foreseen, are the authorisations and permits present or there is the commitment (i.e. specific declaration in the AF) to provide them within the set deadline, as defined in the Call Announcement?		REJECTION of the project proposal” (unless the infrastructure is not essential in which case the concerned activities and related budget will be cut) – the LP can be requested to provide clarifications on the submitted documents or additional documentation within a set deadline; no extension of the timeframe is allowed	Check performed by JS
<b>B2</b>	<b>Minimum requirements for partnership</b>	Are the project partners at least three Italian and three Croatian (Associated PPs are not to be considered)?	Par. “F” of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Countercheck performed by JS.

<b>B3</b>	<b>LP and PP are eligible organisations</b>	Is the LP located in the eligible Programme area or can it be considered an Assimilated Partner?	Par. "G" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal.	Warning in the SIU system as a result of automatic check . Counter check performed by JS.
		Is the LP complying with the beneficiary typologies and LP requirements stated in the Call Announcement and in Strategic Theme Concepts?	Par. "G" of the Call Announcement Par. "f. Categories of partners to be involved" of the Strategic theme concepts	REJECTION of project proposal	Check performed by JS.
		Is the LP of the project proposal involved as LP in only ONE Strategic project (considering its own organization as a unique body, no distinction between Departments/Technical Unit/etc. within the same body)?	Par. "G" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of all project proposals submitted by the same LP.	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
		Is the LP located in Croatia in case of Strategic Themes related to Specific Objectives 1.1,	Par. "G" of the Call; Par. "f. Categories of partners to be	REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL	

	2.2 and 3.1 or in Italy in case Strategic Themes related to Specific Objectives 2.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1?	involved” of the Strategic theme concepts		
	Are PPs located in the eligible Programme area or can they be considered Assimilated Partners?	Par. “F” of the Call Announcement	The PP is excluded . The exclusion leading to infringement of criterion as reported in the paragraph F. of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Warning in the SIU system as a result of automatic check. Counter check on assimilated partners performed by JS.
	Do PPs comply with the Partnership requirements stated in the Call Announcement and in the Strategic Theme Concepts? (requirements and restrictions set by the Call for Proposals are respected)	Par. “F” of the Call Announcement	PP is excluded. The exclusion leading to infringement of the respect of the partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
	Do the Associated Partners (if any) comply with the requirements set in par. F of the Call Announcement?	Par. “F” of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the concerned Associated partner, and consequent budget revision - Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline	Check performed by JS.

<b>B4</b>	<b>Project duration</b>	Is the project duration in line with the time limit set in the Call for Proposals?	Par. "J" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
<b>B5</b>	<b>No evidence double-financing</b>	Is there no evidence of double-financing on the basis of the LP and PPs declarations?		Clarification within the set deadline, and rejection of project proposal if the compliance of the LP to the criterion is missing . If the compliance is missing for one PP and it is excluded for this reason the exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
<b>B6</b>	<b>Budget requirements</b>	Is the minimum ERDF contribution per PP respected?	Par. "I" of the Call Announcement	Exclusion of the PP. The exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements as set in the Theme Concept leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
		Does the total financial dimension and requested co-financing comply with the indication	Par. "I" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal.	Automatic checks in SIU system will not allow financial dimension above ERDF availability for



		included in the Call Announcement for the relevant Strategic Theme?			the Strategic Theme. Counter check performed by JS.
		Does ERDF co-financing not exceed 85% of total project budget?	Par. "H" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
<b>B7</b>	<b>Co-financing secured</b>	Is the amount of the Co-financing indicated in the LP annexes equal or higher than the amount of the co-financing indicated in the Application Form?		REJECTION of project proposal; Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.	Check performed by JS.
		Is the amount of the co-financing indicated in the PP annexes equal or higher than the amount of the co-financing indicated in the Application Form?		PP is excluded. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline. The exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.

<p><b>B8</b></p>	<p><b>Effect on natural habitat Natura 2000 sites</b></p>	<p>For projects likely to have a significant effect on natural habitat Natura 2000 sites (soft measures are exempt): is the assessment of project implications (neutral or positive) for the site according to Habitat Directive available? If not, is there a commitment (i.e. a declaration included in the AF) on making it available within the set deadline?</p>		<p>REJECTION of project proposal unless the activity is not essential in which case the concerned activities and related budget will be cut). – the LP can be requested to provide clarifications on the submitted documents or additional documentation within a set deadline; no extension of the timeframe is allowed Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.</p>	<p>Check performed by JS.</p>
<p><b>B9</b></p>	<p><b>Cooperation criteria</b></p>	<p>Do the partners declare to cooperate at least in all the following ways: joint development, joint implementation and joint financing?</p>		<p>REJECTION of project proposal. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.</p>	<p>A check on the presence of the declaration is performed by the SIU system before AF submission. Counter check performed by JS.</p>

<b>B10</b>	<b>Respect of horizontal principles</b>	Is the commitment for the respect of the horizontal principles (equal opportunities and non-discrimination, equality between men and women and sustainable development) included?		REJECTION of project proposal. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.	A check on the presence of the commitment is performed by the SIU system before AF submission. Counter check performed by JS.
------------	---	---	--	--	---

<b>B11</b>	<b>Strategic Themes requirements</b>	Is the proposal including all the expected outputs set in the relevant Strategic Theme Concept?	Par. "B" of the Call Announcement Par. e." Expected output" of the Strategic Theme concepts	REJECTION of project proposal.	Check performed by JS
		Are all the macro-activities set in the relevant Strategic Theme Concept clearly tackled by the proposal?	Par. "B" of the Call Announcement Par. s." Macro-activities" of the Strategic Theme concepts	REJECTION of project proposal.	Check performed by JS

## Eligibility Criteria for Specific Objectives

The following criteria refer to the presence of mandatory declarations included by the Lead Applicant in the “Commitments” and “Statements” sections of the AF.

