

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL
COOPERATION GOAL
PART A**

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16RFCB042
Title	(Interreg V-A) IT-HR - Italy-Croatia
Version	2018.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	18-Jun-2019

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS.....	7
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	7
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	9
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	9
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 1.1B.....	9
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 1.1B.1.1	10
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.5A.....	11
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.5A.2.1	12
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.5B.....	13
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.5B.2.2.....	14
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6C.....	15
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6C.3.1.....	16
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6D.....	17
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6D.3.2	18
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 3.6F	19
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.6F.3.3	20
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 4.7C.....	21
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 4.7C.4.1	22
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	23
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 5.THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AIMS AT SUPPORTING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROGRAMME, THE INVOLVEMENT OF RELEVANT PARTNERS, AS WELL AS TO INCREASE CAPACITY OF INSTITUTIONS AND BENEFICIARIES IN THE PROGRAMME AREA FOR THE CROSS-BORDER ACTIONS.	23
ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 17 OF ETC REGULATION, THE LIMIT FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IS DETERMINED IN A MAXIMUM OF 6% OF THE TOTAL ERDF AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO THE PROGRAMME. THE CO-FINANCING RATE WILL BE 15%.	23
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.5.1	25
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 5.5.2.....	26
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK	27
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	29
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	29
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND)	30
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	31
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA	33
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME.....	33
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....	34
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....	36
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	36
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE.	38
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	39
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	40
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	41
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS	41
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	41
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	41
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	41
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS	42
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP)	43

SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	44
9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	45
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	45
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	55
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	57
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	59
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	60
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013	61
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS ...	61
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY	63
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	66
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	66
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF	67
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE)	68
<i>EUSAIR</i>	71
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION	74
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	75
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	77
DOCUMENTS	79
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS	80

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

The Programme bodies put much effort in ensuring that all due and needed information was provided to beneficiaries and that the management and control system was smoothly running. Still some delays persist in the finalisation of the adaptation of the SIU system (SIU) to the Italy-Croatia Programme (CP); consequently, further actions were also taken to improve the SIU and provide assistance to beneficiaries.

PROJECTS

“Standard+” Projects

22 “Standard+” projects have started in January 2018 (except one project which started in June 2018), the Lead Partners (LP) signed the Subsidy Contract with the Managing Authority (MA) and the Partnership Agreement with the consortium partners. Activities were launched in the Spring 2018 with the participation of the Joint Secretariat (JS) Project Managers. On 25th and 26th of June the first LP Seminar was organised in Venice in order to provide beneficiaries with all the necessary explanations on project management, finance and communications as well as to illustrate in details the use of the SIU reporting module. Between July and August the LPs were allowed to present the request of advance payment (10% of total ERDF and National co-financing if due, for the whole partnership) as foreseen by the Programme rules. 8 requests of advance payment were submitted and paid for a total of 733.669,82 Euro.

In the same period the First Level Control (FLC) Unit at the Veneto Region office, in charge of validating the Italian FLCs selected by each LP/PP, started its verification work, in order to allow the highest possible number of partners to insert and validate expenditure in the SIU. On the Croatian side, the FLC Office at the Agency for Regional Development of the Republic of Croatia initiated the centralised validation of expenditure in July. Between July and September, the Standard+ projects reported to the Programme via the SIU the costs related to the 1st reporting period (Jan-Jun 2018) and the activities carried out. A total of 1.991.998,40 Euro (ERDF + National Co-financing) was reported and validated by the FLCs in the SIU. A continuous assistance granted by the JS staff was ensured to the beneficiaries dealing for the first time with the SIU reporting module.

“Standard” Projects

Regarding the selection process of the “Standard” projects, during the meeting of 19-20 July 2018 the Monitoring Committee (MC) approved 50 projects with conditions. 3 out of 50 have started their activities at the end of 2018, while the others indicated as starting date January 2019.

These 50 projects cover all Specific Objectives and the related ERDF amounts to 101.084.179,44 as follows:

- 1 – Blue Innovation, 8 projects for a total ERDF committed of Euro 16.661.425,49
- 2 – Safety and Resilience, 10 projects for a total ERDF committed of Euro 19.160.128,85
- 3 – Environment and Cultural Heritage, 22 projects for a total ERDF committed of Euro 44.513.491,33
- 4 – Maritime Transport, 10 projects for a total ERDF committed of Euro 20.749.133,77

Technical Assistance

During 2018 the TA activities were carried out according to the agreed plans. 1 MC meeting was held in Venice in July and 7 written procedures were launched and closed in 2018. The Programme bodies implemented their activities according to their respective roles and work plan.

For the TA, a total of 2.492.275,40 Euro (ERDF and National Co-financing when due) was reported and validated by the FLCs in the SIU.

Strategic Projects

2018 was a crucial year for the generation of the Strategic projects, which are “top-down” projects foreseen in the CP in particular for Priority Axes 2 and 4, but possible also for the other axes or Specific Objectives.

As decided by the MC, a Working Group (WG) on the generation of Strategic projects was set up at the beginning of 2018. 4 meetings of the WG were held on 12 April, 20 July, 16 November and 6 December. The JS was tasked with the preparation of a Gap Analysis identifying the missing contributions to the Programme indicator system and assessing the potential failures. The Gap Analysis was presented in April (only “Standard+” projects considered together with a projection of the “Standard” potential contributions) and then updated in October. Based on that analysis as well as on the discussions with the territories involved, the WG members agreed by the end of the year on the following items:

- 11 themes to be addressed by the Strategic projects;
- total number of projects to be funded;
- main elements to be included in the Terms of Reference of the Strategic call.

PROGRAMME BODIES

A reinforcement of the MA structure was carried out starting from 2018 with 2 new units created (the Overall coordination Unit and the Control coordination unit) and new staff acquired

Regarding the JS, the Head of JS resigned in the Spring 2018 and a selection procedure was launched during the summer in order to replace this crucial position. The new Head of JS was contracted in October and in the same month the running contracts of the JS staff were updated in order to ensure continuity in the JS tasks until the end of the programming period. Moreover, a selection for one financial officer and one project manager was carried out before the end of the year in order to replace the JS staff that resigned in 2017 and 2018.

PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT

Financial target 2018

Thanks to the expenses reported by the “Standard+” projects and the TA expenses reported, the Programme was able to certify a total amount of 3.811.632,25 Euro and reach the N+3 spending target for the year 2018.

Performance Framework and Performance Review 2019

A revision of the CP was requested in September in order to correct some wrong assumptions in the definition of the milestones of a couple of output indicators. As explained in the dedicated section, the revision of the CP allowed the Programme to be better prepared for the Performance Review of 2019 and meet its targets.

Designation and system audit

The process of designation of two different structures of the Veneto Region as MA and as CA was finalized in November 2017 although with an action plan which was implemented until the end of May 2018. In July 2018 the first system audit took place focusing on the key requirements 2 (selection of operation) and 3 (information to beneficiaries).

SIU system

The progress of the SIU were still affected by the difficulties of adapting the system to the Italy-Croatia context. Even if delays and need for a continuous assistance to beneficiaries were part of the difficulties that had to be faced in 2018, all information flows regarding project management, reporting and monitoring were managed through the SIU.

Communication Strategy

In 2018, alongside with the realisation of the foreseen communication materials and organisation of Programme events, the MA and JS worked hard to produce the new website. In order to allow the projects to properly inform about their activities, the new website shall host the projects websites and ensure visibility for the Programme.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
PA 1	BLUE INNOVATION	<p>Under PA 1 “Blue innovation” a total of 11 projects were approved, out of which 3 projects within the “Standard+” Call for Proposals and 8 projects within the “Standard” Call for Proposals with a total ERDF budget of 19.107.415,66 Euro.</p> <p>The three “Standard+” projects started in January 2018. Regarding the “Standard” projects, only ADRIREEF project has started in December 2018, while the remaining 7 Standard projects have the starting date set on the 1st of January 2019. All projects are approved under the sole Specific Objective 1.1 “Enhance the framework conditions for innovation in the relevant sectors of the blue economy within the cooperation area”.</p>
PA 2	SAFETY AND RESILIENCE	<p>Under PA 2 “Safety and Resilience” a total of 13 projects were approved, out of which 3 projects within the “Standard+” Call for Proposals and 10 within the “Standard” Call for Proposals for a total ERDF budget of 21.816.541,88 Euro.</p> <p>More specifically, 8 projects (1 “Standard+” projects and 7 “Standard+” projects) fall under SO 2.1 “Improve the climate change monitoring and planning of adaptation measures tackling specific effects in the cooperation area” and 5 projects (2 “Standard+” projects and 3 “Standard” projects) deal with SO 2.2 “Increase safety of the Programme area from natural and man-made disaster”. The three “Standard+” projects (iDEAL, READINESS, AdriaMORE) have started their activities in January 2018, while the “Standard” projects’ start date is set on the 1st January 2019.</p>
PA 3	ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	<p>Under PA 3 “Environment and Cultural Heritage” a total of 33 projects were approved, out of which 11 projects within the “Standard+” Call for Proposals (9 for SO 3.1; 1 for SO 3.2 and 1 for SO3.3) and 22 within the “Standard” Call for Proposals (12 for SO 3.1, 5 for SO 3.2 and 5 for SO 3.3)for a total ERDF budget of 53.653.006,33 Euro.</p> <p>More specifically, 21 projects (9 “Standard+” projects and 12 “Standard+” projects) fall under SO 3.1 “Make natural and cultural heritage a leverage for sustainable and more balanced territorial development”, 6 projects (1 “Standard+” project and 5 “Standard” projects) fall under SO 3.2 “Contribute to protect and restore biodiversity”, and 6 projects (1 “Standard+” project and 5 “Standard” projects)deal with SO 3.3 “Improve the environmental quality conditions of the sea and coastal</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		area by use of sustainable and innovative technologies and approaches”.
PA 4	MARITIME TRANSPORT	Under PA 4 “Maritime Transport”, a total of 15 projects were approved, out of which 5 within the “Standard+” Call for Proposals and 10 within the “Standard” Call for Proposals with a total ERDF budget of 25.078.626,62 Euro. The five “Standard+” projects have started in January 2018. Regarding the “Standard” projects, all of them have the starting date set on the 1st of January 2019. All projects are approved under the sole Specific Objective 4.1 “Improve the quality, safety and environmental sustainability of marine and coastal transport services and nodes by promoting multimodality in the Programme area”.
PA 5	<p>The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions.</p> <p>According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.</p>	All activities needed to complete the setting up of MA, JS and CA, as well as the establishment of the MC, were carried out. The Programme Control and management system was defined and set up. 1 Monitoring Committee meeting was held and 7 written procedures launched and closed in 2018. The support to MC meetings was ensured by suppliers selected by the MA through public tenders. In 2018, a LP Seminar for Standard+ Projects and one FLC seminar for Italian FLCs were held in Venice, several other events, organized by National or local Authorities were organized both in Italy and Croatia. In 2018 the progress reports of 21 "Standard+" Projects were received and assessed. The Programme in 2018 presented to the EC an application for reimbursement for a total of more than 3,8 million ERDF.

