

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN  
TERRITORIAL COOPERATION GOAL  
PART A**

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT**

CCI	2014TC16RFCB042
Title	(Interreg V-A) IT-HR - Italy-Croatia
Version	2017.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	24-May-2018

<b>IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>4</b>
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA. ....	4
<b>3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION .....	7
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	12
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	12
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 1.1B.....	12
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 1.1B.1.1.....	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.5A.....	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.5A.2.1.....	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.5B.....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.5B.2.2.....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6C.....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6C.3.1.....	19
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6D.....	20
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6D.3.2.....	21
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6F.....	22
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6F.3.3.....	23
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 4.7C.....	24
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 4.7C.4.1.....	25
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	26
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 5.THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AIMS AT SUPPORTING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROGRAMME, THE INVOLVEMENT OF RELEVANT PARTNERS, AS WELL AS TO INCREASE CAPACITY OF INSTITUTIONS AND BENEFICIARIES IN THE PROGRAMME AREA FOR THE CROSS-BORDER ACTIONS. ....	26
ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 17 OF ETC REGULATION, THE LIMIT FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IS DETERMINED IN A MAXIMUM OF 6% OF THE TOTAL ERDF AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO THE PROGRAMME. THE CO-FINANCING RATE WILL BE 15%. ....	26
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.5.1 .....	28
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.5.2 .....	29
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK .....	30
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA .....	32
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL .....	32
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND) .....	33
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION .....	34
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA .....	35
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME. ....	35
<b>4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....</b>	<b>37</b>
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	37
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE. ....	39
<b>6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>42</b>
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS .....	42

TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS .....	42
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	42
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	42
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS .....	43
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) .....	44
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	45
<b>9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....</b>	<b>46</b>
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	46
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	47
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	48
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	49
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	50
<b>10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013.....</b>	<b>51</b>
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS .....	51
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY .....	52
<b>11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>53</b>
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	53
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF .....	54
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE) .....	55
<i>EUSAIR</i> .....	56
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION .....	58
<b>13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....</b>	<b>60</b>
DOCUMENTS .....	61
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS .....	62

## **2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.**

2017 has been a very intense year in which the Programme bodies devoted much effort into keeping up with commitments and activities and delivering the expected outcomes. As a general assessment of Programme implementation, it can be stated that several targets have been achieved in 2017, although delays persist and core procedures still need to be finalised, tested and internally enforced. The current situation is indeed the result of the delayed launch of a brand new CBC Programme, requiring the setting up of all management bodies, including a new MA, which has anyhow to cope with a demanding designation process and the achievement of targets like any other ETC Programme. This framework is also worsen by a demanding and rigid legislative environment (at National and organisation level) which does not help fulfilling the given tasks and meeting expectations.

### **Main Programme achievements:**

#### **Reinforcement of Programme bodies**

The staff assigned to the MA at the start-up stage (2015) was composed of 4 units with a permanent contract and one Director (all FTE). During 2016, MA staff was increased by 3 units (all FTE).

Hence, at the beginning of 2017 MA's staff were 7 units (including 2 fixed-term); during 2017 the MA staff have been reinforced with 2 full-time permanent employees. In addition, a further reinforcement of the management structure has been foreseen: a new unit in charge of Control coordination has been foreseen starting from 2018.

As far as the JS is concerned, the Venice-based staff were mainly contracted in the second half of 2016 while Croatia-based staff (4 Branch Offices PM based in Zadar and Dubrovnik) were contracted at the beginning of 2017. Unfortunately, a selected PM resigned in April 2017 and was not replaced, because of the demanding recruitment procedure.

The internal structure for FLC, named "FLC Unit" already in charge for the validation of expenditure related to TA activities managed by the MA, CA and AA, has also been charged in 2017 with the validation of Italian first level controllers selected by beneficiaries (LPs and PPs) of approved projects.

#### **Revisions of Cooperation Programme (CP)**

Two revisions of the CP were submitted by MA and approved by EC: the first one in

March focused on the change of the Italian FLC system and in the systematization of Programme indicators (especially COIs); the second one concerning the update of the structure within the Veneto Region in charge for controls in Italy, identified in the “FLC Unit” which is functionally independent from the MA and located within the Budget and Accounting Directorate.

### **Designation of MA and CA**

The process of designation of two different structures of the Veneto Region as MA and as CA has been finalized in November 2017 although with an action plan to be implemented within 6 months and mainly focusing on the finalization of the SIU system and of Programme control manuals and documents for beneficiaries. The preparation of documents, templates and manuals involved the JS, the MA and the CA with frequent exchanges with the Audit Authority for a mutual understanding of criticalities and possible solutions.