### Specific Objective 1.1

B12 a	SO 1.1	Project proposals tackling <u>aquaculture</u> : respect of Marine Strategy Directive (2008/56/EC) is included
B12 b		There is a commitment that the principles of <u>environmental sustainability</u> are addressed and taken into consideration
B12 c		Project proposals involving <u>building constructions and renovation</u> : respect of Directive 2010/31/EU is included
B12 d		Project proposals <u>purchasing products</u> : in case of central government authorities, a commitment to respect Directive 2012/27/EU is included

### Specific Objective 2.1

B13 a	SO 2.1	Project proposals targeting <u>flood protection and water management</u> : the respect of the requirements to Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC), RBMPs & FRMP under Directive 2007/60/EC is confirmed
B13 b		Project proposals <u>purchasing products</u> : in case of central government authorities, a commitment to respect Directive 2012/27/EU is included

### Specific Objective 3.1

B14 a	SO 3.1	Project proposals <u>promoting tourism in protected habitats (reference to caves)</u> : there is a clear and evident reference that an environmental impact assessment is going to be performed or has been already performed
B14 b		There is a commitment that the principles of <u>environmental sustainability</u> are addressed and taken into consideration
B14 c		Project proposals involving <u>building constructions and renovation</u> : respect of Directive 2010/31/EU is included
B14 d		Project proposals <u>purchasing products</u> : in case of central government authorities, a commitment to respect Directive 2012/27/EU is included

### Specific Objective 3.2

<b>B15 a</b>	<b>SO 3.2</b>	Project proposals tackling <u>sea environment</u> : reference to Marine Strategy Directive (2008/56/EC) is included
<b>B15 b</b>		Project proposals targeting <u>water management</u> : the respect of the requirements of Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC), RBMPs & FRMP under Directive 2007/60/EC is confirmed
<b>B15 c</b>		Project proposals tackling issues related to <u>fish species</u> : reference to Common Fisheries Policy is included
<b>B16 d</b>		Project proposals tackling issues related to <u>birds and other threatened or endemic animal and plant species</u> : reference to Birds and Habitats Directives is included

### Specific Objective 3.3

<b>B17 a</b>	<b>SO 3.3</b>	Project proposals targeting <u>water-related activities</u> : the respect of the requirements of Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC), coherence with the Common Fisheries Policy, RBMPs & FRMP under Directive 2007/60/EC is confirmed
------------------	-------------------	---

### Specific Objective 4.1

<b>B18 a</b>	<b>SO 4.1</b>	There is a commitment that the principles of <u>environmental sustainability</u> are addressed and taken into consideration
<b>B18 b</b>		Project proposals involving <u>building constructions and renovation</u> : respect of Directive 2010/31/EU is included
<b>B18 c</b>		Project proposals <u>purchasing products</u> : in case of central government authorities, a commitment to respect Directive 2012/27/EU is included
<b>B18 d</b>		The project proposal's contribution to the National Air Pollution Control Programmes for reaching National Emission Ceilings or emission ceilings under the Gothenburg Protocol is confirmed
<b>B18 e</b>		Project proposals including actions related to the <u>construction or reconstruction of the sea port infrastructure</u> : the respect of Water Framework Directive requirements is confirmed

<p><b>B18 f</b></p>		<p>Project proposals <u>modifying the hydro morphological characteristics of a water body causing deterioration of the status</u>: there is a commitment that the appropriate analyses as required by Article 4(7) of Water Framework Directive are planned to be carried out as early as possible in the planning process (analysis of alternatives or better environmental options, the set-up of the necessary mitigation measures, and a justification of the importance of the project for overriding public interest)</p>
<p><b>B18 g</b></p>		<p>When relevant: it is confirmed that the project proposal takes into account the Air Quality Plans (in which transport measures play a key role) under Directive 2008/50/EC</p>

## Annex III – Quality assessment criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are applicable to Strategic project proposals as specified. The tables display the guiding question, the value of the question, the attributed scores, the multiplier, the quantified points and where to find the related information in the application form.

### C.1 Overall Strategic Criteria

#### C.1.1 Project context – relevance and strategy

C.1.1.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project address common territorial challenges and opportunities in the Programme area, in particular as defined in the strategic theme description?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	



C.1.1.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project take in due consideration the available knowledge and existing results and practices in the thematic domain addressed?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data":  Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data"  Section E "Partners"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.1.1.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Do the project expected results contribute to EU/national/regional policies and/or strategies in the thematic domain(s) addressed by the project? Is the coherence and synergy with ERDF regional and national programmes and other relevant regional/ local financial instruments ensured?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.1.1.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project make a positive contribution to the Programme horizontal principle: equal opportunities and non-discrimination?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"  Section I "Project"
		Yes	1		1	

C.1.1.e	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project make a positive contribution to the Programme horizontal principle: equality between men and women?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Yes	1		1	
						Section I "Project"

C.1.1.f	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project make a positive contribution to the Programme horizontal principles: sustainable development?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Yes	1		1	Section I "Project"

## C.1.2. Cooperation character

C.1.2.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	How well is the need for a CB approach demonstrated?	No	0	2	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		2	
		Yes	2		4	

C.1.2.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
Is there a clear benefit from cooperating for all the involved project partners and both side of the borders?	No		0	3	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data"
	Partially		1		3	Section E "Partners - Other data"
	Yes		2		6	Section F "Project key data"

C.1.2.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
To what extent does the project demonstrate new solutions that go beyond the existing practice in the sector/Programme area/participating countries?	No		0	2	0	Section F "Project key data"
	Partially		1		2	
	Yes		2		4	

### C.1.3 Project contribution to Programme's objective, expected results and outputs

C.1.3.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Is the project intervention logic internally aligned and linked to the Programme Intervention logic?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data"
	A. The project overall objective clearly links to the strategic theme objectives	Partially (a)	1		1	
	B. The project results clearly link to strategic expected outputs;	Partially (b)	2		2	
	C. The project specific objectives clearly link to the project overall objective	Yes (c)	3		3	