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 1.1b

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	Enterprises	36.00	42.00	
S	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	Enterprises	36.00	405.00	Estimation on the n. of enterprises receiving support within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	Enterprises	6.00	0.00	
S	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	Enterprises	6.00	4.00	Contribution is expected to come from Strategic Call projects
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	30.00	42.00	
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	30.00	401.00	Estimation on the n. of enterprises receiving non-financial support within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	10.00	5.00	
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	10.00	33.00	Estimation on the n. of research institutions participating in a research projects within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	Persons	120.00	128.00	
S	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	Persons	120.00	600.00	Estimation on the n. of participants in joint trainings within the Standard+ 1st CFP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	0.00			
S	CO01	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support	76.00			
F	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	0.00			
S	CO02	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving grants	0.00			
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	0.00			
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	76.00			
F	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0.00			
S	CO42	Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	5.00			
F	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	0.00			
S	CO44	Labour Market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint training	179.00			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.1 - Enhance the framework conditions for innovation in the relevant sectors of the blue economy within the cooperation area

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 1.1b.1.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
1.1R	Number of EPO applications	Number	673.28	2012	680.00	745.57		From data downloaded on 14/06/2019 from the Eurostat website there are no data available concerning the year 2018 and the last available data dates from 2012. An updated of available data referred to previous years is registered.

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1R	Number of EPO applications								

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5a - Supporting investment for adaptation to climate change, including ecosystem-based approaches

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.5a

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	5.00	0.00	
S	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	5.00	17.00	Estimation on n. of climate change monitoring systems put in operation within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	5.00	0.00	
S	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	5.00	26.00	Estimation on n. of plans of adaptation measures put in place within the Standard+ 1st CFP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1O1	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1O2	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5a - Supporting investment for adaptation to climate change, including ecosystem-based approaches
Specific objective	2.1 - Improve the climate change monitoring and planning of adaptation measures tackling specific effects, in the cooperation area

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.5a.2.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
2.1R	Inhabitants benefiting from planning of adaptation measures	Number	7,050,052.00	2015	8,000,000.00	11,230,476.00		

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1R	Inhabitants benefiting from planning of adaptation measures								

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.5b

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,500,000.00	174,572.00	
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Persons	1,500,000.00	437,000.00	Estimation on the population benefiting from flood protection measures within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Persons	1,000,000.00	800,000.00	
S	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Persons	1,000,000.00	60,000.00	Estimation on the population benefiting from forest fire protection measures within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	Persons	1,200,000.00	0.00	
S	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	Persons	1,200,000.00	450,000.00	Contribution is expected to come from Strategic call projects
F	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	100,000.00	3,440.00	
S	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	100,000.00	1,506,500.00	Estimation on the n. of people reached by initiatives for increasing awareness within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(I)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	0.00			
S	CO20	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures	200,000.00			
F	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	0.00			
S	CO21	Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	150,000.00			
F	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	0.00			
S	2.204	Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures	0.00			
F	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.202	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	8,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE
Investment priority	5b - Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems
Specific objective	2.2 - Increase the safety of the Programme area from natural and man-made disaster

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.5b.2.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
2.2R	Inhabitants benefiting from risk management coordinated measures	Number	8,366,317.00	2015	9,000,000.00	10,862,513.00		

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2R	Inhabitants benefiting from risk management coordinated measures								

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6c

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	3.102	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	Number	40.00	169.00	
S	3.102	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	Number	40.00	1,508.00	Estimation on the n. of actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism) within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	3.103	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	10.00	6.00	
S	3.103	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	10.00	86.00	Estimation on the n. natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities within the Standard+ 1st CFP
F	3.104	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number	10.00	0.00	
S	3.104	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	Number	10.00	0.00	Contribution is expected to come from Strategic Call projects
F	3.105	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	20.00	20.00	
S	3.105	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	20.00	150.00	Estimation on cultural and natural heritage promoted within the Standard+ 1st CFP

(I)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	3.102	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.102	Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)	396.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.103	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.103	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	63.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.104	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.104	Beneficiaries with ecolabel/green certification	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.105	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	0.00			
S	3.105	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	98.00			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	3.1 - Make natural and cultural heritage a leverage for sustainable and more balanced territorial development

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6c.3.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
3.1R	Seasonality in tourism in the programme area	Number	0.62	2014	0.62	0.61		The Result Indicator is calculated on the basis of data referred to 2017. Data processing made by the Statistical Office of Veneto Region on data provided by Italian Regional Statistical Offices and Croatian Ministry of Regional Development and EU Funds.

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1R	Seasonality in tourism in the programme area								

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6d

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	6.00	5.00	
S	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	6.00	21.00	Estimation on the n. of natural ecosystems supported within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	Number	4.00	7.00	Estimation on the n. of monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place within the Standard+ 1st CIP
F	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	Number	4.00	2.00	Contribution is expected to come from Strategic call projects
F	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	Number	4.00	0.00	
S	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	Number	4.00	10.00	Estimation on the n. of integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(I)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O1	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O2	Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O3	Restoration actions supporting endangered species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2O4	Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	3.2 - Contribute to protect and restore biodiversity

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6d.3.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
3.2R	Excellent conservation status of habitat types and species of Natura 2000 sites in the programme area	Number	3,538.00	2014	3,550.00	3,587.00		

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2R	Excellent conservation status of habitat types and species of Natura 2000 sites in the programme area								

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.6f

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	3.3O1	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	2.00	1.00	
S	3.3O1	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	2.00	11.00	Estimation on the n. of environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) to be implemented within the Standard+ 1st C/P
F	3.3O3	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	Particles	1,000,000.00	0.00	
S	3.3O3	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	Particles	1,000,000.00	820,000.00	Contribution is expected to come from Strategic call projects

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	3.3O1	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.3O1	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.3O3	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	0.00			
S	3.3O3	Microplastic waste collected in marine areas	0.00			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
Investment priority	6f - Promoting innovative technologies to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste sector, water sector and with regard to soil, or to reduce air pollution
Specific objective	3.3 - Improve the environmental quality conditions of the sea and coastal area by use of sustainable and innovative technologies and approaches

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.6f.3.3

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
3.3R	Quality level of coastal bathing waters (according to the dir. 2006/7/CE)	Number	2.87	2014	2.87	2.91		

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.3R	Quality level of coastal bathing waters (according to the dir. 2006/7/CE)								

Priority axis	PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 4.7c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	5.00	1.00	
S	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	5.00	34.00	Estimation on the n. of multimodal transport services improved within the 1st Standard+ CIP
F	4.1O2	New links established	Number	2.00	0.00	
S	4.1O2	New links established	Number	2.00	1.00	Estimation on the n. of new links established within the 1st Standard+ CIP
F	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	4.00	1.00	
S	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	4.00	5.00	Estimation on the n. of harmonized services for passengers put in place within the Standard+ 1st CIP

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O1	Improved multimodal transport services	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	4.1O2	New links established	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O2	New links established	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1O3	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility
Specific objective	4.1 - Improve the quality, safety and environmental sustainability of marine and coastal transport services and nodes by promoting multimodality in the Programme area

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 4.7c.4.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
4.1R	Goods transported by maritime mode	Thousand tonnes	2,445.00	2014	2,690.00	2,349.00		

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1R	Goods transported by maritime mode								

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	<p>PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions.</p> <p>According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.</p>
---------------	--

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 5.The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions.

According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2018	Observations
F	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	number	3.00	2.00	2 Calls were launched and closed in 2017: 1 Set of Calls including 4 "Standard+" procedures targeting PAs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 4 "Standard" procedures targeting PAs 1, 2, 3, 4; 1 Call for TA in order to propose to the MC the approval of 5 TA projects. In 2018 no calls were launched.
S	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	number	3.00	3.00	In 2019 the Strategic all for proposal will be launched.
F	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	number	75.00	77.00	22 Standard+ projects approved by the MC in October 2017 5 TA projects, previously agreed by the MC, were submitted by TA beneficiaries in December 2017 50 Standard projects approved by MC in 2018.
S	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	number	75.00	88.00	Estimation of 11 Strategic projects to be approved in 2019.
F	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	number	1.00	1.00	The Programme communication strategy was approved by the MC in 2016 and is currently being implemented.
S	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	number	1.00	1.00	
F	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	number	1.00	0.00	
S	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	number	1.00	0.00	
F	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	number	1.00	0.00	
S	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	number	1.00	1.00	It is expected that the SIU system will be finalized in 2019.
F	TA6	Workshop and events held	number	8.00	11.00	In 2017, 7 workshops were organised to present to potential beneficiaries the main elements of the 1st Set of Calls for Proposals: 3 events were held in Croatia (Ploce, Zadar, Karlovac) and 4 in Italy (Venice, Udine, Pescara, Ancona) 2 events and workshops organised for the beneficiaries by the MA, 2 events organised by the Croatian bodies in 2018
S	TA6	Workshop and events held	number	8.00	15.00	Estimation on 4 events to be organised in 2019
F	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	20.00	22.00	The remaining 4 JS staff (FTE permanent contracts) were hired by the Agency for Regional Development of the Republic (ARR) of Croatia at the beginning of 2017. 2 more staff were hired in the MA.
S	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	20.00	22.00	No more staff to be hired is foreseen.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	2.00			
S	TA1	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	0.00			
F	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	27.00			
S	TA2	Operations financed following calls for proposals	47.00			
F	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	1.00	1.00		
S	TA3	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	0.00			
F	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	0.00			
S	TA4	Independent on-going programme evaluation implemented	0.00			
F	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	0.00			
S	TA5	Programme e-Monitoring System established	1.00	1.00		
F	TA6	Workshop and events held	8.00	1.00		
S	TA6	Workshop and events held	12.00	1.00		
F	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	20.00	16.00		
S	TA7	Number of employees (Full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	21.00			

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
Specific objective	5.1 - To assure efficiency and effectiveness in the management and implementation of the Cooperation Programme

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 5.5.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
-	-	-			0.00			

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
-	-								

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
Specific objective	5.2 - To assure the support to applicants and beneficiaries and to strengthen the involvement of relevant partners in the Programme implementation

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 5.5.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations
--	-	-			0.00			