### **Calls for Proposals**

As further specified in this report, the 1st Set of Calls for Proposals was launched in Spring 2017 and consisted of 2 call typologies (“Standard” and “Standard+”) each one of them open for all 4 Programme Priority Axes. A set of communication and supporting activities was organised by the Programme to spread the word about the open calls as well as to help beneficiaries applying for the funding: 7 infodays (see details below), support by email and phone, 18 individual consultations to LPs, 3 SIU video tutorials and 1 User Manual for beneficiaries in addition to the *ad hoc* Application Package.

The assessment of proposals started during the summer of 2017 giving priority to “Standard+” proposals which are short projects aimed at capitalising 2007-2013 best practices in the Programme area and, at the same time, allowing quick allocation and disbursement of ERDF resources to contribute to Programme spending targets for 2018.

During the 4th MC meeting held in Split on 26-27/10/2017, 22 “Standard+” proposals were approved under condition, with expected starting date of operations January 2018. In that occasion MC also acknowledged the estimated timing for the conclusion of “Standard” assessment (July 2018).

In Split MC also started the discussion on the 2nd Call for Proposals which will be a strategic call. During the MC mtg, it was agreed that: the JS will work on the procedure for generation, submission and selection of strategic projects and will carry out a gap analysis; a WG will be set up for strategic projects generation; National Authorities, who held a bilateral meeting on this topic, will work on challenges and potential project ideas.

### **Communication Strategy and implementation of Communication Plan for 2017**

Several Programme events were organised in 2017 in line with the Communication Strategy and in cooperation with EU institutions and Italian and Croatian Authorities,

among which: 4 infodays held in Italy in April 2017 (Venice, Udine, Ancona, Pescara), 3 infodays held in Croatia in April 2017 (Ploce, Zadar, Karlovac), European Cooperation Day 2017 in Rome, INTERACT Climate change network in Venice. Programme visibility was also raised by actions related to the 1st Set of Calls for Proposals: communication kit for local media, interviews, media press releases, updated Programme information flyer.

### **Development of documents for beneficiaries**

During 2017 three main documents addressed to beneficiaries were developed: the Factsheet n. 6 on project implementation detailing procedures, requirements and eligibility of expenditure, the Subsidy Contract and Partnership Agreement templates providing the legal framework for project implementation. The Subsidy Contract template was approved by MC at the end of December 2017.

### **Management and Monitoring System**

The development of the SIU management and monitoring system continued throughout all 2017 although presenting several difficulties and delays which are better detailed in this report. The following modules were developed, tested and, when relevant, opened to beneficiaries: application, assessment, condition clearing.

### **Technical Assistance**

Within the TA budget two procurement procedures were launched to select a company to perform all the activities related to the organisation of MC meetings of the Programme (July) and a company to realise the Programme logo and corporate identity as well as to produce some giveaway materials to be distributed at public events (September).

In July 2017 the multi-annual TA plan was approved by MC and in December the TA Call was open and the agreed 5 TA projects led by the Italian (MA, AA) and the Croatian authorities (Agency for Regional Development of the Republic of Croatia, Ministry of Regional Development and EU Funds, Agency for Audit of European Union Programmes Implementation System) were submitted.