- (a) Only one (A or B or C) is fulfilled
- (b) Two requirements are fulfilled (A and B; A and C or B and C)
- (c) All three requirements are fulfilled

C.1.3.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Do the project main outputs clearly link to the project specific objectives and contribute to Programme output indicators, as defined in the Strategic Theme Concepts?	No	0	2	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Project main outputs description", "Programme output indicator related" and "Project specific objectives description"  Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs"
Partially		1	2			
Yes		2	4			



C.1.3.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are project main outputs durable (i.e.: the proposal is expected to provide a significant and durable contribution to solving the challenges targeted)?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Outputs and results durability" and " Outputs and results transferability "
		Partially	1		1	Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs", " Durability of WP outputs" and
		Yes	2		2	"Transferability of WP outputs"

C.1.3.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	To which extent are project main outputs replicable by other organizations/ regions outside of the current partnership (transferability)?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Outputs and results durability" and " Outputs and results transferability"
Partially		1	1		Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs", " Durability of WP outputs" and "Transferability of WP outputs"	
Yes		2	2			

C.1.3.e	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
<p>Are the selected target groups in accordance with the project specific objectives and related main outputs?</p>	No	0	1	0	<p>Section F "Project Key data": Field "Target groups", "Description of the target groups" and "Target value"</p>	
	Partially	1		1		
	Yes	2		2	<p>Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"</p>	

C.1.3.f	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are results and main outputs specific and realistic? If SSI are foreseen, are they feasible, consistent with the project aims and of cross-border relevance?	No	0	1	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Project results" and "Project main outputs description"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs"

## C.1.4 Partnership relevance

C.1.4.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project involve the relevant actors as project partners defined in the Strategic Theme Concepts (considering also the involvement of the Associated) to address the territorial challenges/joint assets and the objectives specified? (Yes = all categories / Partially = at least 50% of the envisaged categories / No = Less than 50%)	No	0	2	0	Section B "Lead Applicant -Other data": Field "Competence", "Organisational structure and resources" and "Expertise in EU/International projects" Section E "Partners", Field "Competence", Field "Organisational structure and resources" and "Expertise in EU/International projects"
		Partially	1		2	
		Yes	2		4	

C.1.4.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	With respect to the project's objectives the project partnership: - Is balanced with respect to the levels, sectors, territory? - Does it consist of partners that complement each other?	No	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Competence", "Organisational structure and resources" and "Expertise in EU/International projects"  Section E "Partners" - Field "Competence", "Organisational structure and resources", and "Expertise in EU/International projects"  Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.1.4.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	To which extent the partnership covers the Programme Area?	Low	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Competence", "Organisational structure and resources" and "Expertise in EU/International projects"  Section E "Partners" - Field "Competence", "Organisational structure and resources", and "Expertise in EU/International projects"  Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"
Medium		1	1			
High		2	2			

C.1.4.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the partnership as a whole and does each partner have proven experience and competence in the thematic field concerned to achieve the envisaged outputs and expected results?	No	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Competence" and "Expertise in EU/International projects"  Section E "Partners" : Field "Competence" and "Expertise in EU/International projects"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	



C.1.4.e	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Do all involved partners play a defined role in the partnership and get a real benefit from it?	No	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant – Other data": Field "Benefit on participation"  Section E "Partners-Other data": Field "Benefit on participation"  Section F "Project key data": Field "Joint development", "Joint implementation", "Joint staffing" and "Joint financing"  Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

## C. 2 Operational Criteria

### C.2.1 Management

C.2.1.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
Does the LP have sound previous experience in managing ETC projects?		No	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Expertise in EU/International projects"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.1.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
Did the PPs clearly explain their capacity to implement the project (financial, human resources, etc.)?		No	0	1	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Competence", and "Organisational structure and resources" Section E "Partners": Field "Competence" and "Organisational structure and resources" Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.1.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are the foreseen management structures (e.g.: project steering committee, project coordination unit) proportionate to the partnership and project size and needs and allow partners' involvement in decision-making?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project" - Field "WP1 description", "WP1 activities and deliverables" and "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.1.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Have the project management risks been identified and mitigation measures foreseen?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "WP1 description" and "WP1 activities and deliverables" Section H "Budget general information" - Field "Infrastructure risk associated"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.1.e	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	<p>Have the management procedures (such as reporting and evaluation procedures in the area of finance, project content, communication) been defined in a clear, transparent, efficient and effective way?</p> <p>Does project management include regular contacts between project partners and ensure transfer of expertise across the partnership (internal communication within the partnership)?</p>	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "WP1 description" and "WP1 activities and deliverables"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

## C.2.2 Communication

C.2.2.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are the communication objectives clearly linked to the project specific objectives? Are the communication activities coherently integrated in the overall project strategy?	No	0	1	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication objectives description" Section I "Project": Field "WP2description" and "WP2 activities"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.2.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are the approach/tactics chosen appropriate to reach communication objectives?	No	0	2	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication tactics/approach" Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description" and "WP2 activities"
		Yes	1		2	

C.2.2.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	To which extent are the proposed information and dissemination activities able to achieve visibility among relevant target groups and stakeholders (e.g. the relevant target groups are clearly defined and cover the entire project area? The general approach towards each group is described through relevant channels, tailored activities, etc.)?	No	0	1	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication tactics/approach" and "Target groups of communication"  Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description", "WP2 activities" and "WPs outputs transferability"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.2.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Does the project make provisions for feedback mechanisms and evaluation measures for the communication activities?	No	0	1	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication tactics/approach"  Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description", "WP2 activities" and "WPs outputs transferability"
		Yes	1		1	

## C.2.3 Work plan

C.2.3.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are the work plan and timing of activities, deliverables and outputs: A. realistic; B. consistent; C. transparent?	No	0	3	0	Section I "Project"
		Partially (a)	1		3	
		Partially (b)	2		6	
		Yes	3		9	

a) Only one out of three requirements (A,B,C) fulfilled

b) Only two out of three requirements (A,B,C) fulfilled



C.2.3.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Is the overall project duration realistic to achieve the foreseen outputs?	No	0	2	0	Section F "Project key data": Field "Start date and end date" and "Project outputs description" Section I "Project": Field "WPs expected outputs description"
		Yes	1		2	