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
--	-								

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2018	Observations
PA 1	F	1PF6	Financial	EUR	2.842.690,3	28,426,903.00	1,021,298.17	Total ERDF + NC (PR1 + PR2)
PA 1	I	1FP10	Number of cross-border, trans-national or interregional research projects started	Projects	2	5.00	2.00	
PA 1	I	1PF9	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support involved in the funded operations	Enterprises	10	30.00	42.00	
PA 1	O	1PF7	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	0	30.00	42.00	
PA 1	O	1PF8	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organizations	0	10.00	5.00	
PA 2	F	2FP1	Financial	EUR	3.020.358,3	60,407,166.00	1,055,217.48	Total ERDF + NC (PR1 + PR2)
PA 2	I	2PF5	Preparatory technical documents for putting in operation the climate change monitoring systems	Number	2	7.00	2.00	
PA 2	I	2PF6	Plans adaptation measures being drawn up	Number	2	5.00	6.00	
PA 2	O	2PF2	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	0	5.00	0.00	
PA 2	O	2PF3	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	0	5.00	0.00	
PA 2	O	2PF4	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	5.000,00	100,000.00	3,440.00	
PA 3	F	3PF1	financial	EUR	5.803.825,79	82,911,797.00	3,505,518.34	Total ERDF + NC (PR1 + PR2)
PA 3	I	3PF2	Open building sites for the improved accessibility in the selected cultural and heritage destinations	Number	5	10.00	4.00	
PA 3	I	3PF9	Approved projects in order to implement environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches)	Number	2	3.00	6.00	
PA 3	O	3PF10	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	5	20.00	10.00	
PA 3	O	3PF11	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	0	3.00	1.00	
PA 3	O	3PF5	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	0	10.00	11.00	
PA 3	O	3PF6	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	2	6.00	5.00	
PA 4	F	4PF1	Financial	EUR	2.546.576,6	50,931,532.00	1,257,678.01	Total ERDF + NC (PR1 + PR2)
PA 4	I	4PF2	Approved projects aimed at improving multimodal transport services	Number	2	10.00	14.00	
PA 4	I	4PF3	Analyses for the harmonization services	Number	2	4.00	2.00	
PA 4	O	4PF4	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	0	5.00	1.00	
PA 4	O	4PF5	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	0	4.00	1.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2017	2016	2015	2014
PA 1	F	1PF6	Financial	EUR	0.00			
PA 1	I	1FP10	Number of cross-border, trans-national or interregional research projects started	Projects	1.00			
PA 1	I	1PF9	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support involved in the funded operations	Enterprises	0.00			
PA 1	O	1PF7	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	0.00			
PA 1	O	1PF8	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organizations	0.00			
PA 2	F	2FP1	Financial	EUR	0.00			
PA 2	I	2PF5	Preparatory technical documents for putting in operation the climate change monitoring systems	Number	0.00			
PA 2	I	2PF6	Plans adaptation measures being drawn up	Number	0.00			
PA 2	O	2PF2	Climate change monitoring systems put in operation	Number	0.00			
PA 2	O	2PF3	Plans of adaptation measures put in place	Number	0.00			
PA 2	O	2PF4	People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness	Number	0.00			
PA 3	F	3PF1	financial	EUR	0.00			
PA 3	I	3PF2	Open building sites for the improved accessibility in the selected cultural and heritage destinations	Number	0.00			

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2017	2016	2015	2014
PA 3	I	3PF9	Approved projects in order to implement environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches)	Number	1.00			
PA 3	O	3PF10	Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted	Number	0.00			
PA 3	O	3PF11	Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented	Number	0.00			
PA 3	O	3PF5	Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place	Number	0.00			
PA 3	O	3PF6	Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Number	0.00			
PA 4	F	4PF1	Financial	EUR	0.00			
PA 4	I	4PF2	Approved projects aimed at improving multimodal transport services	Number	3.00			
PA 4	I	4PF3	Analyses for the harmonization services	Number	0.00			
PA 4	O	4PF4	Improved multimodal transport services	Number	0.00			
PA 4	O	4PF5	Harmonized services for passengers put in place	Number	0.00			

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and paid by 31/12/2018 and certified to the Commission
PA 1	ERDF	Total	28,426,903.00	85.00	22,479,312.59	79.08%	21,831,988.64	330,438.54	1.16%	11	1,021,298.17
PA 2	ERDF	Total	60,407,166.00	85.00	25,666,519.95	42.49%	25,247,759.81	237,225.47	0.39%	13	1,055,217.48
PA 3	ERDF	Total	82,911,797.00	85.00	63,121,184.19	76.13%	61,438,899.56	972,512.31	1.17%	33	3,505,518.34
PA 4	ERDF	Total	50,931,532.00	85.00	29,504,266.65	57.93%	28,524,650.26	451,822.08	0.89%	15	1,257,678.01
PA 5	ERDF	Total	14,213,451.00	85.00	14,213,450.00	100.00%	14,213,450.00	2,492,275.40	17.53%	5	
Total	ERDF		236,890,849.00	85.00	154,984,733.38	65.42%	151,256,748.27	4,484,273.80	1.89%	77	6,839,712.00
Grand total			236,890,849.00	85.00	154,984,733.38	65.42%	151,256,748.27	4,484,273.80	1.89%	77	6,839,712.00

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

--

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
PA 1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		18	ITH57	2,814,830.00	2,794,495.50	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		24	ITH44	2,060,000.00	2,019,260.00	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		19	ITH42	3,224,009.59	3,097,893.80	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		24	ITH35	919,451.50	871,426.00	155,734.58	1
PA 1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		24	ITH57	3,178,030.00	3,037,359.84	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	062	01	02	07	01		24	HR036	1,827,670.00	1,797,754.00	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	063	01	02	07	01		24	ITH36	1,744,467.00	1,711,886.60	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	064	01	01	07	01		17	ITH35	1,498,440.00	1,424,169.00	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	066	01	01	07	01		18	ITH35	3,254,230.50	3,254,230.50	0.00	1
PA 1	ERDF	066	01	01	07	01		19	ITH35	1,037,050.00	979,562.50	95,177.22	1
PA 1	ERDF	118	01	01	07	01		18	ITH44	921,134.00	843,950.90	79,526.74	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		18	ITF13	1,150,000.00	1,078,000.00	82,198.27	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		18	ITH57	2,489,237.50	2,443,590.20	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		19	ITH36	2,598,608.60	2,598,608.60	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		24	ITH35	4,924,650.00	4,903,275.00	0.00	2
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	01	07	05		24	ITH56	1,429,112.05	1,429,112.05	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	02	07	05		18	ITH43	2,144,048.00	2,144,048.00	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	02	07	05		19	ITI31	1,026,244.20	1,026,244.20	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	02	07	05		19	ITI33	2,094,857.50	2,063,121.24	0.00	1
PA 2	ERDF	087	01	02	07	05		24	HR036	799,191.80	760,282.25	55,192.73	1
PA 2	ERDF	088	01	01	07	05		18	ITF22	4,106,000.00	3,931,850.00	99,834.47	2
PA 2	ERDF	088	01	01	07	05		24	HR033	2,904,570.30	2,869,628.27	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	077	01	01	07	06		24	ITH35	964,933.48	901,963.69	154,551.90	1
PA 3	ERDF	084	01	01	07	06		19	ITH42	2,035,703.13	2,025,669.93	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	084	01	01	07	06		24	ITH35	2,833,019.40	2,833,019.40	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	084	01	01	07	06		24	ITH56	2,478,640.00	2,400,532.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		18	HR041	1,480,153.25	1,408,368.31	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		18	ITI32	934,405.00	886,044.25	65,068.73	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		19	ITH35	1,007,093.20	904,852.40	168,983.32	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		24	ITH35	1,836,947.50	1,836,947.50	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	01	07	06		24	ITI31	1,754,230.00	1,727,199.90	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	085	01	02	07	06		18	HR035	2,834,115.00	2,668,800.60	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	086	01	01	07	06		24	HR035	2,146,040.50	2,066,262.97	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	086	01	01	07	06		24	ITH35	3,390,551.05	3,317,468.90	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	086	01	02	07	06		18	ITH43	1,906,100.00	1,836,650.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	092	01	01	07	06		18	HR036	1,006,360.00	933,699.25	51,210.03	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		12	ITH36	2,432,995.75	2,432,995.75	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	HR033	1,176,467.40	1,074,247.12	51,176.87	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	HR036	3,168,790.00	3,168,790.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH56	3,251,055.00	3,251,055.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH57	1,140,554.88	1,053,564.63	88,964.52	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH58	2,521,805.00	2,484,750.05	32,629.03	2
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITH59	2,093,392.00	2,059,604.50	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		18	ITI32	5,031,529.25	5,014,209.82	0.00	2
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		19	ITH35	2,504,981.90	2,446,738.71	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	01	07	06		24	ITF47	2,706,920.00	2,622,578.00	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		17	ITH56	916,183.00	870,681.55	101,462.96	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		18	HR031	861,435.70	807,157.45	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		23	ITH42	1,586,930.25	1,586,930.25	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	094	01	02	07	06		24	HR032	2,474,876.05	2,449,901.04	0.00	1
PA 3	ERDF	095	01	01	07	06		01	HR036	871,925.00	750,080.00	187,554.33	1
PA 3	ERDF	095	01	01	07	06		18	ITF22	2,596,808.50	2,509,328.34	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
PA 3	ERDF	095	01	01	07	06		23	ITF47	1,176,243.00	1,108,808.25	70,910.62	1
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	01	07	07		18	ITH44	3,953,285.00	3,865,002.47	100,777.68	2
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	01	07	07		18	ITH57	2,200,000.00	2,171,500.00	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	01	07	07		18	ITI32	4,225,194.45	3,988,129.42	100,903.45	3
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	02	07	07		18	ITH35	2,897,500.00	2,881,600.00	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	036	01	03	07	07		12	HR036	2,104,217.00	2,075,177.90	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	038	01	01	07	07		18	ITH44	5,471,172.70	5,194,331.10	0.00	2
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		18	ITH35	2,896,480.00	2,896,480.00	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		19	HR036	2,555,427.50	2,441,359.62	0.00	1
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		19	ITH35	830,000.00	785,000.00	123,421.55	1
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		24	HR036	1,170,990.00	1,056,069.75	126,719.40	1
PA 4	ERDF	044	01	01	07	07		24	ITF45	1,200,000.00	1,170,000.00	0.00	1
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	HR041	2,416,285.00	2,416,285.00	0.00	3
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	ITH35	9,998,905.00	9,998,905.00	2,131,608.99	1
PA 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			18	ITH36	1,798,260.00	1,798,260.00	360,666.41	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
20	550,927.00	0.27%	0.00	

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

The Evaluation Plan was approved in late 2018 (see section 10.1) and consequently no evaluation of the Programme was carried out and made available during the reporting year. Indeed, in 2018 the activities were focused on the contracting and starting of the financed projects and on the first reporting phase, activities prioritised by MA in order to accomplish N+3 financial target and which absorbed the commitment of the human resources available. From the other hand, it has to be considered that due to the general delay of the programme implementation, it seems coherent that the evaluation activities will not start before 2019, since during this year the first significant projects outputs and results will be delivered on the Programme area.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	----------

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

Although the Programme has started its full implementation with the start of the first projects, it shall be pointed out that there are still some issues which affect its performance and, to some extent, may pose some risks to its effectiveness.