### 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

#### 3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
PA 1	BLUE INNOVATION	<p>The 1st Set of Calls for Proposals was launched for all PAs and opened to “Standard+” and “Standard” projects. The calls were open from 27/03/2017 to 10/05/2017 and from 21/04/2017 to 19/06/2017, respectively. For all PAs the submission deadline was postponed (to 22/05/2017 for “Standard+” and to 04/07/2017 “Standard”) due to several causes such as IT system SIU malfunctioning and local elections in Croatia and in Italy.</p> <p>In the “Standard+” Calls, 24 proposals were submitted, out of which 3 on PA1. These proposals passed the assessment steps i.e. the admissibility, the eligibility, the quality and the State Aid checks and hence were selected for funding, based on the decision taken by MC during the 4th MC meeting held in Split on 26-27/10/2017. The MC gave also mandate to the MA/JS to proceed to the conditions clearing phase, during which all the deemed amendments to the AF necessary for the signing of the Subsidy Contracts were made. However, this phase was concluded with a delay due to the adaptation of the relevant SIU module, and thus ended at the beginning of 2018. All submitted project proposals in PA1 have been selected in 2017 and contracted in 2018 with an ERDF contribution of 2.445.990,17 Euro.</p> <p>Regarding the “Standard” Calls, 210 proposals were submitted on all PAs, out of which 197 progressed to the eligibility check and of these 42 in PA 1. The Monitoring Committee was informed about admissibility outcomes during the 4th MC meeting.</p> <p>With regards to the CP, a modification of the wording on a few output indicators of PA 1 was approved in May 2017 by the EC in order to make them perfectly fitting the ETC Common Output Indicator (COI) they were referring to.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
PA 2	SAFETY AND RESILIENCE	<p>The 1st Set of Calls for Proposals was launched for all PAs and opened to “Standard+” and “Standard” projects. The calls were open from 27/03/2017 to 10/05/2017 and from 21/04/2017 to 19/06/2017, respectively. For all PAs the submission deadline was postponed (to 22/05/2017 for “Standard+” and to 04/07/2017 “Standard”) due to several causes such as IT system SIU malfunctioning and local elections in Croatia and in Italy.</p> <p>In the “Standard+” Calls, 24 proposals were submitted, out of which 3 on PA 2. These proposals have passed all the assessment steps i.e. the admissibility, the eligibility, the quality and the State Aid checks and hence were selected for funding, based on the decision taken by MC during the 4th MC meeting held in Split on 26-27/10/2017.</p> <p>The MC gave also mandate to the MA/JS to proceed to the conditions clearing phase, during which all the deemed amendments to the AF necessary for the signing of the Subsidy Contracts were made. However, this phase was concluded with a delay due to the adaptation of the relevant SIU module, and thus ended at the beginning of 2018.</p> <p>All submitted project proposals in PA 2 have been selected in 2017 and contracted in 2018 with an ERDF contribution of 2.656.413,03 Euro.</p> <p>With regards to “Standard” Calls, 210 proposals were submitted on all PAs, out of which 197 have been progressed to the eligibility check and of these 29 in PA 2. The Monitoring Committee was informed about admissibility outcomes during the 4th MC meeting.</p> <p>In May 2017 a revision of the CP was approved by the EC, focusing on the integration of the baseline values for the PA2 result indicators and on the modification of some output indicators for the same axis.</p>
PA 3	ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	<p>The 1st Set of Calls for Proposals was launched for all PAs and opened to “Standard+” and “Standard” projects. The calls were open from 27/03/2017 to</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>10/05/2017 and from 21/04/2017 to 19/06/2017, respectively. For all PAs the submission deadline was postponed (to 22/05/2017 for “Standard+” and to 04/07/2017 “Standard”) due to several causes such as IT system SIU malfunctioning and local elections in Croatia and in Italy.</p> <p>In the “Standard+” Calls, 24 proposals were submitted, out of which 13 on PA 3, showing that the interest on the Programme area is significantly focused on PA3. However only 11 out of 13 proposals passed the quality and State Aid check. Besides, 5 of these could not be considered in a ranking position useful for selection on the basis of the available budget for the PA3. In this regard, based on the decision taken by the MC during the 4th MC meeting, the ERDF budget of PA3 was increased of 4.267.657,80 Euros, needed to cover all projects reaching the minimum threshold. The MC gave also mandate to the MA/JS to proceed to the conditions clearing phase, in order to amend the AFs. However, this phase was concluded with a delay due to the adaptation of the relevant SIU module, and thus ended at the beginning of 2018.</p> <p>11 out of 13 submitted project proposals in PA 3 have been selected in 2017 and contracted in 2018 with an ERDF contribution of 9.139.515,00 Euro.</p> <p>Regarding the “Standard” Calls, 210 proposals were submitted on all PAs, out of which 197 passed to the eligibility check and of these 112 in PA 3. The admissibility outcomes were presented during the 4th MC meeting.</p> <p>In May 2017 a revision of the CP regarding a modification of some output indicators for PA 3, was approved by EC.</p>
PA 4	MARITIME TRANSPORT	<p>The 1st Set of Calls for Proposals was launched for all PAs and opened to “Standard+” and “Standard” projects. The calls were open from 27/03/2017 to 10/05/2017 and from 21/04/2017 to 19/06/2017, respectively. For all PAs the submission deadline was postponed (to 22/05/2017 for “Standard+” and to 04/07/2017 “Standard”) due to several causes such as IT system SIU malfunctioning and local elections in Croatia and in Italy.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>In the “Standard+” Calls, 24 proposals were submitted, out of which 5 on PA 4. These proposals have passed all the assessment steps i.e. the admissibility, the eligibility, the quality and the State Aid checks and hence were selected for funding, based on the decision taken by MC during the 4th MC meeting held in Split on 26-27/10/2017.</p> <p>The MC gave also mandate to the MA/JS to proceed to the conditions clearing phase, during which all the deemed amendments to the AF necessary for the signing of the Subsidy Contracts were made. However, this phase was concluded with a delay due to the adaptation of the relevant SIU module, and thus ended at the beginning of 2018.</p> <p>All submitted project proposals in PA 4 have been selected in 2017 and contracted in 2018 with an ERDF contribution of 4.329.492,85 Euro,</p> <p>With regards to “Standard” Calls, 210 proposals were submitted on all PAs, out of which 197 have been progressed to the eligibility check and of these 14 in PA4. The Monitoring Committee was informed about admissibility outcomes during the 4th MC meeting.</p>
PA 5	<p>The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions.</p> <p>According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.</p>	<p>PA5 implementation in 2017 was aimed at two main results: The Designation of the Managing Authority and Certifying Authority and the launch of the 1st set of Calls for Proposal of the Programme.</p> <p>In 2017 the Description of Management and Control System document was defined and submitted to AA together with the other documents needed to start and close the Designation procedure.</p> <p>On the basis of AA opinion, the MA andCA were formally designated by EC on 27/11/2017, by means of Veneto regional government resolution n. 1926/2017.</p> <p>An Action Plan, whose respect is strictly monitored by AA, accompanied the designation, in order to supplement and finalize some documents and tools within end of May 2018.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>To help addressing the delays in the implementation of the Programme, two types of project Calls were launched at the same time (S+ and S). To approve the Call documents the following steps were necessary: 1 Working Group meeting January 2017 – 1st Call main elements, 2 Monitoring Committee meetings in July and October 2017, 1 bilateral meeting for MC preparation in June 2017, 3 written procedures for Calls’ documents approval.</p> <p>S+ calls were launched and closed in 2017 and the ranking lists were approved in october 2017. S calls were launched and closed in 2017, the assessment is ongoing.</p> <p>7 Infodays for beneficiaries on Calls’ information (4 in Italy and 3 in Croatia) were organised.</p> <p>In 2017 the Management and Monitoring System (SIU) of the Programme was further developed, with the release of the modules for the submssion, assessment and approval of the proposals. The TA Multiannual Plan was approved in 2017 by the Monitoring Committee. In December 2017, 5 TA Projects were formally submitted via SIU by the beneficiaries of TA.</p>