C.2.3.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	How well is the distribution of tasks among partners appropriate to their respective expertise (e.g. sharing of tasks is clear, logical, in line with partners' role in the WPs, etc.)?	No	0	2	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Benefit on participation", "Competence" and "Expertise in EU/International projects" Section E "Partners": Field "Benefit in participation", "Competence" and "Expertise in EU/International projects" Section I "Project" : Field "WPs description"
		Partially	1		2	
		Yes	2		4	

## C.2.4 Budget

C.2.4.a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Are planned resources reasonable to ensure project implementation? Is the project budget proportionate to the proposed work plan, main outputs and results aimed for?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.4.b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	Is the distribution of budget between PPs reasonable and proportionate? Are partners' budgets coherent with their respective role in project activities for each Work Package?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.4.c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	To which extent is: - The overall financial allocation per budget lines justified and correctly quantified? - The financial allocation per budget line within each activity justified?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	

C.2.4.d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application form
	To which extent is: - The distribution of the budget per period coherent with the work plan? - The distribution of the budget per Work Package coherent with the workplan?	No	0	1	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	1		1	
		Yes	2		2	Section J "Financial plan"

### C.3 Strategic theme assessment criteria

#### Strategic Theme 1.1.1 "Blue technology - Developing innovative technologies for sustainability of Adriatic Sea".

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal clearly addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and/or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	To what extent is the project proposal clearly addressing a Cross Border actions demonstrating Joint development of human resources and knowledge sharing along with increasing capabilities in using new technology?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	

c	Is the project proposal tackling concrete development and piloting of eco-innovative tools and processes in the field of robotics and sensor for sea pollution prevention and control?	No	0	5	0	Section G "Communication approach".
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Is the project proposal clearly contributing to development of DIH and living lab for underwater robotics and sensors in Adriatic sea?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	
e	Is the project proposal based on quadruple helix approach supporting networking in the field of robotics and sensors by developing Strategy and Action plan?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	1		3	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		6	
f	Is the project proposal including elements for fostering the integration of scientific-research and private sector?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		10	Section I "Project"

g	Is there any action focusing on the development of human capital skills and competences increase regarding novel technologies ?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

**Strategic theme 2.1.1 “Climate change adaptation - Climate change data and modeling systems for knowledge and cooperation improvement for adaptation and mitigation strategies planning in urban coastal and marine environment”.**

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and /or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal focused on activities offering a medium-term response to a long-term phenomenon?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	
c	Does the project set up cross-border bodies/structures dealing with climate change adaptation?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

d	Is the project proposal supporting complementarities of the proposed activities with existing observing and modeling systems present in the cooperation area?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
e	Is the project proposal focusing on marine or coastal areas (where coastal areas are the Municipalities having access to the sea)?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	
f	Is the project proposal including elements for fostering training activities?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	
g	Does the project improve harmonization of data and procedures and exchange of information mechanisms?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	



**Strategic theme 2.2.1 “Flood risk - Developed methods and tools to be used for managing flood risks and their related impact in Programme area”.**

	<b>Guiding question</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Multiplier</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Application Form</b>
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and /or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal focused on activities offering an immediate response to floods?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
c	Does the project include elements for fostering training/education on flood risks?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

d	Is the project proposal supporting complementarities with/improvement of flood cadastres previously present in the cooperation area?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	
e	Is the project proposal focusing on areas affected by flood risk	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
f	Is the project proposal including elements for enhancing citizens' participatory process in relation to flood risk procedures?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		8	Section I "Project"

g	Does the project develop standardized procedures/schemes to tackle flood risk?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

## Strategic theme 2.2.2 “Oil spills and other marine hazards and fire and earthquake - Strengthening of preparedness and prevention of hazards” .

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and /or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal tackling fire risk, marine hazards and oil spills?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

c	Does the project set up cross-border structures dealing with training/education on risks management ?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Is the project proposal supporting complementarities with/improvement of Emergency Services Regulatory System previously present in the cooperation area?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	
e	Is the project proposal focusing on marine or coastal areas (where coastal areas are the Municipalities having access to the sea)?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	

f	Is the project proposal including elements for enhancing citizens' participatory process in relation to hazard management procedures?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		8	Section I "Project"
g	Does the project develop standardized procedures/schemes to tackle natural and man-made disasters?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	

**Strategic Theme 3.1.1 “Coastal and inland tourism - Smart specialization and improved quality in tourism for a green and sustainable development for Mediterranean islands, coastal and inland”.**

	<b>Guiding question</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Multiplier</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Application Form</b>
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 3 and/or4?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal creating synergies in nature, cultural heritage and tourism and supporting the leverage capacity of natural and cultural valorisation?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	

c	Is the project proposal focused on sustainable management and promotion of the UNESCO/Tentative list of UNESCO World Heritage Sites and/or Natura 2000 sites and in areas where cultural/ natural heritage is less known?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Is the project proposal fostering smart specialization for tourism destination?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	
e	Is the project proposal including elements for improving accessibility of natural and cultural heritage sites in islands, rural, inland and coastal Adriatic area?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		10	Section I "Project"



f	Is there any action focusing on the development of human capital skills and competences consistent with the strategic theme?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

**Strategic theme 3.2.1 ” Marine environment - Improve the environmental quality conditions and biodiversity of coastal, marine, and inland waters and ecosystems consolidating sustainable and innovative technologies and approaches related to integrated monitoring, modeling systems and restoration”.**