SIU SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

The SIU system adaptation to the Italy-Croatia Programme still presents some delays and concerns. The reporting module was used for the first time starting from July 2018 by the Standard+ projects with some technical issues and malfunctioning that led the MA to grant a one-month extension to all Standard+ projects for the finalisation of the report in SIU. In addition, also the condition clearing module used by the Standard+ projects at the beginning of 2018 and then used by the Standard projects at the end of the year, proved to have several issues still to be solved by technicians in order to avoid mistakes in the Application Forms.

In order to better manage the pending developments and the issues which arose connected to the user experience, the MA has foreseen for 2019 the creation of a new unit dedicated to the SIU system and involving high-skilled staff with long experience with the SIU development. It is expected that thanks to this new unit the system will be better managed and the users will experience less issues compared to the past.

CONTINUITY OF STAFF WITHIN THE JS

In compliance with the Italian Law, the JS staff contracts were stipulated in 2016 with a duration of 3 years; they were thus planned to terminate in 2019. During 2018 several MC discussions were held on the importance of ensuring continuity to the trained staff, knowledgeable about the Programme and its area, as well as possessing the necessary experience from the first three years of Programme implementation. A solution to this important issue was requested to the MA and to the Veneto Region at large by the MC as well as by the National Authorities involved in the Programme.

As a response to these concerns, in October was signed with the JS staff a contract addendum that adjusts the contract duration to the Programme needs, i.e. until 2023. It is expected that this measure will ensure continuity and probably also decrease the staff turnover experienced so far (1 JS member resigned in 2017, 3 in 2018).

DELAYS IN IMPLEMENTATION

The Programme performance is still severely affected by the initial 2-year delay due to the late submission/approval of the CP, to the slow setting up of the Programme structures and related procedures which had to be created for the first time with no previous experience and lessons learnt. In order to overcome this delay, several measures especially in the programming of calls for proposals had been put up already in 2016 and 2017.

In 2018 the MC decided to take a further measure to ensure that the Programme be able to deliver the foreseen

results in the Programme area: all the remaining resources (69.620.196,51 Euro of ERDF) will be dedicated to the 2nd set of Calls for Proposals to be launched in 2019 and addressing all the existing gaps in the Programme implementation. It is expected that this measure will allow the Programme management bodies to better manage a “top-down” call targeting some specific themes identified as crucial to achieve the Programme objectives. Moreover, fewer projects with higher resources are expected to have a better capacity to achieve results in a shorter time frame compared to numerous projects with smaller budgets.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

2018 was a crucial year for the Programme implementation: by the end of the December a certification of expenditure at least equal to the N+3 amount had to be submitted to the EC and the Performance Framework milestones had to be reached in terms of expenditure certified and outputs achieved. Consequently, the MA and JS put much effort in monitoring the achievement of the set targets and in taking remedial measures, when needed.

In particular, the following main actions were implemented to ensure the fulfilment of the set targets:

- 1) A monitoring of planned expenditure and contribution to output indicators for the year 2018 (reporting period 1 and 2) which was carried out in June and July by the JS and which involved all 22 running Standard+ projects. Thanks to the data collated it was possible to get a clear picture of the Programme performance and closely monitor those projects that promised the delivery of results by the end of the year.
- 2) The extension of the deadline for submitting the first Progress Reports by the Standard+ projects in order to allow more beneficiaries to have their expenses validated by the FLCs. At the same time, SIU assistance was reinforced to make sure that technical issues were not hampering the submission of expenses within the Progress Report.
- 3) The revision of the CP decided by the MC in July allowed the correction of some wrong assumptions which could pose a risk of failure of the Performance Framework.
- 4) The generation process of Strategic projects was carried out having in mind the difficulties of the Programme in making up for the initial delay. The decision by MC to allocate all the remaining Programme budget for the strategic call is in fact aimed at ensuring that targets, at financial level and physical achievement level, are met and the Programme strategy is implemented as expected with benefits from the Programme area and the cross-border cooperation.
- 5) The efforts put by MA and JS in reporting and certifying the Technical Assistance expense incurred by the MA and by the other Programme bodies. Also thanks to these expenses the N+3 target was achieved by the end of 2018.

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	PA 1 - BLUE INNOVATION
---------------	------------------------

The “Standard+” and “Standard” Calls for Proposals showed a significant interest from the territories for the topics addressed within the Specific Objective 1.1

The Programme resources firstly allocated and then committed for both “Standard+” and “Standard” projects amount to 79% of the total envelope of Priority Axis 1. In particular, the 3 “Standard+” Projects in implementation during 2018 account for 16% of the total ERDF funds allocated under the PA1 while the 8 “Standard” projects approved account for 69%. One of the selected “Standard” projects started with activity implementation in 2018.

The expected results under the specific objective 1.1 aims at improving the performance of the Programme area in the field of innovation by establishing and developing mechanisms which contribute to a better exploitation of the existing potential. Although the first projects started only in January 2018, it can be expected the results within this Priority Axis will be available in the next one or two years, considering the percentage of total resources already granted and the technical and thematic specificity of the selected projects.

The “Standard+” projects approved under S.O.1.1. are BEAT, BLUE KEP and BLUTOURSYSTEM which started their implementation in January 2018.

BEAT project targets the development of cross border cluster in blue technologies and mapping of technological competences of firms belonging to blue sector.

BLUE KEP project expect to enhance framework conditions for innovation in relevant sectors of the blue economy of the cross border (CB) area.

BLUTOURSYSTEM project has the aim to support business development and entrepreneurship capacities improvement in a quadruple helix approach offering innovation tool and a real chance to change and advance the CB Tourism Sector in the long run.

The “Standard” project ADRIREEF started in December 2018 and is expected to define the current situation of the Adriatic reefs in the Programme area, to use innovative integrated monitoring systems of abiotic and biotic descriptors through the adoption of technologies with low environmental impacts and to draft guidelines for stakeholders and a White paper for an economic and sustainable exploitation of Reefs.

“Standard” projects approved under the Specific Objective 1.1. starting in January 2019 are the following:

COASTENERGY, INVESTINFISH, Adri.SmArtFish, AdriAquaNet, FAIRSEA, PRIZEFISH and ITACA. They are tackling issues regarding innovation in respective sectors of the blue economy, primarily fisheries and aquaculture in the intervention area, as better explained here below:

COASTENERGY wants to foster the creation of a favourable environment for business initiatives in the Blue Energy sector promoting the realization of coastal blue energy systems in the Programme area

PRIZEFISH will work on territorial and socio-economic developmental change in the cooperative renewable exploitation of Adriatic fishery resources and in the long-term benefits on the Adriatic marine ecosystems.

ADRI.SMART.FISH general objective is to strengthen the Small-Scale Fishery role by fostering its potential for innovation within the Blue Growth context

ITACA projects tackles the competitiveness of Adriatic fisheries sector, fostering the introduction of blue innovation and improving the sustainability of catch activities, specifically on small pelagic (SP) fisheries.

INVESTINFISH main objective is strengthening of competitiveness of F&A production system, through promotion of investment programs aimed at acquisition of innovation services

AdriAquaNet project aims to create concrete actions addressed to fish farmers and SMEs processing the fish. All the project insights and outputs will be constantly transferred to research Institutes, fish farms, fish processing plants, regional and national associations, as well as end consumers.

FAIRSEA aims at enhancing transnational capacity and cooperation in the field of an ecosystem approach to fisheries in the Adriatic region by exchanging knowledge and sharing good practices among partners.

“Standard+” and “Standard” projects are expected to contribute to the following Programme output indicators:

CO01 Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving support

CO02 Number of enterprises receiving grants

CO04 Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support

CO42 Productive investment: Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects

CO44 Labour market and Training: Number of participants in joint local employment initiatives and joint

training

Priority axis

PA 2 - SAFETY AND RESILIENCE

Considering both “Standard+” and “Standard” Calls for proposals, the Programme resources firstly allocated and then committed for Priority Axis 2 amounts to 42% of the total priority budget. In particular, the 3 “Standard+” Projects in implementation during 2018 account for 5% of the total ERDF funds allocated under the PA2 while the 10 “Standard” projects approved account for 37%. This allocation reflects the indication foreseen in the CP that this priority axis will be mainly implemented through strategic projects.

The expected results under the specific objective 2.1 aim at improving the climate change monitoring and planning of measures for strengthening the adaptation capacity of the region while increasing the resilience of the territory including its natural environment. Only one “Standard+” project, iDEAL, was contracted and running in 2018 under this SO: it is aimed at improving the climate change monitoring and the capacity of planning adaptation measures, by putting in operation a Decision Support System and by using it in order to develop and adopt five plans of adaptation measures. The project thus contributes to enhance the knowledge of policy makers to take appropriate decisions related to climate adaptation measures and to increase the number of inhabitants benefitting from climate adaptation planning.

The “Standard” projects Adriadapt, CHANGE WE CARE, Asteris, GECO2, Joint-SECAP, REPOSE, MoST will contribute to the expected results of this SO by increasing knowledge, data availability and monitoring on climate change and by putting in place local plans of adaptation measures.

Regarding the SO 2.2 the expected results aim at improving the safety of the Programme area supporting the development of disaster management systems, furthering the capacity of recovery while minimising damages. Under the SO 2.2, in both “Standard+” and “Standard” Calls for proposals, 2 “Standard+” projects were contracted and running in 2018: AdriaMORE which aims to improve an existing integrated hydro-meteorological risk management platform on the Adriatic coastal areas of Italy and Croatia, and READINESS aiming to improve the overall ability of ecosystems and communities to resist, absorb, accommodate to and recover from the effects of forest fire and earthquake hazards in a timely and efficient manner.

The 3 “Standard” projects approved want to develop an adequate and timely response system for cases of incidental sea pollution in enclosed parts of the sea (PEPSEA); improve the monitoring and emergency management measures, harnessing the characteristics of the social media network to significantly enhance Civil Protection’s capacity in reducing disaster risk (E-CITIJENS); and implement an early warning system that will decrease environmental and economic losses (PMO-GATE).