### 3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

#### Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 1.1b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	Enterprises	36.00	0.00	
S	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	Enterprises	36.00	76.00	Estimation on the n. of enterprises receiving support within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	Enterprises	6.00	0.00	
S	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	Enterprises	6.00	0.00	
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	30.00	0.00	
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	30.00	76.00	Estimation on the n. of enterprises receiving non-financial support within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	10.00	0.00	
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	10.00	5.00	Estimation on the n. of research institutions participating in a research projects within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	Persons	120.00	0.00	
S	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	Persons	120.00	179.00	Estimation on the n. of participants in joint trainings within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support			
S	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support			
F	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants			
S	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants			
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support			
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support			
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects			
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects			
F	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training			
S	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.1 - Enhance the framework conditions for innovation in the relevant sectors of the blue economy within the cooperation area

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 1.1b.1.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
1.1R	Number of EPO applications	Number	673.28	2012	680.00			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA1 - S.O. 1.1 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1R	Number of EPO applications						

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5a - Supporting investment for adaptation to climate change, including ecosystem-based approaches

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.5a**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	5.00	0.00	
S	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	5.00	1.00	Estimation on n. of climate change monitoring systems put in operation within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	5.00	0.00	
S	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	5.00	5.00	Estimation on n. of plans of adaptation measures put in place within the Standard+ 1st CFP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5a - Supporting investment for adaptation to climate change, including ecosystem-based approaches
Specific objective	2.1 - Improve the climate change monitoring and planning of adaptation measures tackling specific effects, in the cooperation area

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.5a.2.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.1R	Inhabitants benefiting from planning of adaptation measures	Number	7,050,052.00	2015	8,000,000.00			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA2 - S.O. 2.1 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1R	Inhabitants benefiting from planning of adaptation measures						

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.5b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,500,000.00	0.00	
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,500,000.00	200,000.00	Estimation on the population benefiting from flood protection measures within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Persons	1,000,000.00	0.00	
S	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Persons	1,000,000.00	150,000.00	Estimation on the population benefiting from forest fire protection measures within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	Persons	1,200,000.00	0.00	
S	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	Persons	1,200,000.00	0.00	
F	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	100,000.00	0.00	
S	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	100,000.00	8,000.00	Estimation on the n. of people reached by initiatives for increasing awareness within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures			
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures			
F	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures			
S	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures			
F	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures			
S	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures			
F	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems
Specific objective	2.2 - Increase the safety of the Programme area from natural and man-made disaster

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.5b.2.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.2R	Inhabitants benefiting from risk management coordinated measures	Number	8,366,317.00	2015	9,000,000.00			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA2 - S.O. 2.2 will be collected on 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2R	Inhabitants benefiting from risk management coordinated measures						

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	3.1O2	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	Number	40.00	0.00	
S	3.1O2	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	Number	40.00	396.00	Estimation on the n. of actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism) within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	3.1O3	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	10.00	0.00	
S	3.1O3	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	10.00	63.00	Estimation on the n. natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	3.1O4	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number	10.00	0.00	
S	3.1O4	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number	10.00	0.00	
F	3.1O5	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	20.00	0.00	
S	3.1O5	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	20.00	98.00	Estimation on cultural and natural heritage promoted within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	3.1O2	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1O2	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1O3	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1O3	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1O4	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1O4	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1O5	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted			
S	3.1O5	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	3.1 - Make natural and cultural heritage a leverage for sustainable and more balanced territorial development