	<b>Guiding question</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Multiplier</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Application Form</b>
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and/or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal elaborating bio-indicators and water management plans ?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

c	Is the project proposal aimed at increasing the knowledge on marine biodiversity, designating and improving management of marine protected areas (especially marine Natura 2000 network) and joint management of cross-border protected areas?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Has the project complementarity with existing modeling tools?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	
e	Is the project idea setting up shared platforms regarding monitoring and modeling of inland and marine waters?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	

f	Has the project elements aiming to develop tools for integrated management of the sea, coastal and river environment and of cross-border natural resources (i.e. coordinated Maritime Spatial Planning (MSP) and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM))?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
g	Is the project proposal including elements for increasing stakeholders capacity building?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		8	Section I "Project"

**Strategic Theme 3.2.2 “Fishery and aquaculture - Shared Governance of Sustainable fisheries and aquaculture activities as leverage to protect marine resources in the Adriatic sea”.**

	<b>Guiding question</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Multiplier</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Application Form</b>
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillars 1 and/or 3?	No	0	3	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		3	
		Yes	2		6	
b	Is the project proposal developing common framework and protocols?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		8	

c	Has the project elements aiming to harmonize collection and assessment of fisheries and aquaculture data?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Has the project elements aiming to change the behaviors of Adriatic aquaculture operators towards sustainability?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		10	Section I "Project"
e	Is the project proposal establishing demonstration and experimental centers?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	

f	Is the project proposal including pilot actions oriented to protect marine species and restore marine habitats?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
g	Is the project involving Adriatic institutions competent for fisheries and aquaculture management, able to act as a whole and to represent the Adriatic basin needs at European and international level?	No	0	4	0	Section E "Partners"
		Partially	1		4	Section F "Project key data"
		Yes	2		8	Section I "Project"

**Strategic Theme 3.3.1 “Marine Litter - Shared actions and plans for integrated and cross-border management of the coastal and marine litter in a life cycle approach perspective”.**

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillar 1 and/or 3?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
b	Is the project proposal going beyond national/regional approach to marine litter (ML) and promote cooperation within the Adriatic area, by promoting a cross-border governance platform for ML?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	



c	Is the project proposal including promotion campaign about marine litter impacts on sea and coastal environment?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	2		10	Section I "Project"
d	Are the project activities foreseeing marine litter prevention and capture focusing specifically on microplastics?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

e	Is the project specifically identifying innovative technologies for the collection/removal/treatment of microplastic and marine litter?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	
f	Is the project proposal including activities for the assessment of marine litter (beached and/or laid down on seafloor) impact on different key sectors of the Programme area?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

**Strategic Theme 4.1.1 “Maritime Transport - Sustainable Ports through fostering alternative fuels, sustainable energy sources and energy efficiency”.**

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillar 2 ?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	
		Yes	2		12	
b	Is the project proposal in line with the urban and national and regional mobility plans of the territories concerned?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	
		Yes	2		12	

c	Is the project proposal including elements supporting the shift toward the low-carbon economy and in particular with energy efficiency good practices and green transport modalities ?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	
d	Is the project proposal focusing on jointly pilot actions among ports having already cross-border links connecting them?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	
e	Does the project contribute to the adoption of advanced solutions for alternative fuels for ships application in all ports authorities of the Programme Area?	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	

**Strategic Theme 4.1.2 “Mobility of passengers - Multimodal transport solutions and services for fostering, supporting and promoting a new passenger sustainable mobility”.**

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillar 2 ?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
b	Is the project proposal producing transport sustainability action plans in line with the urban national and regional mobility policies of the territories concerned?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	

c	Is the project proposal including elements supporting the shift toward the low-carbon economy with innovative greener multimodal solutions?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
d	Is the project proposal focusing on multimodal transport solutions and services for fostering, supporting and promoting a new passenger sustainable mobility?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
e	Does the project contribute to the adoption of Info mobility tools and smart solutions for mobility and e-Government to facilitate mobility of the people?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	

f	Is the project proposal including elements for fostering services for the accessibility of passengers/disadvantage groups?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	
g	Does the project proposal support or include facilities for greener multimodal solutions which go beyond cost-optimal levels according to Directive 2010/31/EU?	No	0	2	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Yes	1		2	Section H "Budget general information"

### Strategic Theme 4.1.3 “Nautical services - Small ports as driver for improvement of maritime transport and sustainable development in the Adriatic area”.

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Multiplier	Points	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal evidently addressing EUSAIR Action Plan and the actions for Pillar 2 or cross-pillars actions for pillars 2 and 4?	No	0	4	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		4	
		Yes	2		8	
b	Is the project proposal in line with the urban and national and regional mobility plans of the territories concerned?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	
c	Is the project proposal including elements supporting the shift toward the low-carbon economy on small ports for making marine and coastal transport services	No	0	6	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		6	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		12	



	more secure and more environmentally sustainable?					
d	Is the project proposal focusing on marine, coastal area and inner areas?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
e	Does the project contribute to the adoption of ICT systems and advanced solutions for mobility of the people among small ports and inner and costal areas?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	Section I "Project"
		Yes	2		10	
f	Is the project proposal including elements for fostering services for the accessibility of passengers/disadvantage groups in relation with small ports accessibility?	No	0	5	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	1		5	
		Yes	2		10	

## Annex IV - State aid assessment

CRITERIA	EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
Fulfillment of all the 5 criteria as inferable from the definition of State Aid provided in the Article 107(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (if one single criterion is not fulfilled, the assistance granted is not subject to the EU rules on State Aid).	In the context of the project, the applicant can be considered as an undertaking	LP/PP declarations; FS3 (par. F. "State aid" aid")	No State aid relevant activity exist (in case of the answer is "NO" to one or all the items)	Check performed by JS/ State aid expert
	("YES", "NO" answer)		A potential case of State aid relevant activity occurs (in case of the answer is "YES" to all the items)	
	Does the aid give an advantage to any of the LP or PPs?		If the case, conditions for avoiding State aid shall be adopted (by adapting the activities of the project, reducing of the overall project budget, removing indirect aid granted to project final beneficiaries).	
	("YES", "NO" answer)			
	In the context of the project the applicants carries out economic activity.			
	("YES", "NO" answer)			
	In the context of the project, the aid gives the			