“Standard+” and “Standard” projects are expected to contribute to the following Programme output indicators:

21O1 Climate change monitoring systems put in operation

21O2 Plans of adaptation measures put in place

22O2 People reached by initiatives for increasing awareness

22O4 Population benefiting from oil spills and other marine hazards protection measures

CO21 Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from forest fire protection

CO20 Risk prevention and management: Population benefiting from flood protection measures

Priority axis	PA 3 - ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURAL HERITAGE
---------------	--

The “Standard+” and “Standard” Calls for Proposals showed a significant interest from the territories for the topics addressed within the Priority Axis 3 and its three Specific Objectives: SO 3.1 Make natural and cultural heritage a leverage for sustainable and more balanced territorial development; SO 3.2 Contribute to protect and restore biodiversity; SO 3.3 Improve the environmental quality conditions of the sea and coastal area by use of sustainable and innovative technologies and approaches. In particular, the great majority of applications submitted and almost half of the funded “Standard+” and “Standard” projects falls under SO 3.1

The Programme resources firstly allocated and then committed for both “Standard+” and “Standard” projects amount to 76% of the total envelope of Priority Axis 3. In particular, the 11 “Standard+” Projects in implementation during 2018 account for 12% of the total ERDF funds allocated under the PA3 while the 22 “Standard” projects approved account for 63%. Two of the selected “Standard” projects started with activity implementation in 2018.

As concerns the Specific objective 3.1, the expected results relate to reaching the diversification of the local economy – thus developing job opportunities and well-being of the population – by taking advantage of the heritage of the area in a sustainable way, protecting and enhancing it both in urban and rural contexts, particularly in areas less known or visited.

The 9 “Standard+” projects running in 2018 focus on the following topics:

ARTVISION+: increase in the number of visitors in the less known destinations of the considered area through the improvement of tourist itineraries and the promotion of them through artistic campaigns.

ATRIUMPLUS: enhance the exploitation of the uncomfortable heritage of 1900s, via laying down the basis - uniform information and signposting systems, under a common cross-border brand; provision of well-trained voluntary and professional guides - for its critical and responsible fruition along well-defined urban/territorial itineraries, starting from study visits of some schools.

INNOCULTOUR: improve the capacities of museums and cultural heritage sites and the capacities of involved cultural heritage sites in order to successfully market and promote tourism products; improve the visibility and desirability of less known cultural heritage sites thanks to better synergies among museums and creative industries.

USEFALL: increase the attractiveness of the touristic offer and improve the accessibility of 6 UNESCO cultural heritage sites for all regardless any kind of disability thanks to the new pilot management model; rethink tourism in the Programme area, in order to avoid high tourist concentration only in high season, which also involves the degradation of the cultural resources with new promotional approaches.

ZERO WASTE BLUE: Natural/cultural sites promoted and protected keeping their environment/historical value unchanged despite human pressure. Seasonality in tourism is tackled as a lever to promote and preserve the heritage and for a more balanced territorial development.

HERCULTOUR: strengthen a joint cross-border platform (HERA) for management and promotion of sustainable tourism. More specifically, the project is elaborating operative plans for existing local cultural tourism routes and Visitor Centres and it is developing and certifying a new International Cultural Tourism Route and a new Visitor Centre.

ATLAS: aims to support a cultural heritage-based economic growth in the cross-border area, by stimulating new and innovative cultural tourism models able to create growth, employment and contribute to a more sustainable exploitation of the territories.

KEYQ+: developing and valorizing in a sustainable way a widely spread environmental and landscape assets of the area through a higher quality tourism by building an Integrated System of Services for the Natural and Cultural Heritage for a sustainable economic development. The expected change is a more equal distribution of tourist flows during the year.

I.ARCHEO.S: to protect and preserve the historically rooted cuisine and the less known heritage sites and give a boost to the cross-border economy thanks to the experimental tourism packages, also by valorizing some emblematic buildings included in the list of the cultural heritage of the area.

The 12 “Standard” projects **VALUE**, **MADE IN-LAND**, **ARCA ADRIATICA**, **UNDERWATERMUSE**, **REMEMBER**, **RECOLOR**, **TOURISM4ALL**, **TEMPUS**, **S.LI.DES.**, **REPLICATE**, **EXCOVER**, **HISTORIC** will contribute at increasing the value of natural and cultural heritage by developing and implementing protection and promotion measures; improving accessibility to natural and cultural heritage destinations; involving actors in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage.

Specific objective 3.2 aims at strengthening of the management and protection of ecosystems and the cooperation between public actors/ managers of the protected areas in order to increase environmental benefits and to provide economic and employment opportunities. Under SO 3.2, two projects were running in 2018: the “Standard+” project **DORY**, started in January 2018 and focused on protection of biodiversity through sustainable aquaculture and fisheries and the “Standard” project **CREW**, started in

December 2018, which aims at improving the quality of ecosystems in wetlands.

The remaining four approved “Standard” projects - ECOSS, SOUNDSCAPE, SASPAS, SUSHI DROP – will contribute to protect marine biodiversity and ecosystems respectively thanks to: setting up of an observing system able to link oceanographic research and Natura 2000 conservation strategies; assessment of the impact of underwater noise on marine fauna; seagrass preservation and restoration; customizing unmanned underwater vehicles in order to assess and protect marine biodiversity.

The SO 3.3 aims at improving the quality of the water of the sea by using innovative technologies in waste management and treatment, as well as new integrated approaches in facing several problems, including the emerging issue of marine litter. In 2018 only one “Standard+” project, ML-REPAIR, was running; it contributes to a better environmental strategy and to have access to suitable environmental management tools to contribute to a more sustainable Programme area. The specific aim is to support governance decision in marine litter with focus on microplastics generation based on the practical experiences with pilot actions, new methodologies in the field of sustainable waste management, with particular attention to the local and community dimension.

The remaining five approved “Standard” projects - ECOMAP, NETWAP, NET4mPLASTIC, WATERCARE and AdSWiM – will contribute to improve environmental protection and resource efficiency in the waste and water management respectively thanks to: improvement of environmentally-friendly services in marinas and nearby area in order to prevent the waste polluting the surrounding beaches and the sea, implement pilot actions with new methodologies in the field of sustainable waste management, with particular attention to the local and community dimension, gain knowledge on microplastic (MP) accumulation in different environmental contexts in four macro-pilot areas (identified according to their geomorphological characteristics), providing a systematic comparison on levels of MP on beaches, marine environment and biota at both a regional/local scale, improve the quality of the microbial and environment and resource efficiency in bathing and coastal waters reducing the microbial contamination by using innovative tools in waste management and treatment and the preparation of cross-border agreed guidelines to manage wastewater and depuration plants and drawing up legislative proposals.

“Standard+” and “Standard” projects are expected to contribute to the following Programme output indicators:

3.1O2 Actors involved in actions aimed at promoting natural and cultural heritage (including typical products, joint branding and tourism)

3.1O3 Natural and cultural heritage destinations with improved accessibilities (e.g.: to disabled tourists, virtual tourists etc.) in place

3.1O5 Cultural and natural heritage (tangible and intangible) promoted

3.2O1 Natural ecosystems supported in order to attain a better conservation status

3.2O2 Monitoring systems and data collections for protecting biodiversity and ecosystems put in place

3.2O3 Restoration actions supporting endangered species

3.2O4 Integrated management systems (sea, coastal and river environment) put in place

3.3O1 Environmental friendly technological solutions (and approaches) implemented

3.3O3 Microplastic waste collected in marine areas

Priority axis

PA 4 - MARITIME TRANSPORT

Considering both “Standard+” and “Standard” Calls for proposals, the Programme resources firstly allocated and then committed amount to 57% of the total envelope of Priority Axis 4. In particular, the 5 “Standard+” Projects in implementation during 2018 account for 10% of the total ERDF funds allocated under the PA4 while the 10 “Standard” projects approved account for 47%. This allocation reflects the indication foreseen in the CP that this priority axis will be mainly implemented through strategic projects.

The expected results under the specific objective 4.1 aim at improving the quality, safety and environmental sustainability of marine and coastal transport services.

The 5 “Standard +” projects contracted and running in 2018 were focusing on the following topics:

MOSES is improving maritime and multimodal transport services between Italy and Croatia towards quality and sustainable cross-border connections;

ECOMOBILITY is promoting a change in the management of the main means of transport in coastal areas towards an environmental friendly approach with the scope of increasing the environmental sustainability of road and ship transport;

STEP-UP is promoting multimodal passenger mobility in Programme area through delivery of information related to transport with various transport modes (maritime, air, rail, long-haul and urban transport) in a door-to-door perspective;

TRANSPOGOOD is improving the multimodal transport systems through developing and testing an innovative IT solution able to boost the quality, safety, efficiency and environmental sustainability of marine and hinterland transport services in the Programme Area;

CHARGE is fostering traffic flows and sustainable connectivity between Adriatic ports involved, to contribute to competitiveness of territories served by the existing maritime links with a common approach.

The 10 “Standard” approved in 2018 will be focusing on the following topics:

DEEP-SEA is improving current marinas mobility services and turn them into low-carbon or zero emission, environmentally friendly and energy efficient systems. Also, it will integrate Adriatic marinas in a unique cross-border cooperation where management capacity and networking among relevant bodies will be the main focus;

ADRIGREE is improving the integration of Adriatic ports and airports with other modes of transportation in order to enhance the processing of passengers that are reaching the main touristic destinations located on Adriatic coasts and to improve environmental performances of the regional maritime and aviation system;

ICARUS is improving passenger intermodal transport connections and eases coast – hinterland sustainable accessibility to promote car-independent lifestyles;

SUTRA is promoting passenger multimodality in the Programme Area through the joint design of sustainable urban mobility concepts and new cross border links by implementing actions aimed at enhancing the use of alternative means of transport that better connects the coast with its hinterland;

INTESA is improving quality, safety and sustainability of maritime transport services within the Region and ensure efficient and sustainable ports-hinterland connections;

PROMARES is enhancing cross-border maritime and multimodal freight transport, by involving transport stakeholders and policy makers facing the same cross-border challenges, from both a strategic and operational perspective, through the increased cooperation among them;

GUTTA will employ onboard vessel performance data and meteo-marine forecasts for a tailor-made Decision Support System (DSS) that optimizes vessel emissions

E-CHAIN main objective is to enhance connectivity and harmonization of data for the Adriatic Intermodal Network, through the realization of a modular integrated software (E-CHAIN platform) for the management of intermodal transport services in port areas for passenger transport.

METRO project aims to improve the environmental sustainability in the field of maritime transport, with a specific focus on touristic connections in the North Adriatic area,

DIGLOGS project is focused on the development of key deployments roadmaps to increase the

competitiveness of multimodal transport services and the harmonisation of passengers' services.