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6c.3.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.1R	Seasonality in tourism in the programme area	Number	0.62	2014	0.62			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA3 - S.O. 3.1 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1R	Seasonality in tourism in the programme area						

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6d**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	6.00	0.00	
S	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	6.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of natural ecosystems supported within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	Number	4.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	Number	4.00	0.00	
F	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	Number	4.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place within the Standard+ 1st CFP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	3.2 - Contribute to protect and restore biodiversity

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6d.3.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.2R	Excellent conservation status of habitat types and species of Natura 2000 sites in the programme area	Number	3,538.00	2014	3,550.00			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA3 - S.O. 3.2 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2R	Excellent conservation status of habitat types and species of Natura 2000 sites in the programme area						

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6f**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	3.301	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	2.00	0.00	
S	3.301	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	2.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) to be implemented within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	3.303	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	Particles	1,000,000.00	0.00	
S	3.303	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	Particles	1,000,000.00	0.00	

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	3.301	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.301	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.303	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas			
S	3.303	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution
Specific objective	3.3 - Improve the environmental quality conditions of the sea and coastal area by use of sustainable and innovative technologies and approaches

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6f.3.3**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.3R	Quality level of coastal bathing waters (according to the dir. 2006/7/CE )	Number	2.87	2014	2.87			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA3 - S.O. 3.3 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.3R	Quality level of coastal bathing waters (according to the dir. 2006/7/CE )						

Priority axis	PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 4.7c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	5.00	0.00	
S	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	5.00	3.00	Estimation on the n. of multimodal transport services improved within the 1st Standard+ CIP
F	4.1O2	New links established	Number	2.00	0.00	
S	4.1O2	New links established	Number	2.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of new links established within the 1st Standard+ CIP
F	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	4.00	4.00	Estimation on the n. of harmonized services for passengers put in place within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	4.1O2	New links established	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O2	New links established	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility
Specific objective	4.1 - Improve the quality, safety and environmental sustainability of marine and coastal transport services and nodes by promoting multimodality in the Programme area

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 4.7c.4.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
4.1R	Goods transported by maritime mode	Thousand tonnes	2,445.00	2014	2,690.00			As foreseen in the CP data on Result Indicator for PA4 - S.O. 4.1 will be collected in 2018 - 2020 - 2023.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1R	Goods transported by maritime mode						

## Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
---------------	---

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 5.**The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	number	3.00	2.00	2 Calls were launched and closed in 2017: 1 Set of Calls including 4 "Standard+" procedures targeting PAs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 4 "Standard" procedures targeting PAs 1, 2, 3, 4; 1 Call for TA in order to propose to the MC the approval of 5 TA projects
S	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	number	3.00	0.00	
F	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	number	75.00	27.00	22 Standard+ projects approved by the MC in October 2017 5 TA projects, previously agreed by the MC, were submitted by TA beneficiaries in December 2017
S	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	number	75.00	47.00	Estimation on the n. of Standard projects to be approved in 2018.
F	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	number	1.00	1.00	The Programme communication strategy was approved by the MC in 2016 and is currently being implemented.
S	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	number	1.00	0.00	
F	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	number	1.00	0.00	
S	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	number	1.00	0.00	
F	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	number	1.00	0.00	
S	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	number	1.00	1.00	It is expected that the SIU system will be finalized in 2018.
F	TA6	Workshop and events held	number	8.00	8.00	In 2017, 7 workshops were organised to present to potential beneficiaries the main elements of the 1st Set of Calls for Proposals. 3 events were held in Croatia (Ploce, Zadar, Karlovac) and 4 in Italy (Venice, Udine, Pescara, Ancona)
S	TA6	Workshop and events held	number	8.00	12.00	Estimation on n. of events to be organised in 2018
F	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	20.00	20.00	The remaining 4 JS staff (FTE permanent contracts) were hired by the Agency for Regional Development of the Republic (ARR) of Croatia at the beginning of 2017.
S	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	20.00	21.00	Foreseen selection for one additional unit of the MA staff in 2018

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed			
S	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed			
F	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals			
S	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals			
F	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	1.00		
S	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented			
F	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented			
S	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented			

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established			
S	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established			
F	TA6	Workshop and events held	1.00		
S	TA6	Workshop and events held			
F	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	16.00		
S	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
Specific objective	5.1 - To assure efficiency and effectiveness in the management and implementation of the Cooperation Programme

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 5.5.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
-	-	-			0.00			

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
-	-						

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
Specific objective	5.2 - To assure the support to applicants and beneficiaries and to strengthen the involvement of relevant partners in the Programme implementation