	<p>applicant selective advantage.</p> <p>(“YES”, “NO” answer)</p> <p>The activities that are considered favoring certain undertakings or the production of certain goods distort or threaten to distort competition and have an effect on trade and market.</p> <p>(“YES”, “NO” answer)</p>	a		<p>REJECTION of the interested project activities if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; if no countermeasures and conditions can be adopted ensuring compliance of the approved project with State Aid rules, the Programme may exclude the concerned partner or reject the proposal.</p>	
<p>In case of State aid relevance, the de minimis threshold for the previous three fiscal years is sufficient for awarding of the requested ERDF contribution.</p>	<p>Has LP/PPs received any de minimis grant from Italy within the last three fiscal years?</p>	LP/PP declarations	MA/JS proceed with the needed verification and due activities	Check performed by JS/ State aid expert	
	<p>Is the project budget allocated to the LP/PPs under the ceiling of 200.000 euro?</p>	<p>LP/PP declaration. Moreover in the case The LP shall collect and</p>	<p>If the answer is “YES”, MA/JS proceed with the needed verification and due activities.</p>	Check performed by JS/ State aid expert	

	<p>           (“YES”, answer)         </p>	<p>           “NO”         </p>	<p>           provide formal committment to fill-in an award Letter of “De minimis” from each concerned PP and/or interested final beneficiaries participating to the project activities (in case of II level State aid relevance).         </p>	<p>           If the answer is “NO” the concerned PP is excluded. The exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements as set in the Theme Concept leads to the rejection of the project proposal.         </p>	
--	--	---------------------------------	--	--	--

## Annex V - Focus on expected outputs per Strategic Theme

### 1.1.1 Blue Technology

- 1) Development of a cross-border network for scientific-research sector and the private sector based on demand and offers
- 2) Developed tools and workshop materials for raising human capital
- 3) Designed and implemented questionnaire for stakeholders.
- 4) Developed methodology and business plan for DIH for innovative underwater robotics and sensors and living lab in Adriatic Sea
- 5) Pilot action I. - creating a prototype that is innovative robotic solution as a platform for development of solutions for monitoring and prediction of the sea pollution;
- 6) Pilot action II. –analysis of the obtained results on pilot action I and guidelines for the improvement of underwater conditions.
- 7) Established DIH for innovative underwater robotics and sensors and living lab in Adriatic sea.
- 8) Developed Strategy and Action plan for the enhancement of framework conditions for raising collaboration and networking in the field of robotics and sensors for further steps in public policies based on quadruple helix approach.

### 2.1.1 Climate change adaptation

- 1) Cross border methodologies/protocols set up, aimed at harmonizing and improving accessibility of observing and modeling tools and products;
- 2) Regional Integrated Monitoring Systems developed, with a special focus on hydro-meteo-marine climatological dimension (at least three integrated monitoring systems put in place – each dealing with different typologies of data);
- 3) Climate change risks and vulnerability maps developed for targeted pilot case studies;
- 4) Workshops and trainings addressed to stakeholders carried out;
- 5) Local plans of adaptation designed and adopted by Local Authorities in coastal territories (at least two plans should be adopted within the program area by local authorities in coastal territories: one in Italy and one in Croatia);
- 6) Permanent Cross border Expert Management Body set up.

### 2.2.1 Flood risk

- 1) 1 flood cadaster created/updated per Country and 1 shared portal for the involved flood cadasters realized;
- 2) 1 Flood Risk Management Plan (it must include flood risk maps and risk receptors) per Country created/updated and 1 shared Flood Risk Management Plan created;
- 3) 1 technology/system for the early warning developed in Programme area and integrated in the Flood Risk Management Plan;
- 4) At least 500 people from relevant stakeholders trained in each country about the Flood Risk Management Plan realized (ref. to output 2) and about the technology/system for the early warning developed (ref. to output 3);
- 5) Events: 3 public events for the dissemination of the project outputs per each involved territory; 5 events to train civil protection units to increase the level of preparedness of inhabitants to react properly in case of natural/man-made disaster (flood).

### 2.2.2 Oil spills and other marine hazards and fire and earthquake

- 1) Standardized cross border procedures to tackle risks between Italy and Croatia, developed and adopted;
- 2) Advanced training centres focused on main risks addressed set up;
- 3) Improvement of Cross border Emergency Management System ;
- 4) Campaigns for raising awareness carried out, involving population living in Municipalities at high level of risk – specific guidelines on how to contribute to Civil Protection activities of natural and man-made risks forecasting, prevention, monitoring and management within its own living territory.

### 3.1.1 Coastal and Inland tourism

- 1) CB partnership platform of scientific, private and public sector for joint strategic planning and management of CB tourist destination
- 2) Strategic framework based on smart specialization for management of CB tourist destination through digitalization, cultural industries and promotion of cultural and natural heritage
- 3) marketing and promotional campaign of CB tourist destination
- 4) 10 ecolabel/green certifications obtained ;
- 5) promotion campaign about ecolabel/green certification and their importance for the sustainable tourism.
- 6) natural/cultural heritage sites with improved accessibility put in place

### 3.2.1 Marine environment

- 1) Integrated monitoring and modeling tools (hydrological, oceanographic and ecosystem) tested and implemented

- 2) Pilot restoration actions addressed to marine endangered species and related ecosystems carried out
- 3) Data platform collecting information and data regarding monitoring and modeling of inland and marine waters at Adriatic level made available
- 4) MSP, ICZM, LSI decision support framework set up.

### **3.2.2 Fisheries and aquaculture**

- 1) Common Adriatic governance framework for fisheries and aquaculture management implemented;
- 2) Common protocols and guidelines of specific Maritime Spatial Planning operative tools and monitoring protocols for small scale fisheries;
- 3) Direct and indirect pilot actions carried out (testing of innovative methods for strengthening demersal marine populations; positioning of artificial devices for benthic and demersal species restocking; setting up of multi-purpose artificial reefs in aquaculture area; testing of co-management protocols of fishing areas by Adriatic fishermen; establishment of demonstration and experimental aquaculture centers).