“Standard+” and “Standard” projects are expected to contribute to the following Programme output indicators:

4.101 Improved multimodal transport services

4.102 New links established

4.103 Harmonized services for passengers put in place

Priority axis	PA 5 - The technical assistance aims at supporting the implementation of the programme, the involvement of relevant partners, as well as to increase capacity of institutions and beneficiaries in the Programme area for the cross-border actions. According to article 17 of ETC regulation, the limit for technical assistance is determined in a maximum of 6% of the total ERDF amount allocated to the programme. The co-financing rate will be 15%.
---------------	---

During 2018 the TA activities were carried out according to the agreed plans. 1 Monitoring Committee meeting was held in Venice in July and 7 written procedures were launched and closed in 2018. The Programme bodies implemented their activities according to their respective roles and work plan.

For the Technical Assistance, a total of 2.492.275,40 Euro (ERDF and National Co-financing when due) was reported and validated by the First Level Controllers in the SIU system.

All activities needed to complete the setting up of MA, JS and CA, as well as the establishment of the MC, were carried out. The Programme Control and management system was defined and set up. 1 Monitoring Committee meeting was held and 7 written procedures launched and closed in 2018. The support to MC meetings was ensured by suppliers selected by the MA through public tenders. In 2018, a LP Seminar for Standard+ Projects and one FLC seminar for Italian FLCs were held in Venice, several other events, organized by National or local Authorities were organized both in Italy and Croatia. In 2018 the progress reports of 21 “Standard+” Projects were received and assessed. The Programme in 2018 presented to the EC an application for reimbursement for a total of more than 3,8 million ERDF.

Avepa, the Italian Body designated as Audit Authority of the Programme, worked to ensure the fulfillment of the functions foreseen by the Programme and in art. 127 of the Reg. n. 1303/2013 and assure efficiency, effectiveness and compliance in the management and implementation of the Programme. In 2018 Avepa submitted to the EC 1 assurance package, as required by the Regulations.

TA Projects of the Ministry of Regional development and EU Funds, of the Agency for Regional Development and EU Funds and of the Agency for Audit OF EUROPEAN UNION PROGRAMMES

IMPLEMENTATION SYSTEM of Croatia implemented their activities in 2018.

Specifically, the Agency for Audit issued 1 annual report; the Ministry participated in the Monitoring Committee meetings and other programme meetings and events as well as in organisation of informative and implementation workshops for Croatian beneficiaries. The Ministry also coordinated interested stakeholders, when necessary, and disseminated relevant information.

The Agency for Regional Development, worked to provide the einforcement of capacity of beneficiaries through making information documents and guidelines on eligibility of expenditures and organizing workshops to help avoid ineligible costs. It also implemented actions to reinforce the capacity of applicants and beneficiaries through: information documents for applicants and beneficiaries to guide them in the preparation of applications and the implementation, reporting, control and communication of approved operations, organising consultation and information events involving stakeholders and partners, organising monitoring visits to running projects. The Agency organized in 2018 2 workshops for information on eligibility of the expenditure (in Pula and Split) and additional exchange events addressed to applicants aimed at strengthening their capacity to develop applications and providing information about the project cycle management, application process, eligibility and assessment requirements.

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

Respect of equal opportunities and non-discrimination is required to all funded projects and the monitoring of running projects includes a verification of such attitude from the project side. All projects intend to provide equal opportunities for the men and women to be engaged in the projects and have access to their results. Non-discrimination shall also be ensured in all selection procedures carried out with the Programme funds.

In addition, 7 projects of the SO 3.1 specifically tackle the issue of persons with disabilities to improve the accessibility of natural and cultural heritage sites within the Programme area. These projects are:

- ARTVISION+ creates a virtual platform which allows disabled people to experience the 12 considered tourism destinations in an innovative way.
- INNOCULTOUR implements 8 interventions that will improve accessibility to less known cultural heritage sites of the considered territory (both virtual and physical accessibility).
- USEFALL develops a new pilot model of management of the accessibility in UNESCO sites and innovative pilot infrastructural solutions for the 6 involved sites to improve their accessibility.
- HERCULTOUR equipped a Visitor Center with Guided Visit with headphones which will enable the Visitor Center to offer its services also to blind people.
- I.ARCHEO.S implements a mobile app with the aim to improve the accessibility to cultural and natural destinations to disadvantage groups. Also, the Chart for Evaluating the Quality Performance of Services among its criteria will include the respect of this principle.
- KeyQ+ envisages the involvement of the local community including tourists and professionals of the food industry to contribute to a coherent and inclusive development of the society, respecting the principles of Non-discrimination and equal opportunities.
- ATLAS pays particular attention to the accessibility of cultural sites and the increase of both the start of entrepreneurial activities for disadvantaged target groups and a facilitated access to cultural sites thanks to virtual tourism demonstrative actions.

In SO 2.2, E-CITIJENS Standard project will specifically tackle the equal opportunities and non-discrimination as all the citizens are called to enhance their ability to resist, absorb, accommodate and to recover natural hazards impacts.

In SO 4.1, transport sector showed low female involvement and pushing on digitalization and IT development will open gender balance opportunities: in the last 15 years, the growth in female employment has been connected to the growth of the service sectors (Eurostat). The new transport and

logistics processes require high-qualified employees, offering new opportunities to women who have generally higher levels of education (in 2012, in EU 59% of graduates were women). Also, among the projects, MOSES has the capacity to increase higher mobility opportunities for people with problems of accessibility by implementing its activities of pilot fast lines and the ICT solution will ensure higher accessibility to the service for all.

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

Main contributions are expected under PA1 by fostering innovation in the CB blue economy area. Indeed, enhancing innovation has a pivotal role in finding new effective technological and scientific paths to cope with issues related to sustainable development. The project contribution to eco-innovation in the tourism sector allows the creation of new business ecosystems respectful of the environment and the cultural heritage (Blutoursystem). The conservation and sustainable use of marine resources enhances the conservation and sustainable use of marine ecosystems and their resources (ADRIREEF).

Under PA2, the Programme expects innovative solutions in promoting sustainable development. PEPSEA will create a system of early intervention, which will enable timely action and avoid larger sea pollution, and it will also represent a model for state monitoring of marine ecosystem. PMO-GATE will contribute to the protection and restoration of coastal wetland areas and karst fields, improve the management of natural and cultural heritage, connect national monitoring to a people-centred early warning system to reduce the risk of natural multiple hazards; contribute to build resilient infrastructures, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation, make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.

Main contributions to sustainable development are expected from projects approved under PA3. Specifically, SO 3.1 contribute by increasing the value of natural and cultural heritage through the development and implementation of protection and promotion measures. Under the SO 3.2, DORY, will guarantee a more sustainable development of fisheries, giving a concrete contribution to sustainable development.

SO 3.3 contribute by focusing on the environmental- friendly development and sustainability of coastal resources. It will contribute to sustainable development of the sea environment through investments and development of innovative solutions and educational activities in environmentally-responsible management of coastal areas.

Projects approved under PA4 will as well contribute to sustainable developments since the SO 4.1 expected result is in fact to improve the quality, safety and environmental sustainability of marine and coastal transport services. In particular:

TRANSPOGOOD will promote in Maritime transport and Intermodal connections of IT-HR area business investments in processes and products looking at the inputs coming from the social and technological trends so that to create jobs and keep financial resources in the Area.

MOSES has the effort to shift passengers transport and mobility off the road, from individual motorized transport to sustainable alternatives, it helps reduce pollution of air and soil, noise, space occupancy, improve safety, increase health. MOSES develops convenient alternatives (faster, more reliable, easier, more comfortable) to the car, through maritime collective transport services, electric vehicles and bus

connections and their combination.

The CHARGE project will promote sustainable development by studying ways to make the current MoS services more efficient and environmentally friendly. In particular, said findings will be reached jointly in the Italian and Croatian framework, creating a more environmentally friendly framework, making sure that both nations move in the same direction. The findings will be disseminated in order to raise environmental awareness as well.

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Priority axis	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
PA 2	18,241,151.07	35.53%
PA 3	8,376,579.28	11.89%
PA 4	8,171,251.91	18.87%
Total	34,788,982.25	17.28%

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

During the year 2018, the Monitoring Committee (MC) has been operating in respect of Article 49 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and kept continuously updated on Programme implementation. The MC comprises members with voting rights and members in advisory capacity. The voting members are representatives of the national/regional level, which were nominated with a view to provide representation of all relevant sectors addressed by the Cooperation Programme. The members in advisory capacity include representatives of the national and regional levels relevant for specific areas of interventions foreseen by the Programme, the civil society, the socio-economic partners, the Commission.

The role of the MC in 2018 was crucial in particular with respect to the process of selection of Standard projects, in the revision of the CP towards the attainment of the Performance Framework, in the approval of the Evaluation Plan and, finally, in the drafting of a Post 2020 paper on the importance of maritime CBC programmes. As such the members of the MC were systematically involved in decision-making, invited to all MC meetings and kept updated on the follow-up.

Moreover, in 2018 a Working Group (WG), following a MC decision and aimed at guiding the generation process of the Strategic projects, was set up and met 4 times. Involvement of WG members in discussion on themes and procedure was crucial for a smooth and transparent implementation of the 2nd Set of Calls for Proposals, foreseen for 2019.

The functioning of the MC has been effective during 2018 and compared to previous year less turnover of MC members designated by the Member States was observed as a confirmation of the reinforced commitment towards the effective implementation of the Programme.

The MC is always supported by the Joint Secretariat. The names of the MC members and MC decisions are published on the programme website.

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

In 2018 the evaluation plan was prepared by the JS, acknowledged by the MA and presented to the MC for discussion in the 5th MC meeting held in Venice on 19 and 20 July 2018.

The plan is composed of 5 sections: 1) General context; 2) Objectives, coverage, coordination; 3) Evaluation framework; 4) Planned evaluations; 4) Description of planned evaluations.

It is foreseen that the evaluations will be carried out by an external evaluation team meeting the functional independence of contracted experts from the authorities responsible for Programme implementation (Article 54 (3) of CPR). The MA is responsible for the coordination and steering of the Programme evaluation and will safeguard that it is conducted in a professional and ethical manner in compliance with the principles of impartiality and independence of evaluators. Thus, in order to ensure high quality of Programme evaluations, the Programme will set up a quality management strategy for the evaluation process.

Regarding the procurement of evaluations, the MA is responsible for the tendering of external experts. Special attention will be put on the preparation of the terms of reference (ToR) as a key step for assuring good quality evaluation. For that reason a permanent Evaluation Working Group (EWG), composed by representatives of the two national delegations might be set up. The EWG shall be included in the whole evaluation process and shall be consulted, by ensuring a sufficient and proper lead time to send comments, for the drafting of the ToR, for the definition of the inception report and on the reports drafted by the evaluators

Three types of evaluation are envisaged, in line with art. 54 of CPR:

a) Operational evaluation, aiming at appraising Programme efficiency and effectiveness; b) Impact evaluation, aiming at providing a qualitative assessment of the impact of the Programme on its area and beneficiaries; c) Additional evaluations may be carried out in case of emerging urgent needs.