**Table 1: Result indicators - PA 5.5.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
--	-	-			0.00			

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
--	-						

### 3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2017	Observations
PA 1	F	1PF6	Financial	EUR	2.842.690,3	28,426,903.00	0.00	
PA 1	I	1FP10	Number of cross-border, trans-national or interregional research projects started	Projects	2	5.00	1.00	N. 1 operation selected within the Standard+ 1st CfP contributes to this indicator being a cross-border research project.
PA 1	I	1PF9	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support involved in the funded operations	Enterprises	10	30.00	0.00	
PA 1	O	1PF7	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	0	30.00	0.00	
PA 1	O	1PF8	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organizations	0	10.00	0.00	
PA 2	F	2FP1	Financial	EUR	3.020.358,3	60,407,166.00	0.00	
PA 2	I	2PF5	Preparatory technical documents for putting in operation the climate change monitoring systems	Number	2	7.00	0.00	
PA 2	I	2PF6	Plans adaptation measures being drawn up	Number	2	5.00	0.00	
PA 2	O	2PF2	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	0	5.00	0.00	
PA 2	O	2PF3	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	0	5.00	0.00	
PA 2	O	2PF4	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	5.000,00	100,000.00	0.00	
PA 3	F	3PF1	financial	EUR	5.803.825,79	82,911,797.00	0.00	
PA 3	I	3PF2	Open building sites for the improved accessibility in the selected cultural and heritage destinations	Number	8	15.00	0.00	
PA 3	I	3PF9	Approved projects in order to implement environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches)	Number	10	20.00	1.00	N. 1 operation selected with the Standard+ 1st CfP contributes to this indicator as it will develop environmental friendly technological solution (S.O. 3.3)
PA 3	O	3PF10	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	5	20.00	0.00	
PA 3	O	3PF11	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	0	3.00	0.00	
PA 3	O	3PF5	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	0	10.00	0.00	
PA 3	O	3PF6	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	2	6.00	0.00	
PA 3	O	3PF8	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number	3	10.00	0.00	
PA 4	F	4PF1	Financial	EUR	2.546.576,6	50,931,532.00	0.00	
PA 4	I	4PF2	Approved projects aimed at improving multimodal transport services	Number	2	10.00	3.00	N. 3 operations selected within the Standard+ 1st CfP will contribute to this indicator as they will develop multimodal transport services.
PA 4	I	4PF3	Analyses for the harmonization services	Number	2	4.00	0.00	
PA 4	O	4PF4	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	0	5.00	0.00	
PA 4	O	4PF5	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	0	4.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2016	2015	2014
PA 1	F	1PF6	Financial	EUR			
PA 1	I	1PF10	Number of cross-border, trans-national or interregional research projects started	Projects			
PA 1	I	1PF9	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support involved in the funded operations	Enterprises			
PA 1	O	1PF7	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises			
PA 1	O	1PF8	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organizations			
PA 2	F	2PF1	Financial	EUR			
PA 2	I	2PF5	Preparatory technical documents for putting in operation the climate change monitoring systems	Number			
PA 2	I	2PF6	Plans adaptation measures being drawn up	Number			
PA 2	O	2PF2	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number			
PA 2	O	2PF3	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number			
PA 2	O	2PF4	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number			
PA 3	F	3PF1	financial	EUR			
PA 3	I	3PF2	Open building sites for the improved accessibility in the selected cultural and heritage destinations	Number			
PA 3	I	3PF9	Approved projects in order to implement environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches)	Number			
PA 3	O	3PF10	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number			
PA 3	O	3PF11	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number			
PA 3	O	3PF5	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number			
PA 3	O	3PF6	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number			
PA 3	O	3PF8	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number			
PA 4	F	4PF1	Financial	EUR			
PA 4	I	4PF2	Approved projects aimed at improving multimodal transport services	Number			
PA 4	I	4PF3	Analyses for the harmonization services	Number			
PA 4	O	4PF4	Improved multimodal transport services	Number			
PA 4	O	4PF5	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number			

### 3.4. Financial data

**Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level**

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
PA 1	ERDF	Total	28,426,903.00	85.00	2,877,635.50	10.12%	2,694,939.40	0.00	0.00%	3
PA 2	ERDF	Total	60,407,166.00	85.00	3,125,191.80	5.17%	2,920,382.25	0.00	0.00%	3
PA 3	ERDF	Total	82,911,797.00	85.00	10,752,370.66	12.97%	9,950,813.63	0.00	0.00%	11
PA 4	ERDF	Total	50,931,532.00	85.00	5,093,521.00	10.00%	4,749,174.25	0.00	0.00%	5
PA 5	ERDF	Total	14,213,451.00	85.00	14,213,450.00	100.00%	14,213,450.00	0.00	0.00%	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>ERDF</b>		<b>236,890,849.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>36,062,168.96</b>	<b>15.22%</b>	<b>34,528,759.53</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Grand total</b>			<b>236,890,849.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>36,062,168.96</b>	<b>15.22%</b>	<b>34,528,759.53</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>27</b>

**Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)**

--

**Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention**

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
PA 1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		24	ITH35	919,451.50	871,426.00	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	066	01	01	07	01		19	ITH35	1,037,050.00	979,562.50	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	118	01	01	07	01		18	ITH44	921,134.00	843,950.90	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		18	ITF13	1,150,000.00	1,078,000.00	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	02	07	05		24	HR036	799,191.80	760,282.25	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	088	01	01	07	05		18	ITF22	1,176,000.00	1,082,100.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	077	01	01	07	06		24	ITH35	964,933.48	901,963.69	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		18	ITI32	934,405.00	886,044.25	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		19	ITH35	1,007,093.20	904,852.40	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	092	01	01	07	06		18	HR031	1,006,360.00	933,699.25	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	HR033	1,176,467.40	1,074,247.11	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH57	1,140,554.88	1,053,564.63	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH58	696,770.00	659,715.05	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		17	ITH56	916,183.00	870,681.55	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		18	HR031	861,435.70	807,157.45	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	095	01	01	06	06		01	HR036	871,925.00	750,080.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	095	01	01	07	06		23	ITF47	1,176,243.00	1,108,808.25	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	01	07	07		18	ITH44	1,175,085.00	1,109,328.75	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	01	07	07		18	ITI32	1,917,446.00	1,798,775.75	0.00	2
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		19	ITH35	830,000.00	785,000.00	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		24	HR031	1,170,990.00	1,056,069.75	0.00	1
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	HR041	2,416,285.00	2,416,285.00	0.00	3
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	ITH35	9,998,905.00	9,998,905.00	0.00	1
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	ITH36	1,798,260.00	1,798,260.00	0.00	1

**Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area**

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
6	241,292.00	0.12%	0.00	

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

#### **4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS**

No evaluations of the Programme have been performed in 2017, therefore no evaluation findings can be reported. The final version of the Evaluation Plan, already presented in 2016 to the MC in its draft version, will be discussed and approved in 2018, thus the first evaluation of the Programme is planned for 2019.

## 5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

### (a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

The Programme has started with a significant delay which still persists and it still faces important challenges in implementation that differently affect its performance. Some solutions have been identified in 2017 but their full implementation will take place in 2018 (e.g. SIU system, JS contracts, FLC system). Details of such issues and proposed measures are provided below.

Concerning the **management structures**, a main point of weakness concerns their setting up and the training. It shall be highlighted that the work carried out in 2017 to train the new staff and help them understanding and adopting the same approach in delivering their tasks has been very intense and was carried out in coincidence with several core Programme activities. Another issue concerns the duration of contracts of the JS staff based in Venice (three years) which was raised as a major concern by different bodies in several occasions. The MA agreed to find a solution to ensure continuity and not to waste trained resources for the benefit of a smooth and effective Programme implementation. For all JS positions a possible solution was identified in adapting the deadline of contracts to the duration of the current programming period. In addition, *ad hoc* procedures for selection and contracting of new JS members will be activated during 2018, in case of members' resignations.

Another weakness has to be highlighted in the first level control system, where the Programme registered some delays as the **Italian FLC structure** has been modified during 2017 and identification of the regional structure in charge for Italian national FLC was completed only in late 2017; this caused a delay in the definition of the procedure to be followed by Italian beneficiaries and, potentially, in the reporting procedures, thus increasing the risk for the slowing down of the entire financial flow.

In respect to the **N+3 rule**, the risk of de-commitment of funds for the years 2018 – 2019 was announced and detailed by MA/JS during the 3rd MC meeting in Venice on 11th and 12th July 2017. In order to reduce this risk, a number of measures were proposed by MA/JS: to speed up the reporting of TA expenses during 2018; to increase ERDF allocations for “Standard+” projects in order to ensure higher spending and meet the financial targets of the Programme; to allow “Standard+” projects to report expenditure starting from July 2018 respecting the Programme expenditure forecasts for 2018.

**The designation process** was very demanding as many of the procedures which had to be described in paper were not yet put in place in practice due to the fact that both Programme and management structures are completely new. The designation Action Plan also presents very challenging tasks and deadlines which are summing up to general Programme implementation-related ones.

With regard to **the Calls for Proposals**, the 1st CfP registered some delays due to the need to extend the submission deadlines (“Standard+” calls’ deadline was postponed from 10th May to 22nd May 2017 and “Standard” calls’ deadline was postponed from 19th June to 4th July 2017). On top of that have come the numerous applicant’s request of assistance for the submission of proposals in SIU which were due to the novelties of

the system; indeed, some problems were experienced by applicants during the application phase in uploading of documents to be submitted with the AFs.