### **3.3.1 Marine litter**

- 1) Marine Litter prevention and capture: use of innovative materials (bio-plastics biodegradable) in aquaculture; assessment of new production technologies for aquaculture. Prevention of beached litter. Testing of commercial waste and microplastic collectors (for example Seabin) in touristic marinas; modelling of the current circulation patterns including marine litter and Environmental Impact assessment indicators. Collection and disposal in special facilities of fishing nets abandoned at sea through the capitalization of the main outputs of previous projects. Collection and disposal of beached litter. Assessment of litter rivers contribution;
- 2) Marine litter assessment: Assessment of the chemical composition of original plastic materials through analytical elemental and molecular methods; Analysis of major components and potential trace contaminant and chemical parameters to minimize risks and assess the quality of the final products obtained by micro plastic and plastic treatment; Set-up an integrated environmental monitoring network to assess the composition of marine litter;
- 3) Marine Litter treatment: Application of an innovative thermo-chemical technology, for producing high-calorific fuels as oil and gas. Assessment and test technologies for plastic, micro plastic and marine litter management and bio-degradation. Implemented pilot actions.
- 4) Promotion campaign about marine litter impacts on sea and coastal environment with capitalization of the main outputs of previous projects.

#### **4.1.1 Maritime transport**

- 1) Port Sustainability Action Plan model
- 2) Application in the port's areas of the action plan
- 3) Pilot actions on environmental sustainability and energy efficiency,
- 4) Cross-border cooperation networks
- 5) MoU/Agreements on cross-border level
- 6) Policy Recommendations for EUSAIR Strategy

#### **4.1.2 - Mobility of passengers**

- 1) Knowledge Data repositories on public transport services
- 2) Awareness and Behavior campaigns at regional and cross-border level
- 3) Participatory of transport planning processes
- 4) User Survey about habits
- 5) Analysis to assess the carbon footprint of the passengers choices
- 6) Integrated actions applied on different transport modes such as sea, rail, air and road public transport;
- 7) Prototypes on payment systems applications
- 8) Info mobility tools and smart solutions
- 9) Bike parking places and services for the accessibility of passengers travelling with bike in the main nodes.

#### **4.1.3 – Small ports**

- 1) Services and facilities for small ports along the Adriatic area to create a common framework in order to increase the opportunities for the establishment of new typologies of links (nautical connections between the small ports of the Adriatic coasts, such as like "boat sharing").
- 2) Local authorities and business and social stakeholders involved in the attractiveness plans;
- 3) ICT tools aimed at facilitating e-Government
- 4) Innovative web tools
- 5) Guidelines and policies recommendations
- 6) Agreements on cross-border level



## Annex VI - Focus on Horizontal Principles

The Programme incorporates horizontal aspects highlighted in EU regulations, namely:

1) Equal opportunities and non-discrimination:

Projects have to ensure that the activities implemented do not generate discrimination of any kind (gender, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation) and to explain how the equal opportunity principle is rooted in the project design and implementation. All projects, regardless the priority axis under which they are submitted are encouraged to foresee measures or actions to promote equal opportunities and preventing any discrimination.

2) Equality between men and women:

Projects have to ensure that the activities implemented are in line with the principle of equality between men and women and to demonstrate how this principle is rooted in the project design and implementation. This aspect applies to all projects, regardless the priority axis under which they are submitted

3) Sustainable development:

The Italy-Croatia Programme invites to promote eco-innovation, i.e.: aiming to make a more sustainable use of natural resources under all Priority Axes. More precisely, beneficiaries are requested to describe in their project proposals the efforts they will undertake to reduce the project's "carbon footprint".

In line with the principle of sustainable development:

- Projects which have a positive effect on the environment or which conserve, enhance or rehabilitate existing endowments will be preferred to those that are neutral from this perspective;
- Projects that have a potentially harmful effect on the environment will be excluded;
- Actions designed to raise environmental awareness and compliance both within the economic and administrative sectors, and among the general public, including acknowledgement that a high level of environmental performance can provide a long term competitive advantage will be supported.

Additionally, the Italy-Croatia Programme invites all the applicants to implement actions/adopt specific measures to reduce the environmental impact. Indicatively, they can include:

- Use of video conferencing to reduce travelling;
- Publications on FSC certified paper;

- Use of “green public procurement” procedures and innovative public procurement where appropriate;
- Use of short supply chains in the implementation of projects activities;
- Raising awareness of partners, beneficiaries and target groups on sustainability issues;
- Promotion of activities with limited use of energy and natural resources.

## **Annex VII - Strategic orientation of the Programme (analysis of the pre-existing strategies and instruments present in the cooperation area)**

In order to provide the potential applicants with information regarding existing strategies/instruments, four overview list have been prepared.

A specific table has been arranged for each type of strategy/instrument:

- The EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- River Basin Management Plans (RBMPs)
- Flood Risk Management Plans (FRMPs)
- Smart Specialization Strategies (S3)

This overview has been drafted by MA in agreement with the NAs as an informative tool for supporting potential applicants in the framework of the 1st Strategic Call for Proposals.