The total amount of financial resources available for the evaluation (consultant fees, travels, allowances, etc.) planned is EUR 300.000,00 where the operational evaluation accounts for EUR 80.000,00 and impact evaluation accounts for EUR 130.000,00 while EUR 90.000,00 are planned for additional evaluations.

After MC discussion the plan was revised according to MC members comments and requests by the JS/MA and then sent to MC for approval via written procedure. The plan was adopted by MC on 09/10/2018 and sent to the European Commission via SFC on 11 October 2018.

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

The Programme visual identity was delivered and the Programme promotional material was created with the aim to enhance and improve the Programme visibility as follows:

- Street banner for the MA/JS headquarter
- Street totems for the MA/JS and 2 BOs premises
- Internal totems carrying the Programme main information
- Business cards for the JS/MA members
- Envelopes
- A4 Folders
- Roll-up
- Posters
- Paper Notebooks
- Promotional Calendar 2018/2019, sent each year on more than 150 physical addresses of the Programme NA members and relevant EC officers and responsible for the Programme implementation
- Promotional Gadgets (USB, sticky post-it, pen, eco-sustainable pencils etc.)
- Programme Brand Manual - to enable the Programme structures correct use of all the visual elements
- Logos
- Office pack
- Digital media editorial setting-up

In addition to the graphical layout set-up and printing of the Programme material, the communication kits graphical layout setting up for the Standard+ projects was produced and delivered to the projects:

- Project Brand Manual – defining all the branding requirements and brand elements and setting out the rules for correct use and application of logo
- Logos (in different versions: CMYK, Greyscale, Negative, Black and white)
- Fund label (in different versions)
- Office pack (word, excel, ppt), adaptable to partnerships' needs
- Poster (the design template provided in InDesign and pdf formats)
- Permanent plaque/temporary billboard (only where the total public support for a project carrying out infrastructure or construction measures exceeds EUR 500.000,00)
- Invitation (InDesign and pdf formats)
- Cover
- Key Visual
- Programme Area Map
- Project Brand Manual

In July 2018, based on a project agreed in the previous months, the identified external provider started the setting-up and the delivery of the new Programme website that will carry all the approved projects' website sections as requested by the Regulation.

During 2018 the Programme carried out a constant work on updating the existing website on a daily basis and providing the relevant information at EU level, and gathering the project information to be published on the website. The following activities and results were accomplished:

- Preparation of 184 news/events published on the Programme's website

- The number of single users raised and already reached the target set for 2023.
 - 22 Standard+ digital Infographics setting up as the operation examples published on the Programme website and printed and distributed during the events
 - N. 100 Infographic posters carrying the 1st CfPs results disseminated during the participation at the external events to the stakeholders
 - Programmes' mailing list (FLC referents for the St+ projects and PMU of the approved St+ projects)
-
- Updating and setting up the overall 1st set for CfP communications related to procedures and implementation
 - Elaboration of the new website architecture and all the technical requirements in accordance with the Comm. Strategy
 - 13 meetings with external provider for the setting up of the information (May-Dec. 2018)
 - Press-clipping dedicated to the 1st CfP projects' approval
 - Mapping and editorial preparation for the description of all the available documents before the migration to the new website
 - Proposal for the Docs&Tools repository structure and denomination
 - Indications and benchmarking analysis for project partner and idea search section of the other ETC Programmes websites to be implemented
 - Gathering from the approved projects all partners' material and information for the new website partners map
 - Creation of PPs Database with all relevant geo and financial data to be imported to the map on the new website
 - Standard+ List of operations published and set-up for KEEP
 - 1 video - expenditures eligibility rules and reporting procedures in SIU - for Italian FLC

Furthermore, the **assistance for the beneficiaries for Standard+ and Standard projects** has been constantly provided also off-line by the overall Programme staff by the means of phone calls and e-mails in order to boost their financial, communication and progress reporting via Programme management and monitoring tool SIU.

The Programme dedicated own staff active preparation and participation to the following **events**:

- EU Cooperation Showcase: «How cooperation Programmes and macro strategies are supporting green logistics» in March in Padua Interporto (70 participants)
- 3rd Annual Forum of the EUSAIR in Catania in May (200 participants)
- Exhibition in collaboration with INTERACT and other maritime ETC Programmes at European Maritime Day 2018 and active facilitation of the stakeholders' workshop "Regional Strategies and Maritime Cooperation: Now and in the Future" in Burgas (Bulgaria) in May (exhibition visited by 200 participants and 40 ws participants)
- TEN-T network day in Lubiana in May
- European Cooperation Day in Venice in September co-organized with Interreg Central Europe Italian National Contact Point
- 14th meeting of the CoR Adriatic Ionian Interregional Group in Bruxelles in May
- Interreg Annual meeting 2018 in Bruxelles in June 2018.

The events were organized in collaboration with the NAs, EU Commission and other ETC Programmes.

In order to prepare the grounds for good project management and communication, taking into account the lead partner principle and the projects' management structures, and with the aim ensure that lead partners and project partners have the capacity to communicate with their projects' target audiences, capacities of human resources as well as know-how, and with aim at establishing close relations with PMU of projects and ensure the lead partners understand and apply the rules and requirements of the Programme the thematic **LP seminar** was organized :

- N. 1 Seminar for Standard+ LPs in June (Venice), held as two-day event including 3 workshops on financial/ administrative/ communication issue. 70 persons were trained and information provided to (lead) partners as well as the opportunity for dialogue.

In order to ensure that the First Level Controllers are aware of the Programme scope and understand their role and the programme rules and requirements and how to collect and settle all relevant information for the efficient and transparent partners' expenditure certifications, the following thematic **FLC trainings** were held:

- N. 1 Seminar for Standard+ projects Italian FLC in October (Mestre) - Presentations and Q&A in Italian language. 40 persons were trained
- N. 2 workshops Eligibility of expenditures & reporting for Croatian beneficiaries of projects approved within Standard+ call for proposals (organized by the ARR in Pula and Split)

N. 2 Satisfaction Questionnaires were prepared and submitted to the attendees and gained 70% of replies and very positive results for the outcomes and take-away from the participants (average level above 4 on the scale from 1-5).

The second edit of the brochure with a new layout and updated with new available data after the closure and approval of the projects of the 1st Call for Proposals was elaborated all in detail and n. 2500 printed.

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

Not applicable

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

For the purpose of reinforcing the capacity of authorities, the Joint Secretariat (JS) members and the Managing Authority (MA) attended several trainings in 2018 and actively participated in the seminars organised by Interact.

Moreover a Lead Partner training and 3 FLC seminars were organised as to provide ad hoc information and ensure a smooth and homogeneous application of rules in the Programme area.

Factsheet n. 6 on project implementation was made available to beneficiaries at the beginning of 2018 in order to guide them through the initiation phase of the “Standard+” projects as well as to provide them with all the information and procedures needed for effective and sound project implementation. Factsheet n. 6 indeed includes detailed information regarding the project implementation, reporting process and the program rules in general which were presented to the beneficiaries of the approved “Standard+” projects at the LP Seminar and during ad hoc face-to-face meetings. A specific focus on Programme output indicators was also prepared.

Further guidelines on the functioning of the SIU reporting module were prepared and during the first reporting period the beneficiaries received all the support needed from the JS.

2018 was also dedicated to the generation of strategic projects and 3 meetings of the MC Working Group of Strategic project generation were held. During this meetings, discussions among involved regions and counties were very lively and the role of JS and MA was to provide further information on current Programme state of the art, topics addressed by approved projects, specific requirements of the CP and any other EU or Programme rule which had to be duly taken into consideration for a transparent, equal and effective generation process. In particular, a gap analysis on the estimated achievement of Programme output indicators was prepared and presented by the JS in March (draft version including only Standard+ projects) and in December (final version including both Standard+ and Standard projects). The gap analysis, which was requested by the MC, provided a solid ground for the identification of the strategic projects themes as well as for a general discussion on the implementation of the Programme towards the expected achievements and results.

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

Macro-regional strategies are coordination and cooperation frameworks designed for specific geographical areas. They aim at bringing together key stakeholders as well as coordinating initiatives in different sectors such as innovation, transport, environment etc. in order to address common challenges. They call for better coordination of policies, closer cooperation between institutions and more efficient use of available funding sources. Implementation of the strategies requires the active participation by all the actors implicated in the process not only at a national or regional level but at a macro-regional level.

The Italy-Croatia Programme area tackles three macro-regional strategies: EUSAIR, EUSALP (just Italian side), and EUSDR (just Croatian side).

During the programming phase, EUSAIR in particular was duly taken into consideration while shaping the logic of intervention, as the selected TOs and IPs of the Programme fully exploit the thematic links with the Strategy. Moreover, the overall eligible area of the Programme is included in the macro-region. As such, the Programme strategy is completely embedded in EUSAIR priorities and all project typologies foreseen in the CP are in synergy with EUSAIR and its Action Plans. Common elements allow the coordination of actions in the territories, support the cooperation between EUSAIR and Italy-Croatian CBC stakeholders, maximise efforts in the same direction.