As far as the **Programme management and monitoring system** is concerned, the adaptation of the SIU system to the Italy-Croatia Programme's needs continued but was unfortunately not in line with Programme activities (selection, contracting, implementation), thus causing delays in the fine-tuning of documents and procedures. Beneficiaries also had experienced problems with the system both in the application and in the condition clearing phases. A continuous and tailored assistance had to be ensured by the JS to beneficiaries in order to help them finalising their applications. In particular, during the submission phase all open calls had to be postponed and the impact of some system's malfunctions in the application phase had to be considered during the assessment of proposals. Also the assessment module to be used by JS Project Managers carrying out admissibility, eligibility and quality checks had several problems in the adaptation and was ready only in September 2017. Furthermore, the condition clearing module was developed again with some delays and malfunctions, leading the MA to call for additional dedicated resources to conclude the system's development. Due to SIU delays it was not possible to close the condition clearing and sign the Subsidy Contracts for "Standard+" projects approved in October within December 2017, as foreseen. A solution to the above mentioned SIU issues was requested by MA to the responsible ICT regional Directorate at the end of 2017.

Issues related to the monitoring system have also caused a significant delay in the approval of the **Factsheet n. 6** "Project implementation", whose draft version was presented and discussed during the 4th MC (October 2017) when it was agreed that its final version had to be approved by written procedure in November 2017. At the end of December 2017, notwithstanding the MC request and the deadline included in the designation action plan, the Factsheet n. 6 was not ready to be approved as highly dependent from the SIU developments.

Finally, another issue to be taken into account concerns the high turnover of **Monitoring Committee members** designated by the Member States; this issue was also raised during the two MC meetings in 2017.

**(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.**

## **6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)**

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS  
(ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

**8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**8.1. Major projects**

**Table 7: Major projects**

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

**Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them**

--

**Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme**

--

## 8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

**Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)**

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

**Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them**

--

**9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Priority axis	PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT
Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.

**9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

**9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

**9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)</b>	<b>Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)</b>
PA 2	2,056,653.03	4.01%
PA 3	660,109.38	0.94%
PA 4	1,731,797.13	4.00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,448,559.54</b>	<b>2.21%</b>

--

**9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4),  
SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of  
evaluations**

--

**10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy**

--

**11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme**

--

**11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF**

--

### 11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

--

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

**Pillar(s), topic(s) and/or cross cutting issue(s) that the programme is relevant to:**

	<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Topic / Cross cutting issue</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.1 - Blue technologies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.2 - Fisheries and aquaculture
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.3 - Maritime and marine governance and services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.1 - Maritime transport
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.3 - Energy networks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.1 - The marine environment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.2 - Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.2 - Sustainable and responsible tourism management/(innovation and quality)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.3 - Capacity building

**Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSAIR**

**A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Pillar Coordinators, or Thematic Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?**

Yes  No

**B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSAIR?**

Yes  No

**a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSAIR**

Yes  No

**b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)**

**c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

5 points (bonus) were given to projects in full line with EUSAIR; these extra points were foreseen for all Priority Axes, within the selection criteria per Specific Objectives approved by MC.

**d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

Strategic projects are being planned at the moment and their contribution to EUSAIR will be duly ensured by the Programme.

**C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSAIR?**

Yes  No

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSAIR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

It is not yet decided to allocate specific funds in EUSAIR.

**D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSAIR (n.a. for 2016)**

Approved projects started their implementation in January 2018, thus results have not yet been achieved by these projects, hence by the Programme.

**E. Does the programme contribute to the objectives and/or targets which are attached to each topic under the Pillars, as stated in the Action Plan? (please specify the objective(s) and target(s))**

The programme contributes to the objectives and targets of the 4 Pillars and in particular: Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.2, 1.3; Pillar 2 - topics 2.1, 2.2; Pillar 3 - topics 3.1, 3.2; Pillar 4 - topics 4.1, 4.2.

## 11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

### 13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

## Documents

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary 2017	Citizens' summary	24-May-2018		Ares(2018)2847619	Citizens' summary 2017	31-May-2018	ncvallau

## Latest validation results

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1R, year: 2014 (null < 673.28). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1R, year: 2015 (null < 673.28). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1R, year: 2016 (null < 673.28). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1R, year: 2017 (null < 673.28). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1R, year: 2014 (null < 7,050,052.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1R, year: 2015 (null < 7,050,052.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1R, year: 2016 (null < 7,050,052.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1R, year: 2017 (null < 7,050,052.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: 2.2R, year: 2014 (null < 8,366,317.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: 2.2R, year: 2015 (null < 8,366,317.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: 2.2R, year: 2016 (null < 8,366,317.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: 2.2R, year: 2017 (null < 8,366,317.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2R, year: 2014 (null < 3,538.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2R, year: 2015 (null < 3,538.00). Please check.

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2R, year: 2016 (null < 3,538.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2R, year: 2017 (null < 3,538.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: 4.1R, year: 2014 (null < 2,445.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: 4.1R, year: 2015 (null < 2,445.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: 4.1R, year: 2016 (null < 2,445.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: 4.1R, year: 2017 (null < 2,445.00). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 105.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA7, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 149.17% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO44, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 211.11% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO01, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 253.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 490.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O5, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 630.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O3, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 990.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O2, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA1, year: 2017. Please check.

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA3, year: 2017. Please check.