## EUSAIR

### **PILLAR 1 - Blue Growth**

- Topic 1 - Blue technologies
- Topic 2 - Fisheries and aquaculture
- Topic 3 - Maritime and marine governance and services

### **PILLAR 2 - Connecting the region**

- Topic 1 - Maritime transport
- Topic 2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland
- Topic 3 - Energy networks

### **PILLAR 3 - Environmental quality**

- Topic 1 – The marine environment
- Topic 2 – Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity

### **PILLAR 4 - Sustainable tourism**

- Topic 1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)
- Topic 2 – Sustainable and responsible tourism management (innovation and quality)

## River Basin Management Plans

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

ITALY		
DISTRICT CODE	DISTRICT NAME	RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)
ITA	Eastern Alps / Alpi Orientali	<a href="http://www.alpiorientali.it/documenti/documenti.html">http://www.alpiorientali.it/documenti/documenti.html</a>
ITB	Po Basin/ Bacino del Po	<a href="http://pianoacque.adbpo.it/">http://pianoacque.adbpo.it/</a>
ITC	Northern Appenines / Appennino Settentrionale	<a href="http://www.appenninosettentrionale.it/dist/?page_id=4">http://www.appenninosettentrionale.it/dist/?page_id=4</a>
ITE	Central Appenines / Appennino Centrale	<a href="http://www.abtevere.it/?q=node/718">http://www.abtevere.it/?q=node/718</a>
ITF	Southern Appenines / Appennino Meridionale	<a href="http://www.ildistrettoidrograficodellappenninomeridionale.it/dam_066.htm">http://www.ildistrettoidrograficodellappenninomeridionale.it/dam_066.htm</a>

CROATIA		
DISTRICT CODE	DISTRICT NAME	RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)
HRC	Danube / Dunav	<a href="http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0">http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0</a>
HRJ	Adriatic / Jadransko	<a href="http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0">http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0</a>

## Flood Risk Management Plans

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

ITALY		
DISTRICT CODE	DISTRICT NAME	FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)
ITA	Eastern Alps / Alpi Orientali	<a href="http://www.va.minambiente.it/it-IT">http://www.va.minambiente.it/it-IT</a>
ITB	Po Basin/ Bacino del Po	
ITC	Northern Appenines / Appennino Settentrionale	
ITE	Central Appenines / Appennino Centrale	
ITF	Southern Appenines / Appennino Meridionale	

CROATIA		
DISTRICT CODE	DISTRICT NAME	FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)
HRC	Danube / Dunav	<a href="http://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava">http://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava</a>
HRJ	Adriatic / Jadransko	<a href="http://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava">http://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava</a>

## Smart Specialization Strategies(S3)

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

AREA	SMART SPECIALIZATION	SMART SPECIALIZATION STRATEGY (link to download)
Italia	Aerospace	<a href="http://old2018.agenziacoesione.gov.it/it/S3/">http://old2018.agenziacoesione.gov.it/it/S3/</a>
	Agrifood	
	Cultural Heritage	
	Green Chemistry	
	Sea Economy	
	Energy (Environment)	
	Smart manufacturing	
	Innovation (no R&D)	
	Sustainable mobility	
	Life science	
	Smart communities	
	Smart living technologies	
Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia	Agrifood	<a href="http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafv/export/sites/default/RA_FVG/fondi-europei-fvg-internazionale/Strategia-specializzazione-intelligente/allegati/06112015_Versione_10_07_2015.pdf">http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafv/export/sites/default/RA_FVG/fondi-europei-fvg-internazionale/Strategia-specializzazione-intelligente/allegati/06112015_Versione_10_07_2015.pdf</a>
	Smart manufacturing and related services	
	Maritime technologies	
	Smart health	
	Culture, creativity and tourism	



Regione Veneto	Smart Agrifood	<a href="http://repository.regione.veneto.it/public/9acbbc91ddf98b88115361095b03ebb2.php?lang=it&amp;dl=true">http://repository.regione.veneto.it/public/9acbbc91ddf98b88115361095b03ebb2.php?lang=it&amp;dl=true</a>
	Sustainable Living	
	Smart Manufacturing	
	Creative Industries	
Regione Emilia Romagna	Agricultural & food production	<a href="http://fesr.regione.emilia-romagna.it/s3/s3">http://fesr.regione.emilia-romagna.it/s3/s3</a>
	Construction	
	Mechatronics	
	Health & well being	
	Creative sectors	
Regione Marche	Health & well being	<a href="http://www.norme.marche.it/Delibere/2014/DGR0157_14.pdf">http://www.norme.marche.it/Delibere/2014/DGR0157_14.pdf</a>
	Domotics	
	Sustainable manufacturing	
	Mechatronics	
Regione Abruzzo	Automotive - Mechatronics	<a href="http://www.regione.abruzzo.it/xprogrammazione/index.asp?modello=ris3&amp;servizio=xList&amp;stileDiv=mono&amp;template=default&amp;msv=futuroCo3">http://www.regione.abruzzo.it/xprogrammazione/index.asp?modello=ris3&amp;servizio=xList&amp;stileDiv=mono&amp;template=default&amp;msv=futuroCo3</a>
	Life science (pharmaceuticals, R&D, ealth care)	
	ICT - Aerospace	
	Agrifood	
	Fashion - Design	
Regione Molise	Automotive	<a href="https://moliseineuropa.regione.molise.it/s3">https://moliseineuropa.regione.molise.it/s3</a>
	Construction	
	Fashion	
	Agrifood	
	Tourism	
	ICT	
	Life science	
Regione Puglia	Sustainable manufacturing	<a href="http://www.sistema.puglia.it/portal/pls/portal/sispuglia.ges_blob.p_retrieve?p_tname=sispuglia.documenti&amp;p_cname=testo&amp;p_cname_mime=mime_type_testo&amp;p_rowid=AAAh67AARAAP2myAAC&amp;p_name_allegato=&amp;p_esito=0">http://www.sistema.puglia.it/portal/pls/portal/sispuglia.ges_blob.p_retrieve?p_tname=sispuglia.documenti&amp;p_cname=testo&amp;p_cname_mime=mime_type_testo&amp;p_rowid=AAAh67AARAAP2myAAC&amp;p_name_allegato=&amp;p_esito=0</a>
	Health & well being	
	Creative digital communities	

CROATIA		
AREA	SMART SPECIALIZATION	SMART SPECIALIZATION STRATEGY (link to download)
Croatia	Health and life quality	<a href="http://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2016_04_32_853.html">http://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2016_04_32_853.html</a>
	Energy and sustainable environment	
	Transport and mobility	
	Safeness	
	Food and Bioeconomy	