During the implementation phase, regular updates on project coherence with EUSAIR are requested to the projects and the Programme is stimulating synergies and contributions to the macro-regional strategy whenever possible, especially during public events

As a result, the Programme is expected to contribute to the objectives and targets of the 4 Pillars of EUSAIR as follows:

- PA 1 – Specific Objective 1.1: contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.2, 1.3; Pillar 3 - topic 3.1, Pillar 4 - topics 4.1, 4.2
- PA 2 – Specific Objective 2.1 contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.3; Pillar 3 - topics 3.1, 3.2
- PA 2 – Specific Objective 2.2 contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.3; Pillar 3 - topics 3.1
- PA 3 – Specific Objective 3.1 contributes to Pillar 3 - topics 3.1, 3.2; Pillar 4 - topics 4.1, 4.2
- PA 3 – Specific Objective 3.2 contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.2, 1.3; Pillar 3 - topics 3.1, 3.2
- PA 3 – Specific Objective 3.3 contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.3; Pillar 3 - topics 3.1, 3.2

- PA 4 – Specific Objective 4.1 contributes to Pillar 1 - topics 1.1, 1.3; Pillar 2 - topics 2.1, 2.2

All the funded projects contribute to EUSAIR. In particular, the “Standard+” projects which started their activities in 2018, contribute to the following pillars and topics:

BEAT: Pillar 1, Topic 1

BLUE KEP: Pillar 1 - Topic 1

BLUTOURSYSTEM: Pillar 4

ADRIAMORE: Pillars 1, 3, 2 - Topic 1

IDEAL: Pillar 3

READINESS: Pillars 1 and 3 - Topic 2

ArTVision+: Pillar 4 - Topic 1 e 2

ATLAS: Pillar 4 – Topic 1 and 2

ATRIUM PLUS: Pillar 4

DORY: Pillars 1 e 3 - Topics 1, 2 e 3

HERCULTOUR: Pillar 4 - Topic 1 and 2

I-Archeo.S.: Pillar 4

INNOCULTOUR: Pillar 4 - Topic 1

KeyQ+ Pillar 4, Pillar 1 - Topic 2

ML-REPAIR Pillars 1 and 3

USEFALL Pillar 4 - Topic 1

Zero Waste Blue: Pillar 4 - Topic 1 and 2

CHARGE Pillar 2 - Topic 1 and 2

ECOMOBILITY Pillar 3 - Topic 1 and 2

MOSES Pillar 2 - Topic 1 and 2

STEP-UP Pillars 2, 3 e 4 Topic 2

TRANSPOGOOD Pillar 2

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

Pillar(s), topic(s) and/or cross cutting issue(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Topic / Cross cutting issue
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.1 - Blue technologies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.2 - Fisheries and aquaculture
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.3 - Maritime and marine governance and services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.1 - Maritime transport
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.3 - Energy networks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.1 - The marine environment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.2 - Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.2 - Sustainable and responsible tourism management (innovation and quality)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.3 - Capacity building

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSAIR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Pillar Coordinators, or Thematic Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSAIR?

Yes No

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSAIR

Yes No

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

72

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

5 points (bonus) were given to projects in full line with EUSAIR; these extra points were foreseen for all Priority Axes, within the selection criteria per Specific Objectives approved by MC.

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

Strategic projects are being planned at the moment and their contribution to EUSAIR will be duly ensured by the Programme.

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSAIR?

Yes No

Approximate or exact amount in Euro invested in the EUSAIR:

ERDF	119,655,590.87
CF	21,115,692.51
ESF	
EAFRD	
EMFF	
IPA	
any other funds	
name of "any other funds"	

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSAIR (n.a. for 2016)

During the implementation phase, the Programme is stimulating synergies and contributions to the macro-regional strategy whenever possible, especially during public events.

E. Does the programme contribute to the objectives and/or targets which are attached to each topic under the Pillars, as stated in the Action Plan? (please specify the objective(s) and target(s))

The Programme is expected to contribute to the objectives and targets of the 4 Pillars of EUSAIR as better

specified in Par. 11.3 of the present Report.

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

Not applicable

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Approved in June 2010, the Europe 2020 agenda defines three major priorities, each closely interconnected, for implementation via concerted action on the European and national levels.

The aim is to secure growth that is:

- Smart, i.e. with investment in the education, research and innovation sectors.
- Sustainable, i.e. in step with energy policies and respectful of climate change.
- Inclusive, i.e. capable of promoting social and territorial cohesion and improving conditions in the labour market.

In pursuit of the priorities established under the EU2020 strategy, five principal indicators were identified for gauging progress and the extent to which these objectives are achieved. These objectives are articulated at national level in trajectories adapted to the starting points and specific conditions of each member state with regard to the following strategic issues:

- Employment: increasing levels of employment (to 75% among persons aged between 20 and 64).
- Research and innovation: greater investment in Research and Development (up to 3% of European Gross Domestic Product, with increased investment by the private sector).
- Climate change and energy: greater emphasis on climate change (reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 20-30%) and energy policy (increasing the share of renewable energy sources to 20% and increasing energy efficiency by 20%).
- Education: improving educational levels (university-level qualifications for 40% of young people aged between 30 and 34) and reducing early school-leaving rates (to below 10%).
- The fight against poverty: promoting social inclusion via policy designed to reduce poverty (25% reduction in the number of Europeans living below the national poverty threshold in their countries of residence).

The Italy-Croatia Programme is aligned with the objectives of EU2020 Strategy and pursue a smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. As pointed out in the Ex-ante evaluation, the overall relationship of the programme with the Europe 2020 priorities shows a rather direct contribution to the smart and sustainable growth priority while, as regards inclusive growth priority, no direct contribution is expected by the programme. Regarding tangible contribution to the strategy, in particular the investment on research activities is measures (output indicators in SO 1.1), the fight against climate change is funded (output indicators in SO 2.1) along promotion of low-carbon transport (outputs in SO 4.1).

In particular, thanks to the research projects in Priority Axis 1 as well as to the support granted to enterprises, the Programme will increase the innovation capacity of the area and create opportunities for development and business. Moreover, the projects will develop monitoring systems and draw up adaptation plans which will improve the capacity of the Programme area to improve the energy policies and positively affect the climate change objectives. The Programme also supports actions developing new traffic modalities directed towards the use of vessels using compatible energy sources. Efforts for enhancing the quality and the environmental sustainability of services and nodes will contribute to a reduction of the CO2 emissions for transport purposes.

A full assessment of the concrete Programme contribution to EU2020 goals will only be possible once the

projects will start delivering their outputs and that results become available. This section shall be thus further developed with regards to the “Standard+” projects in the AIR referred to the year 2019 and with regards to the “Standard” projects in the AIR referred to the year 2020.

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

The Italy-Croatia Programme underwent a revision of the CP only involving the Performance Framework indicators and initiated in SFC in September 2018. The revision was due to inconsistencies in the setting of the milestones and targets of some indicators and was firstly discussed with the Commission in June during a face-to-face meeting (19 June 2018) and then presented to the MC in July (5th MC meeting in Venice on 19-20 July 2018). As a result, the JS and MA worked on the revision and prepared a detailed justification, the updated methodological note and the revised version of the CP. The revision consisted of:

a) adjustment of milestones and targets for two key implementation steps indicators (3PF2 and 3PF9) due to inconsistency with related output indicators;

b) removal of one output indicator (3PF8) due to policy shift in the procedure and requirements for the awarding of Ecolabel certifications.

For what concerns the Key Implementation Steps (indicators 3PF2 and 3PF9), they have been revised as they were not in line with the corresponding Output indicators. Indeed, the final targets for both key implementation steps were much higher than the final targets of the corresponding output indicators, thus confirming a mistake in filling in the Performance Framework data when the CP was firstly submitted.

In particular, the indicator 3PF2 had a milestone of 8 and a final target of 15 open sites for building accessibility infrastructures while the corresponding output indicator 3PF5 had a final target of 10 destinations with improved accessibilities. The mistake was found on the fact that the n. of foreseen accessibility infrastructures built within the Programme should be in line with the foreseen n. of natural and cultural heritage destinations which improve their accessibility (1 project partner shall build 1 infrastructure to make its heritage more accessible). As a consequence, the revision requested was to decrease the final target of 3PF2 from 15 to 10 and proportionally the milestone from 8 to 5.

The indicator 3PF9, instead, had a milestone of 10 and a final target of 20 approved projects implementing environmental friendly technological solutions while the corresponding output indicator 3PF11 had a final target of 3 technological solutions to be implemented. The mistake was found on the fact that the n. of projects approved developing such technological solutions (within Specific Objective 3.3) should be in line with the foreseen n. of solutions implemented (1 project shall develop 1 solution). As a consequence, the revision requested was to decrease the final target of 3PF9 from 20 to 3 and proportionally the milestone from 10 to 2.

For what concerns the output indicator 3PF8, it has been noted that project applications received and consequently funded projects did not respond with the expected contribution to this indicator. An analysis was thus carried out which found out that, close to the launch of the 1st set of calls for proposals of the Programme, there were some significant changes in relation to the European regulations concerning the awarding criteria for the recognition of the EU ECOLABEL. In particular until 25th March 2017, it was still possible to apply for the EU Ecolabel award for "tourist accommodation services" or "camping services" on the basis of the expiring criteria established in the Commission Decisions 2009/578/EC or 2009/564/CE. However, from March 2017 onwards these criteria were modified and only applications for the recognition of the EU Ecolabel submitted in accordance with Decision UE/2017/175 could be accepted. This legal uncertainty seemed to have definitely

influenced beneficiaries regarding which legislation to be applied and, above all, regarding the timing concerning the attainment of such recognition. In any case, it shall be noted that the Programme did make efforts to attract projects proposing the attainment of Ecolabel/green certifications e.g. by including among the Selection criteria a specific award for project proposals fostering green certifications (see Factsheet n. 5 “Project Selection” - Specific Objective Criteria – S.O. 3.1 criterion “e”). The solution agreed with the Commission and approved by the MC was to eliminate the indicator 3PF8 from the Performance Framework because it was indeed not necessary considering that the indicators in the Performance Framework are sufficiently representative (i.e. they cover at least 50% of the financial allocation of the respective PA). The indicator 3PF8 will, nevertheless, remain an output indicator of PA3, although not included in the Performance Framework.

Thanks to this revision of the PR, agreed with the Commission and approved by the MC in due time, and thanks to a continuous monitoring of the projects with respect to their contributions to the Programme indicators, the Programme was able to achieve the set Performance Framework milestones of output indicators and KIS for 2018.

DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary	Citizens' summary	21-Jun-2019		Ares(2019)4074598	Citizens' summary	27-Jun-2019	ncvallau

LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: 4.1R, year: 2018 (2,349.00 < 2,445.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1R, year: 2018 (745.57 > 680.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: 2.1R, year: 2018 (11,230,476.00 > 8,000,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: 2.2R, year: 2018 (10,862,513.00 > 9,000,000.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, specific objective: 3.2, indicator: 3.2R, year: 2018 (3,587.00 > 3,550.00). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,125.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO01, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,336.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,506.50% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, indicator: 2.2O2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 105.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA7, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 110.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA7, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 117.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 125.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, indicator: 4.1O3, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 149.17% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO44, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 175.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2O2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 187.50% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 211.11% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO01, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 250.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2O4, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 253.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 3,770.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 330.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO42, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 340.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, indicator: 2.1O1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 350.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2O1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 490.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O5, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 500.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO44, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 520.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5a, indicator: 2.1O2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 550.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6f, indicator: 3.3O1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 630.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O3, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 680.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: 7c, indicator: 4.1O1, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 750.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O5, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 860.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O3, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 990.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1O2, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 102.67% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA2, year: 2018. Please check.

Severity	Code	Message
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 106.67% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO44, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 110.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA7, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 116.67% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO01, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 137.50% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA6, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 140.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 422.50% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.IO2, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA1, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: TA3, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 1,333.33% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: 5b, indicator: CO21, year: 2018. Please check.